DEPUTY JUDGE / DVOCATE'S OFFICE 7708 WAR CRI ES GROUP EUROLE'E COLLAND / PO 407

WET ROLL SOT

25 August 1947

v.

Crse No. 12-2887

Waldener FREITAG

REVIEW AND RECORDED TIONS

1. TRIAL DATA: The accused was tried at Dachau, certain, on all June 1947, before a General Military Government Court.

II. CHARGE AND PARTICUL'AS:

CEALLS: Violation of the Laws and Useges of Wer.

Particulers: In that weldemer PLEIT/G, a German national, did at or near WULFSF-LDB, Germany, on or about 25 July 1943, wrongfully commit an assault upon a member of the United States rmy, believed to be william BOHAN who was then and there unarmed and in the act of surrendering himself to the than German weich.

TII. SULTAY OF SVIDSNCE: On 25 July 1945, an imerican size on, Captain william Bohen, parachuted to earth from his dis bled plane and landed safely near mulfsfelde, Germany. We heard someone colling and sew the accused running toward him with a pistol in his hand. The sixman reised both hands above his head and walked toward the accused who fired one shot which struck the sixman in the chest and another which went into the six. The accused struck the sixman with his hand after shooting him.

IV. EVIDENCE AND RECOMMEND TIONS.

1. WILDER REITIG

Nationality:

Germen

Aga;

53

Civilian Status:

Fermer

Party Status:

S/, Serpeent

Military Status:

None

Plea:

NG

Findings:

G

Sentence:

2 years, commencing 24 February 1946

Evidence for Prosecution: Captein Bohen, the alleged victim, said in his extrejudicial sworn testimony that when he landed he heard someone calling to him; that he turned and saw an elderly man, armed with a pistol, running toward him; that he raised both hands and walked toward his coptor; that his captor stepped and fired two shots, one of which struck him in the breest; that his captor then knocked him bout with his free hand and at the same time called out phroses out of which "anglish" end "Americaner" were the only words he understood; and that he had surrendered to his capter at the time he was shot (R 6; P-dx 2). The eccused gave two items of extrajudicial swore testimony and one pretrial statement. se admitted shooting the sirmen but claimed self defense (R 6, 7, 11; P-sxs 3-5). In a pretriel statement a witness stated that the accused shot the sirmen and attacked him as he lay on the ground (k 12; F-sx 6). Lehn stated in his pretriel statement that efter he and the accused had searched the pirmen, the recused struck the victin on the chin (R 29; P-Ex 7). Hehn, a hostile witness, testified et the trisl that he heard the accused cell helt several times (R 15, 20); that he saw the simmen advancing toward the accused in a crouch with his hands beside him (R 19, 20), that he did not see the secused strike the sirmen (h. 16); that he heard the sirmen speaking dermen (R 21); that he and accused searched the airman and found him unermed (h 16); and that the part of his prescript statement (P-sx 7) which stated that he say the accused strike the sirman was not true and wes not reed to him at the time he signed the strtement (a 18). rebuttel witness testified that he was the investigator who took lahn's stetement (P-ax 7) and that it was red back to sahn in berman before he signed (R 28).

Evidence for Defense: Lehn stated in his pretrial statement that when he first came to the scene he saw the airman walking towards the woods and that the accused shouted at the airman who then began walking in the direction of the accused in a somewhat crouched position. The accused then called to the airman six or seven times and fired a warning shot into the air, but the airman continued to walk towards the accused. The witness further stated that he thought the airman was oing to attack and that the accused then shot the airman in the left shoulder causing him to fall to the ground. The airman had no veapon (A 38; D-3x 1). Two witnesses testified at the trial that they talked to the airman in German after he was shot by accused, however, they were not present during the shooting or capture (R 41, 43, 48, 49). One of these witnesses stated that the accused was present at the scene and tried to assist in bandaging the victim. The accused did not show any apparent anger toward the after (R 42).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The findings of guilty are warranted by the evidence. The sentence is not excessive.

Petitions: A Petition for Review was filed by Lieutenant Colonel Irving R. Crawford, defense counsel, 22 July 1047. To Petitions for Clemency were filed.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

V. QUESTIONS OF LAW:

Jurisdiction: It is clear that the Court had jurisdiction of the person of the accused and of the subject matter.

Motion: At the close of the prosecution's case the defense moved for a finding of not guilty. The motion was properly overruled (R 34-57). It is not error for a war crimes tribunal to overrule a motion for a finding of not guilty nade at the close of the case for the prosecution, if it is believed there is sufficient evidence to support the charge and that the accused should be required to answer it (Section 5-327.2, Title 5, "Legal and Fenal Administration" of "Military Lovernment Regulations", published by Office of Military Lovernment for Legal and Fenal Administration" of "Military Lovernment Regulations", published by Office of Military Lovernment for Legal and Fenal Administration of "Military Lovernment Regulations", published by Office of Military Lovernment for Legal and Fenal Administration of "Military Lovernment Regulations", published by Office of Military Lovernment for Legal and Fenal Administration of "Military Lovernment Regulations", published by Office of Military Lovernment for Legal and Fenal Administration of "Military Lovernment Regulations", published by Office of Military Lovernment for Legal and Fenal Administration of "Military Lovernment Regulations", published by Office of Military Lovernment for Legal and Fenal Administration of the Control of of the Control

27 Merch 1947, and Section 501, page 409, "Manual for Trial of mar Crimes and Helated Cases", 15 July 1946). A similar practice is followed in Courts-martials (Paragraph 71, d., "Manual for Courts-martial, U.S. Army", 28 April 1943).

examination of the entire record fails to show any error or omission which resulted in injustice to the accused.

VI. CONCLUSIONS:

- 1. It is recommended that the findings end the sentence be approved.
- 2. Legal Forms hos. 13 and 16 to accomplish this result are attached hereto, should it meet with approval.

TEOM'S C. II RION
Lie jor, Greatry
Post Triel Brench

heving exemined the record of triel, I concur, this ______ day of ______ 1947.

C. E. STRAIGHT Lieutenent Colonel, JAGD Deputy Judge Advocate for War Crimes

HEADQUARTERS EUROPEAN COMMAND

IPO 757 AG 383 JAG 10 Dec 1947 SUBJECT: Execution of Sentence in the Case of the United States vs. Waldemar FREITAG (Case No. 12-2887). TO : Commanding General First Military District APO 1, U. S. Army. Reference is made to letter, Hq USFET, AG 383 JAG AGO, 26 Feburary 1947, subject, "Designation of Prisons for War Criminals," and to the inclosed copies of the Order on Review in the above entitled case as to accused Toldemar FREITAG Upon compliance with the Order on Review the Certificate below will be completed and returned to the Deputy Judge Advocate for War Crimes, 7708 War Crimes Group, APO 407, U. S. Army. BY COMMAND OF GENERAL CLAY: /s/ Edward Donerty /t/ EDWARD LOHERTY Lt. Colonel, AGD 1 Incl: Acting Adjutant General 1 Form No. 13 (in dup) Frankfurt 7175 CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE The sentence covered by the above described Order on Review was carried into execution at War Criminal Prison No. 1, Landsberg, 19 December Germany, on _1947, at __ (Date) Lloyd A, Wilson (Signature and Rank) LLOYD A. WILSON Captain CMP Prison Director (Organization)

/s/ David A. Osklay
(Countersignature and Rank of
Witnessing Officer)
/t/ DAVID A. OAKLEY
lst Lieutenant CmlC
Asst. Prison Director

MILITARY GOVERNMENT COURT

Order on Review Verfugung nahc Uberprufung

Case No. Strafsache Nr.	12-2887 12-2887			Order No. Verfugung Nr.
			Man To 10	
Whereas	(Name	of Accused		des, der, Angeklagten)
was convicted o States Army who				nember of the United
				ll suf einen Angehorigen in Begriff war, sich zu
* Awaren				
by the * lnkers		ary Court		Darker Commen
* Genera * Kifara			at in	Dachau, Germany Dachau, Deutschland
	en rem Militarg	erichte	The second secon	ress of Court.)
* Oberen		01101100		chrift des Gerichts)
and sentenced t	o im rison	ment for tw	o years,	, commencing 24 February
		oi Jahren C	efangnis	s verurteilt mit Wirkung
by Judgement da	ted the	24 June 1	947	
durch Urteil vo		24 Juni 1	.947	and
		(Date)	(Datum)	
nahc entspreche ubertragenen Be That the	ndem Studium fugnisse ver findings an	des Sachve fuge ich: d sentence	erhaltes are appr	ng vorgelegt worden und und in Ausubung der mir
	No 1, Lands	berg, Germa		Waldemar FREITAG in War a period of two years,
erende General,	von Militer gsverbrecher	bezirk I, w gefengnis N	ird die Ir. 1, La	gt werden. Der Kommandi- Inhaftierung von Waldemar andsberg, Deutschland, fur Februar 1946.
Dated th				
Gegeben	am 8 De	cember	1	1947
			/a/ J.	. L. Harbeugh, Jr.
		(3)		of Reviewing Authority)
		(Unter	schrift	der nachprufenden Behorde
		/		L. HARBAUGH, Jr.,
				olonel, JAGD,
				idge Advocate Title)
* Srirke out w	ords not app	licable.		Titel)
* Nichtzutreff	endes ist zu	durchstrei		

December 1957

507

Superior to the Colombia of th

the subject care to be collected that the subject

- $\underline{\mathbf{a}}_{\bullet}$. A copy of the diagram and served on the accused on .
- b. The case can referred to trial on 23 June 1947
- The personnal of the presention and defense were appointed on 2 days 1967.

d. The came was tries on A. June 1947.

TAMARO F. BREED

Chicago ACO

Benirman, Wer Gribbe Donte of Review