50

#### DEPUTY JUDGE ADVOCATE'S OFFICE 7708 WAR CRIMES GROUP EUROPEAN COMMAND APO 407

17 February 1948

V. V. Case No. 000-50-5-32

#### REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

I. TRIAL DATA: The accused were tried at Dachau, Germany, during the period 2-10 October 1947, before a General Military Government Court.

### II. CHARGE AND PARTICULARS:

CHARGE: Violation of the Laws and Usages of War.

Furticulars: In that Warl HORCICKA, Johann WIRTH, Karl GAERTNER, Otto VOIGT. Johann GLAS, Wladislaus DOPIERALA, Karl SCHROEGLER, Gorman nationals or persons acting with Gorran nationals, acting in pursuance of a common design to subject the persons hereinafter described to killings, beatings, tortures, starvation, abuses, and indignities, did, at or in the vicinity of the Mauthausen Concentration Camp, at Castle Hartheim, and at or in the vicinity of the Mauthausen Sub-camps, including but not limited to Ebensee, Gros-Raming, Gunskiro en, Gusen, Hinterbruehl, Lambach, Linz, Loiblpass, Melk, Schwechat, St. Georgen, St. Lambrecht, St. Valentin, Steyr, Vienna, Wiener-Neudorf, all in Austria, at various and sundry times between January 1, 1942, and May 5, 1945, wrongfully encourage, aid, abet, and participate in the subjection of Poles, Frenchmen, Greeks, Jugoslavs, Citizens of the Soviet Union, Morwegians, Danes, Belgians, Citizens of the Netherlands, Citizens of the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg, Turks, British Subjects, stateless persons, Czechs, Chinese, Citizens of the United States of america, and other non-German nationals who were then and t ere in the custody of the then German Roich, and members of the armed forces of nations then at war with the then German Reich who were then and there surrendered and unarmed prisoners of war in the custody of the then German Reich, to killings, beatings, tortures, starvation, abuses and indignities, the exact names and numbers of such persons being unknown, but aggresating thousands.

(Surname of GAERTNER actually spelled GARTNER, R 149; P-Ex 19. Surname of SCHROEGLER actually spelled SCHRÖGLER, R 147; P-Ex 17.)

We re inmates of Gusen I, a subcamp of Mauthausen Concentration Camp, for considerable periods of time between the dates alleged and were shown

to have been assigned positions in which they aided in the operation of the camp. Accused WIRTH was an SS sergeant assigned to subcamp Gusen I.

All of the convicted accused were shown to have participated in the Mauthausen Concentration Camp mass atrocity. Prosecution's P-Ex 6 (R 13) is a certified copy of the charge, marticulars, findings and sentences in the parent mauthausen Concentration Camp Case (United States v. Altfuldisch, et al., 000-50-5, opinion DJAWC, February 1947, hereimafter referred to as the "Parent Case"; see Section V, post).

## IV. EVIDENCE AND RECONTENDATIONS:

#### 1. Karl HORCICKA

1.

Nationality: Austrian

Ago: 31

Civilian Status: Carpenter

Party Status: Nono

Military Status: None

Plea: NG

Findings: G

Sentence: Death by hanging

Evidence for Presecution: The accused was an immate of subcamp Gusen I of the Mauthausen Concentration Camp from January 1942 to April 1945 (R 147, 282; P-Exs 16, 164, 21, 214). From the end of 1943 until March 1944 he was, by his own admission, a cape and room menitor (R 147; P-Exs 16, 164). He was room eldest of block 18 from the end of 1943 until March 1944 (R 285).

Urbaniak testified that in 1942 the accused beat a Polish inmate mamed Zoltewski, who was ill in bed, with a stick 60 centimeters long and 10 centimeters thick, and kicked him severely when the inmate was prestrate. The victim died (R 48). This incident occurred five to seven meters from the witness. According to the witness, the accused was at the time room eldest in block 18, where the witness also lived (R 51, 52). At the time of this incident the accused also hit the witness in the face with a soup lade, beat him with a stick and kicked him, causing some marks which were still visible at the trial (R 50, 51). Urbaniak testified further

That the accused often sat on a chair in the morning and ordered an immate. Whem he did not like to bring a bucket of water. Then he required the inmate to kneel down, and to stick his head in the water; the witness stated, "that is how he drowned poople". If the immate resisted, the accused hit him with a 60-centimeter stick (R 49). In 1943 a number of Russian immates were subjected to mistreatment in the roll call source by some 33 men and capes and were then taken to the washroom where they were beaten to death. On one day there were 400 victims and on another 300. The witness saw the accused strike those immates on roll call square with a stick (R 50).

Sack testified that the accused, while a cape with the water construction detail in June or July 1943, went to block 17 looking for replacements for his detail (R 87). Because the inmates of that block, many of whom were Russians, had to work for their own detail and could not go with him, the accused beat several of them, including the witness, with a "whip" 80 centimeters long and 10 centimeters wide (R 87, 88).

Marciniak testified that in September 1943, while he was washing his shirt in block 12, from a distance of three meters he saw the accused take a Russian inmate to the washroom, slap him and, when the inmate fell, jump several times on his chest. The accused left the victim there. The inmate was dead (R 97, 98). This witness also stated that in August 1943, on occasions when he passed by block 18, he saw the accused beating inmates (A 97).

Konczak stated in an unsworn pretrial statement that in 1943 the accused mistreated inmates so brutally that they had to be taken to the sick quarters. He also stated therein that in 1944 he saw the accused drown two Italian inmates and one Yugoslav inmate in a barrel of water in the washroom of block 19 (R 141; P-Exs 7, 7A).

Stefan Krajewski stated in an unswern pretrial statement that on 26 December 1944 he visited block 18 and observed the accused killing a Russian inmate who had stelen some bread. He personally observed the accused treat particularly badly kussian, Yugoslav and Polish inmates

(R 141; P-Exs 8, 8A).

Stanishaw Lobodzinski stated in an unsworn pretrial statement that in October 1942 he saw the accused, who was then room monitor of block 18, beat a Yugoslavian inmate there with a stick until the inmate fainted. The inmate died during the night. Later when the accused became camp cape he saw him continuously beating or mistreating the weakest inmates (R 141; P-Exs 9, 9A).

The accused, in an extrajudicial sworn statement, admitted mistreating inmates by brating and kicking them upon orders from block leaders (R 147; P-Ex 16A, p. 3). He also admitted that some of these inmates died later in the hospital as a consequence of his mistreating them (R 147; P-Ex 16A, p. 4). A similar admission appears in an extrajudicial sworn statement in the accused's landwriting wherein the accused stated that, in the performance of his duties, he punished offenders with slaps on the ears and beatings, from which several inmates died in the sick barracks (R 297; P-Exs 21, 2 h). The accused admitted in his testimony that, while he was room eldest, he beat inmates almost every week (R 291).

Evidence for Defense: The accused testified that he had been an inmate of concentration camps since 1938 at Dachau Concentration Camp, Mauthausen Concentration Camp and Gusen I, a subcamp of the latter (R 282). He admitted boxing immates on the ear or giving them a few blows with a water hose. He testified that those immates had violated some camp rule by either stealing from other immates, dirtying the block or tearing up blankets (R 286). He denied that he ever took immates to the washroom or drowned them (R 287). He also denied that any immate died as a result of his beatings (R 292).

The accused further testified that he saw his statement, Prosecution's P-Ex 16, 14 days after an operation, on the day he had received an opium injection (R 289). He suggested some corrections but was told to sign the paper without them because a new document would be drawn. The corrections were never made. The accused testified that, when he was asked whether he ever mistreated inputes and they later died, his answer

enco as Prosecution's P-Ex 21, the accused testified that Mr. Kitt, an interpreter of Danish nationality, threatened to deliver him to the Poles unless he made a statement to the effect that he had beaten inmates to death (R 298).

The accused specifically testified that the prosecution witnesses were not telling the truth in regard to the incidents about which they testified (R 294-296).

Dengleman, a former inmate of Gusen I (R 295), testified that he had known the accused since the end of 1943 (R 198). He lived in room A of block 18 while the accused was room eldest of room B in the same block. He further testified that the accused liked to beat inmates. However, during the period while the accused was room eldest, he neither saw nor heard of anything as serious as the killing of another inmate. Had this occurred it would have been common talk (R 199).

Sufficiency of Evidence: Austria was a co-belligerent of Germany.

The testimony by the accused as to improper methods being utilized in procuring his extrajudicial swern statements has little persuasiveness.

Whether they were procured under such circumstances as to cause the accused to state untruths therein was a question for the Court to determine. It does not appear that the Court assigned inappropriate probative value thereto. In any event the findings and sentence are amply supported by other evidence.

Potitions: A Potition for Review was filed by Major Joseph L.

Haefele, defense counsel, 20 October 1947. Potitions for Clemency were
filed by Arnold Damaschke, 17 Nevember 1947; Theo Schmitz, 18 November
1947; Christian Wohlrab. 22 November 1947; Oskar Tandler, 30 November 1947;
and accused, 22 December 1947.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

#### 2. Johann WIRTH

Nationality:

Germn

Ago:

Civilian Status:

Construction technician

Party Status:

Member of Mai Party

Military Status:

SS Technical Sergoant

Plea:

Ma

Findings:

0

Sontonee:

3 years, commencing 5 May 1945

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused, an SS sergeant, served in subcamp Gusen I of Mauthausen Concentration Camp from January 1940 until the liberation (R 151). He was a first sergeant until May 1944 and then a technical sergeant (R 163). Most of the time he was a detail leader in charge of a construction detail (R 151).

Kowalski testified that he saw the accused beat inmates with a stick. Most of the beatings occurred during air raids when the inmates ran for shelter and during the construction of a wall. He saw many beatings by the accused. The inmates were Poles, Russians, Spaniards, and Italians (R 104). In August or September 1944 from a distance of 20 to 25 meters the witness saw the accused kill a Russian inmate who was carrying some potatoes (R 104, 105, 114). The accused gave the inmate a kick, then beat him with a stick and kicked him again. The inmate was picked up by a detail. Later the witness saw the victim's dead body in the stone quarry (R 105).

Opressning testified that, subsequent to 1 January 1942 when he was in the construction office to make a request for some repairs in his block, the accused entered. He had an argument with the inmate clerk Reichter about a document which had been prepared. He hit the clerk in the vicinity of his left eye (R 120, 121).

Kamienski testified that at the end of the summer of 1942 he and other inmites were beaten with a stick by the accused, probably because they had not carried the right kind of stones from the quarry to the camp (R 134). The witness had blue marks on his back and his head was swellen as a result of the beating (R 135). Kamienski further testified that in the fall of 1942 the accused beat three inrates severely with a wooden stick 70 or 80 centimeters long. They had stolen some potatoes (R 135). These in-

mates were taken by the accused to an SS sergeant and sent to the punishment work (R 135).

Evidence for Defense: The accused testified that he once caught and beat an insmite with a piece of wood. The insmite had smashed a door with an ax (R 160). He also testified that during his five years in concentration camps he had punished three or four insmites by boxing their ears. These insmites had either stelen smiterial from a construction site or destroyed property (R 160). The accused's reason for the beating of the insmites was corresponded by three former insmites, Neumeier, Erwin Rinker and Christian Rinker, who testified on his behalf (R 171, 181, 192). Neumeier testified that, if an insmite did something really bad, the accused took him to work in the stone guarry to show him the difference between that work and the work he had been doing. After two or three weeks, the accused recalled the insmite to his detail and treated him as if nothing had happened (R 172).

The accused testified further that he showed consideration for the welfare of the inmates. He did not report a thoft of construction material which was stolen to improve block 8, where immates had been placed although the block was unsuitable for occupancy (R 156, 157). according to the surmise of the witness, Christian Rinker, the accused did not send Jehovah's Witnesses who were assigned to his detail to work in the stone quarry, even though the camp commander had so ordered following an inspection of the camp by Himmler (R 190, 191). The protective custody leader discovered unauthorized work done by some inmates in the workshop. However, the accused covered up for them (R 180). He allowed the immites cortain privilages, contrary to the order of the protective custody commander. He saved inmates from punishment by inventing a reasonable pretext for a fire which had broken out in the joiner shop (R 191). Dengleman testified that the accused gave the inmates a slip of paper which was necessary in order to obtain shoos. He also give them eigarettes (R 196). When the immates stood before hir, he always requested them to replace their caps (R 197).

The accused specifically denied beating any inmates who had carried

stones from the quarry into the camp (R 159). He also denied catching and booting any inmate who had stolen potatoes (R 160).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The findings of guilty are warranted by the ovidence. The sentence is not excessive.

Potitions: No Petition for Review nor Petitions for Clemency were filed.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

## 3. Karl GARTNER

actionality: German

Ago: 39

Civilian Status: Stonemason

Party Status: None

Military Status: None

Plea: No

Findings:

Sontence: Life imprisonment

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused stated in his extrajudicial sworn statement that he was an immate of subcamp Gusen I of Mauthausen Concentration Camp from February 1941 until the liberation. He held the resition of fireman following November 1943. He was in charge of the fire brigade following February 1945 (R 148; P-Exs 18, 18A).

nationality was missing from a Sunday midday formation (R 79). The accused and a Czech inmate camp policeman found the missing inmate in a tunnel between two barracks and dragged him. The inmate was covered with blood. The witness did not see but merely heard of this incident (R 77). Genez testified that at the beginning of 1945 the accused and the firemen were in charge of two gassings which occurred in barracks 31. The accused was the chief of the firemen and one of those who conducted about 600 or 700 inmates to barracks 31 (R 79). The witness also testified that he saw the accused beat hungry inmates with a rubber hose when they tried to pick up samething to eat. He bicked them when they fell to the ground (R 78,75).

Zamierowski, a former inmate, stated in his extrajudicial sworn statement that at the end of March 1945 the accused and four other members of the fire guard, using wooden clubs and rubber truncheons, dispersed a large group of inmates who had gathered in front of the washroom of blocks two and three for bartering among themselves. The accused, using areat force, struck an inmate of either Aussian or Yugoslav nationality over the head with a wooden club. The victim fell to the ground, and lay there motionless, apparently dead. The observer saw the incident from 30 meters away. Fifteen minutes later a crematorium detail picked up the body of the inmate and carried it off toward the crematorium (A 142; F-Ex 10).

Majowski, another former inmate stated in his extrajudicial sworn statement that he saw the accused beat inmates of various mationalities on the roll call source, in the washroom and in the toilets at subcamp Gusen I. He further stated that in the spring of 1944, from a distance of five meters, he saw the accused beat a Polish inmate some six or seven times with a rubber hose about a half meter long and 30 millimeters in diameter, causing the inmate's head to blood. He saw the accused boat inmates at least a hundred times. From a distance of approximately 10 meters, he saw the accused and others beat two inmates, a Pole and a aussian, forcing them to back into the electrically charged fence. These inmates were then shot and killed by 33 guards. He saw the accused participate in such crimes at least six times. Sometimes the camp commander or roll call leader gave the instructions to kill the inmates (R 142; P-Ex 11).

Dombrowski, a former woman inmate, stated in her extrajudicial sworn statement that in January 1945 she saw from her window three young boys, two Poles and one Russian, being forced to stand near the electric wire fonce approximately 20 meters away. The roll call leader Killemann ordered them thrown against the wire. She saw the accused pick up each of the three inmates and successively throw them against the electrically charged wire. From the tower the SS guards fired several shots into the bodies of the victims. The roll call leader matted the accused on the back and gave him 100 cigarettes (R 142; P-Ex 12).

9

In his extrajudicial sworn statement, the accused stated that in March 1945, pursuant to an order by roll call leader Killemann the statement does not indicate whether Killemann was present? he chased two mussian immates into the electrically charged wire. He admitted that he often beat immates with his fists or rubber hoses (R 149; P-Exs 19, 19A).

Evidence for Defense: Zamierowski stated in his extrajudicial sworn statument that the accused, an inmate of subcamp Gusen I, held no position until 1944. He was an ordinary inmate, working as a mason, until the middle of 1944 (4 142; P-Ex 10, p. 2). He then became an ordinary fireman and following February 1945 he held the position of fire guard, cape, and camp policeman (R 142; P-Ex 10, p. 2). Folger and Peck testified that there were two fire details in subcamp Gusen I. The accused belonged to the one which answered fire calls outside the camp (R 249, 275-276).

Beck testified that in the years 1944 and 1945 there were frequent alarms outside the camp, as a result of air raids, which the fire department answered (R 276).

Folgor, a former capo, testified that, while he could not say he know everything concerning the accused which happened in camp, any serious case involving block eldests or capes would have been discussed (R 260). He did not hear that in January 1945 the accused had caused the death of three people by chasing them into the electric wire fence. Furthermore, inmates could have been driven into the electric wire fence only at night (R 250). The witness testified also that the former female inmate, who testified as to the incident in which three bodies were thrown against the electric fence, occupied room four of the brothel while in Gusen I and could not have seen the electric fence from the window of her room (R 253).

although he did not testify, the accused, in one of his extrajudicial sworn statements, stated that in March 1945 he was ordered by the roll call leader to have two aussian inmates brought to the gate. That evening the roll call leader kicked one of them into the wire and shot the other in the presence of the accused (R 148; P-Exs 18, 184).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The evidence tending to establish that one

of his acts of cruelty was at the direction of and possibly in the presence of a superior fails to establish that the accused was acting under the immediate compulsion of superior orders to any substantial degree. The Court gave ample consideration, as indicated by the sentence to imprisonment for life, to any element of superior orders which may have been present. Except to this minor extent, the accused failed to meet the burden of proof as to superior orders as required by pertinent authorities discussed in Section V, post. The Court was warranted from the evidence as to the nature and extent of his participation in its findings of guilty. The sentence is not excessive.

Petitions: A Petition for Review was filed by Major Joseph L. Haefele, defense counsel, 20 October 1947. A Petition for Clemency was filed by accused, 14 January 1948.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

This accused was acquitted (R 344).

### 5. Johann GLAS

Butiomality: Gorman

Age: 57

Civilian Status: Miner

Farty Status: None

Military Status: None

Plea: NG

Findings:

Sentunco: 20 years, commencing 28 February 1947

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused was an immte of subcamp Gusen

I of Mauthausen Concentration Camp where he held the position of block eldest
of block 32 and later of block eight (R 30).

Feder testified that in mid-april 1945 the accused beat a Polish immate named Whock about 10 or 15 times with the leg of a stool 50 or 60 centimeters long and seven centimeters thick (R 16) until the immate collapsed (R 15).

The beating occurred three to four meters from the witness. The accused ordered the inmate carried to the washroom. The victim was dead (R 16, 17).

Feder saw the dead, maked body of the victim in the washroom (R 17).

He can saw the accused administer 25 strokes to a Russian inmate who allegedly stole same broad (R 18). The inmate was carried to the dispensary.

The witness never saw the Russian again (R 18). Once he was teld that the accused sont three (apparently Polish) inmates to the dispensary where, he heard, they received gasoline injections (R 18). The witness further t stified that he was quite seriously boaten by the accused with a rubber club on the ears (R 19).

Jaroszewicz testified that in the beginning of 1944 the accused was block eldest of block eight, where invalid immates were housed. The accused chased the immates out to work every morning, driving them out into the cold while only half-dressed and made them stand outside for an hour and a half (R 30). In March and april 1944, at seven in the evening (R 30), the accused brought to the washroom of blocks seven and eight two Polish immates, who had been beaten, and threw them into a water tank (R 30, 31). The accused beat them with a stick, 50 to 60 certimeters long and three centimeters in diameter, for a period of from five to 10 minutes (R 31). He threw them in the tank. The witness further testified that after five to 10 minutes he pulled cut their dead bodies and threw them on the concrete floor (R 32).

Marciniak testified that at the end of January and February 1943 (R 95) two ill Polish inmates were thrown head first into a barrel of water by the accused and drowned (R 96). In January and February 1943 he saw the accused daily heat inmates with a cublo when they called at the dispensary to see the doctor, and were being lined up by the accused (R 96, 97). The witness further testified that the accused was then a janitor at the dispensary (R 97).

Szymura testified that in the fall of 1944, from a distance of approximately three meters, he saw the accused giving a bath to an invalid inmate of unknown nationality in the washroom next to block eight (R 125, 126).

The accused was scrubbing the inmate with a brush while beating him with a

stick. A half hour later the witness saw the inmate lying bleeding on the ground, apparently dead. He never saw the victim again. The witness testified further that the accused, together with the came commander and the rell call leader, selected the weakest inmates of his block for invalid transports to Mauthausen (R 126).

Statement that while the accused was on duty in blocks eight am 32 during 1944 and 1945, he beat immates foreclously with a rubber hose, stick and the usual devices. The beatings were frequently followed by death.

Durin November or December (apparently 1944) a French immate died after having been struck by the accused. The witness further stated therein that another French immate died similarly at the beginning of the year (R 144; P-Exs 13, 13a).

Evidence for Defense: The accused testified that, when he took ever block eight, he found it in a deplorable condition (R 216). He and other inmates stell the necessary material to render the block habitable (R 217). He brought a stove into the room (R 219). He refused to send inmates to work in the kitchen because three of them had been killed there (R 219-220). He refused to turn over to the came cape, von Lesen, inmates intended to be drawned (R 220-221). For this last refusal, the accused has teld he would have to malk into the electric wire fence, but he managed to be reported as dead and remained in hiding in block eight (R 222, 223).

The accused denied causing the death of an invate in April 1945 (R 227). He testified that after the liberation he had occasion to meet former invates of the camp at the dedications of menuments to invates of Mauthausen and of Buchenwald. He addressed the gatherings (R 227, 228). He as not arrested until 10 March 1947 while in Dachau as a witness at a War Crimes trial (R 228). He was in Dachau to testify in the parent Dachau case. He was in Lachau when a menument to the former invates of the camp was dedicated (R 328). His arrest was caused by presecution witness Feder with whom he had a conversation in the mess hall (R 228-230).

The accused testified further that he knew a French inmate referred to in Prosecution's Exhibit P-Exs 13, 13A. He took care of him and another French inmate. The two were not happy together and often quarreled (R 230). The French inmate, whom the accused is alleged to have killed, insisted on being sent back to the dispensary (R 230-231). Subsequently, the accused returned the Frenchman to his invalid block to prevent him from receiving an injection (R 231).

The accused denied that he ever beat or drowned anyone (R 235, 242). Under cross-examination he asserted that the block eldest of block seven, meckinger, a professional criminal, looked like him (R 237-238). He testified also that he was in the dispensary suffering from typhus from 3 March until 20 April 1945 (R 239).

The accused admitted boxing a Russian inmate on the ear for beating another inmate on the head with a hammer and taking a parcel away from him (R 241, 242). He admitted bathing inmates but only because they suffered from dysentery and were dirty (R 242). At the time of the liberation, when inmates of the camp went out looking for SS men to turn over to the americans, the accused was present (R 333).

Sufficiency of Svidence: The findings of guilty are varianted by the evidence. The sentence is not excessive.

Patitions: A Petition for Review was filed by Najor Joseph L. Maefele, defense counsel, 20 October 1947. A Petition for Clemency was filed by accused, 10 October 1947.

Mecommondation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

## 6. Wladislaus POPIERALA

This accused was neither served nor tried (R 1).

# 7. Karl SCHRÖGLER

Nationality:

Gorman

ago:

42

Civilian Status:

Driver

Party Status:

Nong

Military Status:

None

Plea:

NG

Findings:

0

Sentance:

Death by hanging

Evidence for Presecution: The accused was an immate of subcamp Gusen I of Mauthausen Concentration Camp from the beginning of 1941 to September 1943, when he was released to join the army (R 33, 41). He served as block eldest in blocks five, 22, and 32 (R 73). Two witnesses referred to him as a big villain and murderer (R 33, 36, 60, 61). It was said he beasted that he killed more than 1500 immates (R 33, 36).

Jaroszewicz testified that he saw the accused drown two Yugoslav inmates and a Polish inmate between March and September 1943 (R 33). The accused gave those inmates a bath in a tank in which he had roured some "chlor". He then pulled them out and dropped them on the concrete floor (R 33). After the bath the inmates were dead (R 34). Their bodies were carried to the next day's roll call and then sent to the crematory (R 35). The witness t stified also that every night, when the inmates were in bod, the accused selected five to 10 inmates to whom he administered 10 to 25 blows on their maked buttocks with a stool or a rubber hose 70 centimeters long filled with sand (R 35).

Glowacki testified that during one night in May 1942 he saw the accused and two others drown 82 inrates, most of them being Polish mationals by dunking them in a barrel of water head first and holding them down until they were dead (R 59).

rubber hose in block 32 (R 74). From a distance of 20 to 25 meters he saw the accused bathe an ill Spanish immate, Manuel Vallejo, one afternoon after roll call. The accused put the victim in a shower. He beat the immate with a rubber hose, 50 to 60 centimeters long and an inch thick, we ensure the man tried to escape from the shower. Eventually, the force of the water floored the immate (R 74,75). The witness learned later that the immate died (R 74). He never saw the victim again: the victim's mane was on the list of the dead (R 76).

Opressnigg testified that, while the accused was block eldest of block 32, every day 200, 300 or 400 inmates from block 32 were bathed in a bath with a capacity for 400 inmates. They were kept under the showers until they collapsed and drowned in mater approximately 50 centimeters deep (R 119, 120). When the bathing occurred, one or two 35 men stood guard (R 120). Inmates unwilling to go into the cold water were beaten with fists and sticks and kicked. They were driven into the bath. The accused marticipated in those assaults (R 120). In the absence of 35 men, the camp eldest, who was also present, and the accused were in charge of the inmates at the bathhouse. The accused was in charge (R 124). The witness, who while in subcamp Gusen I worked in the pathological institute where all deaths were registered (R 119), further testified that during the time the accused was block eldest of block 32 there were approximately 6000 deaths in that block (R 120). This same figure was correborated by witness Kamienski (R 132).

Kamionski further testified that in January or February 1942 he saw the accused pour cold water on 10 Polish or Spanish inmates while they were lined up in front of block 32. He poured cold water on them until they collapsed. When they collapsed, the accused jumped on their chests and kicked them. The witness saw the frozen, dead bodies of the victims in front of the building (R 132, 133). He also saw several such incidents in November 1942 (k 132). He testified further that in June 1942 he saw 60 to 70 inmates being led by rell call leaders Brust and Grill, followed by the accused, from block 32 to the bathroom situated between blocks 27, 28 and 19, 20. They were forced into the room and beaton with sticks by Brust, Grill and the accused (x 133). After approximately an hour, the surviving inmates were returned to block 32 and "dead beds" were brought to the washroom of the block (R 133).

accused admitted that a certain number of inmates from block 32 had to be killed each day. So stated that this was done in compliance with orders from the 33 loaders of the camp, many of whom are mortioned in the statement.

The accused described fully the various methods used in the killing of inmates in subcamp Gusen I. He stated that inmates had to be taken to a shower bath late in the evening. Those inmates who fainted were left there. Their bodies were collected the following morning and carried to the cremtory. He admitted beating inmates rather frequently in order to maintain order. The accused denied that inmates were ever killed by his beatings. He denied ever giving an order to kill inmates. The death barracks, block 32, was closed in January 1943 whereupon the accused became block eldest of block 5 (R 147; P-Exs 17, 17A).

Folger testified that the unpopularity of the accused was due to the fact that he was in charge of the invalid block and that, because of his position there, the invates were under the impression that the accused was responsible for the drawnings ordered by the protective custody commander (R 266, 267). The witness testified that he saw the accused take 32 underessed invates from the dispensary to block 32 for a bath (R 256). He stated, however, that the accused turned the invates over to the labor allocation leader, Kluge, who was standing outside the door. The door was then shut. The accused discussed with the witness and another invate the fate of the invates he had just escerted. The accused could have done nothing to prevent the killings. Responsibility therefor rested with the protective custody leader and others (R 257).

Sufficiency of Evidence: With regard to the evidence offered in support of superior orders, the Court might well have concluded that the accused's participation in the killing of inmates was not in all cases in the presence of superiors; that his desire to cooperate with and to please superiors was stronger than other considerations; that he did not act unwillingly or under the immediate compulsion of superior orders; and that he failed to meet the burden of proof required by pertinent authorities discussed in Section V, post. The findings of guilty are warranted by the evidence. The sentence is not excessive.

Fetitions: a Petition for Review was filed by Major Joseph L.

Haefele, defense counsel, 20 Cet bor 1947. Petitions for Clemency were

filed by Christian Wohlrab, 16 Nevember 1947; Oscar Tandler, 23 Nevember 1947; Arnold Damaschko, 28 Nevember 1947; accused, 10 December and December 1947; Franz Schulz, 3 January 1948; Reinhard Furucker, 14 January 1948; and Hans Ertel, 21 January 1948.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

V. <u>QUESTIONS OF LAW:</u>

Jurisdiction: The Court had jurisdiction of the persons of the accused and of the subject matter.

application of Parent Case: The Court was required to take cognization of the decision rendered in the Farent Case, including the findings of the Court therein that the mass atrocity operation was criminal in nature and that the participants therein, acting in pursuance of a common design, subjected persons to killings, beatings, tertures, etc., and was warranted in inferring that those shown to have participated knew of the criminal nature thereof (Letter, Headquarters, European Theater, file AG CCC.5 JAG-AGO, subject: "Trial of War Crimes Cases", 14 October 1946, and the Parent Case). The convicted accused were shown to have participated in the mass atrocity and the Court was warranted by the evidence adduced, either in the Parent Case or in this subsequent proceedings, in concluding as to them that they not only participated to a substantial degree but that the nature and extent of their participation were such as to warrant the sentences imposed.

Superior Orders: Accused GATNER and SCHAÖGLER sought to justify their actions by offering evidence to show that they were acting in compliance with "superior orders". Compliance with superior orders does not constitute a defense to the charge of having committed a war crime (Trial of monry Wirz, 40th Congress, 2nd Sess., House of Representatives, Ex. Doc. No. 23, rage 812; Vol. II, Sixth Edition, Comenheim, "International law", maragraph 253, page 453; Llandovery Castle Case, 16 american Journal of International Law, page 708; United States v. Thomas, opinion LJAWC, Documber 1945; and United States v. Klein, et al., (Hadamar Lurder Factory Case), print in DJAWC, February 1946; and French

rule is followed in anglo-American jurisprudence (litchell v. Harmony, 13 how. 115, and "Manual for Courts-Martial, U.S. Army", 1928, paragraph 148).

Compliance with superior orders may, under cortain circumstances, be o haidered in mitigation of punishment. However, an accused who seeks reliaf on such grounds assumes the burden of establishing (a) that he received an order from a superior in fact, directing that he commit the wrongful act, (b) that he did not know or, as a reasonably prudent person, would not have known that the act which he was directed to perform was illegal or contrary to universally accepted standards of human conduct, and (o) that he acted, at least to some extent, under immediate compulsion. Having satisfactorily established these dements, the amount to which his sentence should be mitigated depends upon the character and extent of the immediate compulsion under which he acted. (See London agreement of 8 August 1945, Concerning Prosecution and Punishment of Major Thr Criminals of the European axis; FM 27-10, sar Department, U.S. Army, "Rules of Land "Marfare", paragraph 345.1, Change No. 1, 15 November 1944; Oppenheim, "International law", supra, and the Llandovery Castle Case cited therein; "Manual for Courts-Martial", supra; "Report to the President of United States", 7 June 1945, by Mr. Justice Jacks n, U.S. Chief Counsel for the Prosecution of Axis Criminality; Extract from Goobbels' "The Air Terror of Our Enomies", found in footnote, page 53, "Military Occuration and the Aules of the Law", by Ernst Fraenkel; United States v. Bury, et al., opinion DJAWC. September 1945, United States v. Thomas, supra; and United States v. Beck, et al., opinion DJAWC, December 1946.)

Examination of the entire record fails to disclose any error or omission in the conduct of the trial which resulted in injustice to the accused.

VI. CONCLUSIONS:

- 1. It is recommended that the findings and sentences be approved.
- 2. Legal Forms Nos. 13 and 16 to accomplish this result are attached hereto, should it must with approval

CIAUDIO DELITAIA Attorney Post Trial Branch

Caving	oxamined	the	record	of trial,	I concur,	this	 day
of			1948.				

C. E. STRAICHT Lieuterant Colonel, JAGD Deputy Judge Advocate for War Crimes

iavin.	oxumined	the	record	o f	trial,	I	e oneur	, this	 day
of			1948.						

C. E. STHAIGHT Lieutement Colonel, JAGD Deputy Judge Advocate for War Crimes

#### MILITARGERICHT

## Order on Review Verfügung nach Überprüfung

Case No. Stratuche No 10- --

Order No. Verfügung Nr.

Whereas one

Johann SIRTH

Name of Accused Name des/der Angeklagten

was convicted of the offence of participation in Mauthausen Concentration Camp has a rocity

wegen der folgenden strafbaren Handlung. Teilnahme an Massong reultaten im Konsentret omliner suchsusen

by the

General

Military Court

Militärgerichte

at Dachau, Germany in Dachau, cutschland

and sentenced to childig erkannt und zu

Date Datum

imprisonment for three years, commencing 5 May 1915 drei Jahren Cefaengnis vorunteilt, m t irkung mb 5 Mi 1915

by Judgment dated the 10 October 191.7 durch Untel vom 10 October 194.7

194 and

Whereas the case has now come before me by way of review and after due consideration and in exercise Diese Strafsache ist mir zur Überprüfung vorgelegt worden und nach entsprechendem Studium des Sachverhaltes und in Ausübung

of the powers conferred upon me, I hereby order: der mir übertragenen Befugnisse verfüge ich:

That the findings and sectance are approved. The Director, was initial inteen, "I come determ of TH in far Criminal Prison No. 1, Landsberg, War any, for period free parts, co ending 5 may 1245.

"ess der round und das Unteil bestsettet worden. Der initer, Welenswerbrecherer els, vird die nhartierung von Johann alle H in Kriegsverbrechergafese mis br.

15 May

1. 261

s/ Lucius D. Clay Signature of Reviewing Authority Unterschrift d. nachprof. Elektride

Title Titel

MILITARGERICHT

Order on Review

Verfügung nach Überprüfung

Case No. Stratsache Ne 0-50-5-32

Order No. Vertügung Nr.

Whereas one

Johann CLAS :

Name of Accused Name des/der Angeklagten

was convicted of the offence of participation in Mauthausen Concentration College to see a recity

wegen der folgenden strafbaren Handlung Teilnehme an Massengreultaten im Konzentra best er utheusen

by the

Ceneral

Military Court

at Dachau, Cormany

and sentenced to

Oberen Militargerichte

in Dachau, Deutschland imprisonment for twenty years, con Address of Court Maiches des Genelis

schuldig erkannt und zu

zwenzig Jahren Gefaengnie verurteilt, mit direm sie Februar 1987

by Judgment dated the 10 October 1947

10 Oktober 1 127

Date Datum

Whereas the case has now come before me by way of review and after due consideration and in exercise Diese Sträfsache ist mir zur Überprüfung vorgelegt worden und nach entsprechendem Studium-des Sachverhaltes und in Ausübung

of the powers conferred upon me, I hereby order: der mir übertragenen Befugnisse verfüge ich:

That the findings and sentence are approved. The Director, as right rison, will emplie Johann MAS in Mar Original Prison No. 1, Landsberg, Far any, for a paried of tearty years, no enoing 20 February 1917.

Cass der Cefund und das Urtell bestsetigt werden. Der Leiter, Friegsverbrecherrofee wis, wird die Inhaftierung von Johann GLAS im Kriegsvartrecher-ofsendie in. 1, landstore, subschand, fuer die Dauer von zwarzir Jahre, i rum a en war.
1 7, von de den.

Gegeben am

194 8.

A Certified True, Co y:

Colonel

s/ Lucius D. Clay Signature of Reviewing Authority Unterschill d nachprof Hebords

> in State Idel Con . r-.

MILITARGERICHT

# Order on Review

	O de o	INCVIEW			
	Verfügung nach	Überprüfung			
Case No. Stratrache Nr. 30= 225	- 70	Order No. Verfügung Nr.			
Whereas one	Karl oX	TER.			
_	Name of Accused	Name des/der Angeklagten			
was convicted of the off	dence of participation in	Mauthousen Concentration			
wegen der folgenden strafbare	n Handlung Tet Inchme an Impe	sengraultaton im Konzectr			
by the	General Military Court				
	Oberen Militärgerichte	at Dacheu, Cermany in Dacheu, Peutschland			
and sentenced to	imprisonment for life lebenslamplicher Geface	Address of Court Anse	healt des Gershts		
by Judgment dated the	10 October 1:17 10 October 1:17	the state of the s	194 and		
	D.	te Datum			
Whereas the case h	as now come before me by way zur Überprüfung vorgelegt worden und n	of review and after due consider	eration and in exercise		
	upon me. I hereby order:				
That the finds	nge and sentence are appropriate in war Griminel Pr	oved. The Director, or ison No. 1, Landsberg, Ge	richal Prison, remy, for the		
Dess der Tefun	d und das Urteil bestseti	gt werden. Der Leiter K	riersverbrecheren		

factoris, wird die lebenslaengliche Inhaftierung von Earl GARTER im Krisgaverbrecherge-factoris ". 1, Iandsberg, Deutschland, veranlassen.

Dated this Gegeben am -

15 May 194 8.

A Certified True, Cony:

Stor Buck

Howard F. B ESES Colonel - AGD 8/ Lucius D. Clay
Signature of Reviewing Authority Unterschrift d nachprof Behörde

LUCIUS D. CLAY

Commander-in-Chief

Druck: Th. Sedlmair, Freising, Ziegelg 19 7000 2, 48

MILITÄRGERICHT

# Order on Review Verfügung nach Überprüfung

Case No.

Order No. Verlügung Nr.

Wherea: one

Karl HORCICKA

Name of Accused Name des/der Angeklagten

mas convicted of the offence of

participation in Mauthausen Concentration Camp.mass strocity

Tellnahme an Massengroultaten im Konzentrat melager muchausen

to the

General

Oteren

Military Court

Militärgerichte

al Dachau, Germany

in Dachau, Deutschland

Address of Court Anschrift des Gerichts

and sentenced to

death by hanging

Tod lurch den Strang verurteilt

b. Judgment dated the 10 October 1-1.7

10 Oktober 1947

. .

194 and

Whereas the case has now come before me by way of review and after due consideration and in exercise

of the powers conferred upon me, I hereby order:

Bidugnisse verlüge ich:

adings and sentence are approved. The Director, dar Trisinal Prison, the tence into execution at War Criminal Prison No. 1, Landstern, Germany, determined by him.

efund und das Urteil bestaetigt werden. Der Leiter, Kriegsverbrecherie Wollstreckung des Urteils im Kriegsverbrechergeraenmis Nr. 1,
titland, zu einer von ihm festgesetzen Zeit, veranlassen.

D

Date: -

15 May

1948

Lucius of my

Signature of Reviewing Authority Unterschaft - Actor

LUCT LA

Com rice - -

Druck: Th Sedimar Freing Lages

2. 48

MILITARGERICHT

## Order on Review Verfügung nach Überprüfung

Case No.

5-roote N. 00-50-5-32

Order No.

Verlügung Nr.

Whereas one

Karl SCHRÖGLER

Name of Accused Name des/der Angeklagten

\*as convicted of the offence of participation in Mauthausen Concentration Camp rans atrocity

esper der folgenden strafbaren Handlung Teilnahme an Massengreultaten im Konmen ratio. Eleger buthaus en

General Military Court

Oberen Militärgerichte at Dachau, Germany

Dachau, Deutschland

and sentenced to and g erkannt und zu ceath by hanging

Tod durch den Strang verurteilt

by Judgment dated the 10 October 1947

10 Oktober 1917

and 194

Whereas the case has now come before me by way of review and after due consideration and in exercise Diese Strafsache ist mir zur Überprüfung vorgelegt worden und nach entsprechendem Studium des Sachverhaltes und in Ausubung

of the powers conferred upon me, I hereby order:

zer mir übertragenen Befügnisse verfüge ich:

Instanta findings and sentence are approved. The Director, ar Criminal Prison, it serve the sentence into execution at Mar Criminal Prison No. 1, Landsberg, Germany, the second to be determined by him.

Ds : ter offund und das Urteil bestaetigt werden. Der Leiter, Kriegsverbrecherreftennis, wird die Vollstreckung des Urteils im Kriegsverbrechergefaenenis Mr. 1, lander, butschland, zu einer von ihm festgesetzen Zeit, verenlassen.

Dated this

194 Y

many o la Signature of Reviewing Authority Unterschrift d. nachprof Behorde

LUCIUS D. DAY C.C. Tible TIMEA

Druck: Th. Sedlmair; Freising, Ziegelg 19, 7000-2, 48