Of

WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Page
HORINOUCHI, Kensuke (resumed)	29801
Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr (cont'd)	29801
Questions by the President of the Tribunal, Sir William Webb	29810
Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr (cont'd)	29811
MORNING RECESS	29832
Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr (cont'd)	29833
NOON RECESS	29860
Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr (cont'd)	29861
(Witness excused)	29882
AFTERNOON RECESS	29885
HID/KA, Shinrokuro	29898
Direct by Mr. YAMAOKA	29898
(Witness excused)	29913
QKAMOTO, Suemasa (recalled)	29914
pirect by Mr. YAMAOKA	29914

Of

EXHIBITS

Doc.	Def. No.	Pros.	Description.	For In Ident.Evidence
820 - C		3263	Outline of Measures for the China In- cident - Decision of the Cabinet Council on 24 December 1937	29815
3090-A		3264	Records Concerning th Imperial Conference	e 29837
820-E		3265	Concerning the Basic Policy for Settling the Chinese Inciden (Subject before a C in the Presence of Emperor on 10 Janua 1938)	ouncil the
2582	3266		Sworn Deposition of HAYASHI, Kaoru of the Foreign Office show that Defense Docume 1423 is a correct atrue copy and is at present in the cust of the Chief of the Archives Section	ont and cody
1423	3267		The Problem of the Co clusion of a Japane German Political Co vention (Dated 24 1 1936)	ese-

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Doc.	Def.	Pros.	Description	For In Ident Evidence
820-A		3268	Views Concerning the Attitude of the Empire toward Arbitration or Int vention by America European Powers of League of Nations the Sino-Japanese Incident	'the
820 - G		3269	The Course Towards the Opening of the Imperial Conferen (January 14 1938)	CO. T. C.
820-D		3270	Message from Prime Minister Prince KONOYE, Fumimaro to Foreign Minist HIROTA, Koki	er 29886
1841-8B	7	3271	Letter dated 8 February 1938 from Foreign Minister HIROTA to Consul-Generals OKAMOTO and NAKAM Subject: Matters pertaining to Prowork for the Design of the Nationalis Government	TURA. opaganda cruction
820-В		3272	Inters Regarding to Imperial General Headquarters Courain the Imperial Presence (Dated February 1938)	nc11

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Doc.	Def. Pros. No. No.	Description 1	For In dent Evidence
2148	3273	Affidavit of HIDAKA, Shinrokuro	29901
2538	3273-A	Sworn Deposition of HAYASHI, Kabru of the Foreign Office showin that the telegrams an official notes refers to in Defense Document 2148 were lost by fire	red nt
2276	3274 -	Affidavit of OKAMOTO, Suemasa	29916
2027	3275	Telegram from Foreign Minister HIROTA to Consul-General OKAMO at Shanghai, dated 4 August 1937	ro 29916
2028	3276	Telegram from Foreign Minister HIROTA to Ambassador KAWAGOE i China, dated 7 Augus 1937	n t 29916

Thursday, 2 October 1947

reds house for Congres Carte

BUILT FOR THE BEE BETT SE DET IN TENNED DESC

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

25

24

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan

The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0930.

Appearances:

For the Tribunal, all Members sitting, with the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE HENRI BERNARD, Member from the Republic of France, not sitting from 0930 to 1600 and HONORABLE JUSTICE R. B. PAL, Member from India, not sitting from 1100 to 1200.

THE RESERVE AND THE PARTY OF TH

For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before.

(English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

4

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.
THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

KENSUKE HORINOUCHI, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION

EY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):

Q Mr. HORINOUCHI, in the last subparagraph of paragraph 17 of your affidavit you refer to a letter which the Foreign Minister received from Ambassador Dirksen. Have you got it?

A No, I don't. I know that that letter was actually received.

- Q What has become of it?
- A I do not know,

MR. COMYNS CARR: It is not in any way accounted for, your Honor.

- Q From whom did you receive the account of the conversation between the Foreign Minister HIROTA and the German Ambassador?
 - A From the Foreign Minister.
 - Q You were not present yourself?

No.

Q In paragraph 18 you say that it was made clear that both the army and the navy had no objection to the peace terms of the August plan. Isn't it the fact that at some time before in November or the first

week of December the army stole a telegram passing between Dirksen, the German Ambassador in Tokyo, and Trautmann, the German Ambassador in China?

A I do not know of that.

Q And discovered the terms which were being offered and said that owing to the advance which the Japanese armies had made since August those terms would have to be stiffened?

A I did hear that there was a certain element in the army which did hold such opinions.

Q And didn't HIROTA agree to stiffen them?

A No, he did not. Foreign Minister HIROTA asked German Ambassador Dirksen to use his good offices based on the conditions agreed upon between the Foreign, War and Navy ministries. However, from the beginning there was an element in the army opposed to these peace terms especially some of the younger officers in the General Staff, and they adopted a strong attitude against the Foreign Minister and said that his attitude should be firmly opposed. That is

what I heard.

Q A few days before the fall of Nanking on the 13th of December did not the Japanese cabinet decide that when Nanking fell they would issue a statement of nonrecognition of Chiang's government?

A I do not recollect such a thing.

Q You say in the second part of paragraph 18 that it was at a liaison conference on the 20th of December that the four terms which you set out in that paragraph were agreed upon. Isn't it the truth that at a cabinet meeting on the 18th of December it was HIROTA who brought up the terms which he had submitted to Ambassador Dirksen and also a considerably detailed proposal of terms of peace for China?

A I do not remember whether those proposals were presented to that cabinet conference or not.

Q Did not the General Staff at that date put forward the view that the war in China must be stopped at the earliest possible moment?

A That, too, I do not recollect.

Q Did they not give as their reason that it was necessary to prepare for a war against Russia?

A That I do not know.

Q And did not they insist that the full terms

in detail which Japan was prepared to accept should be put before the Chinese Government?

A That, too, I do not know.

Q How is it that you are able to tell us, or profess to be able to tell us, in so much detail what happened at some cabinet meetings and yet tell us that you know nothing about what happened at others?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Yamaoka.

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, I object to that question. This question is directed to the witness' knowledge regarding matters within the General Staff and he was a Foreign Office member.

THE PRESIDENT: It is directed to happenings in cabinet, if I heard it rightly.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Objection overruled.

A I shall reply.

I can tell you whatever the Foreign Minister told me as far as I can remember.

Q Didn't HIROTA tell you anything about the cabinet meeting of the 18th of December?

A I don't remember.

Q I thought you told us that it was his duty to report to you, as you were his Vice-Minister, what happened on these occasions, didn't you?

A I have never used the word "duty" heretofore.

Q What do you say then as to the manner in which you got this information from HIROTA; was it just gossip when he chose to give it to you or was it received in your official capacity?

A It is necessary to divide this problem into two parts when considering this matter. The first is the question of whether the Foreign Minister thought it necessary to tell me on every occasion of all that had transpired. As regards this I cannot say that the Foreign Minister told me -- I would not go so far as to say that the Foreign Minister told me on every occasion of all that he had on his mind.

The next question is whether I myself remember all that the Foreign Minister told me. I do not remember every detail.

3

5

6

8

10

12

13

15

16

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

Q Wasn't it necessary for you as Vice Minister to know whether the terms which were to be presented to China were the detailed terms of what Japan was really going to insist upon was merely four vague general principles?

A As regards this problem, I do remember that the Foreign Minister told me of the Cabinet decision in relation to the terms to be offered to China, and not only did he give me these terms orally, but he also made notes of the Cabinet decision and handed them to me. However, I do not remember whether the details of these conditions or terms were discussed in the Cabinet meeting of 18th or 20th, that is to say, at that Cabinet meeting.

Where are the notes that he gave you?

A I don't mean that he handed them to me, I mean that he handed them to German Ambassador Dirksen.

And did he not tell you that the Cabinet, at the instance chiefly of the accused KIDO, had refused the General Staff's proposal that lenient and detailed terms should be presented to China, and had insisted that only these four vague terms should be presented?

A No, he did not.

And did he not tell you that he had agreed

of December --

with that proposal? 1 In relation to what proposal? The proposal that no details should be given 0 3 to the Chinese, but only vague terms? 4 No, he did not tell me anything of this. A Now, at this liaison conference of which you Q 6 speak in paragraph 18, were you present? 7 A No. 8 From whom did you get your information about Q 9 10 1t? From the Foreign Minister. 11 Didn't he tell you that on that occasion 12 the General Staff had pressed strongly for immediate 13 peace with China, and for the full terms to be disclosed 14 15 at once, and to be of a lenient kind? 16 No, I did not heer of this. 17 Nevertheless, had not HIROTA, right up to the 18 2nd of December, continued to inform the German ambass-19 dor that the same terms which he had offered before were 20 still cpen? 21 Yes. A Q He had? 23 A Yes. 24 Was there another Cabinet meeting on the 21st

A I don't remember the date --

2

Q -- immediately after --

5 4

THE INTERPRETER: Witness says that the reason for that is because --

5

6

A -- because of the serious turn events were taking at that time, Cabinet meetings were held very frequently so I do not remember the exact dates on which each particular cabinet meeting was held.

7

10

Q Was there not, immediately after the lisison conference of which you have spoken, a Cabinet meeting at which the Cabinet settled the draft of the answer to the German ambassador with regard to the negotiations

11

with China?

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

20

23

25

24

A Although I do not remember the exact date,
I do remember that new terms were presented to the
German ambassador and that his further good offices
were requested. It was decided to do this; that I
remember.

And were not the new terms those four general principles, without any details?

A According to my recollection those were the terms that were decided. However, I do remember that it was also decided that if necessary additional explanations could be given by the Foreign Minister, and the points on which these explanations were to

be given were also decided then.

Q Now, I have to suggest to you that it is quite untrue that any detailed explanations were given to the German ambassador to be passed on to the Chinese Government.

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, I understood the witness to say that they were ready to make explanations.

THE PRESIDENT: No. Paragraph 19.

Rather than suggest he is lying about that I think he should be asked to say what the details were.

Divergent and in other units breanthat boat

Spratt & Duda

Q Did you hear the learned President's question?
What were the details which you say were given to
the German Ambassador?

A As I have already stated, what was presented to the German Ambassador were these four terms.

Q Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: With a detailed explanation, you say. What were the details?

THE WITNESS: Although I do not remember all the details, some of them were, for instance, in relation to unfortified zones, what the needed areas were to be. Such and such areas in North China were specified. And that such and such areas around Shanghai should also be demilitarized.

THE PRESIDENT: What were the details about reparations?

THE WITNESS: In relation to reparations, explanations were made to the effect that reparations would be asked for damage suffered by Japanese nationals and also damage suffered by the Japanese Government and by other public organizations. A further explanation was made to the effect that these were reparations that were being asked for, and not indemnities.

THE PRESIDENT: What were the details about

the economic relations among Japan, Manchukuo and China?

THE WITNESS: The se concerned the question

of import and expert duties and of postal communications,

as I recall.

Now, co you say that those details or any details were ever submitted to the Chinese Government?

I have heard that the Foreign Minister received a reply from the German Ambassador stating that these proposals had been transmitted through the German Ambassador in China, Herr Trautmann, to the Chinese Government.

Which proposals? Q

The four terms decided on at the cabinet meeting and the liaison conference which you have just mentioned, together with the accitional oral explanations which I have just mentioned.

I agree that the four terms were submitted. But what I am suggesting to you is that it is quite untrue that any details were ever submitted. What do you say to that?

What the Foreign Minister told me was that they were transmitted just as I have stated in my previous reply.

When did he tell you that?

At that time.

5

3

1

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, with regard to the cabinet meeting of December 21, I should have referred the Tribunal to exhibit 2259, record page 16,222.

Now, was there a cabinet meeting on the 24th of Lecember, 1937, at which the cabinet decided the butline of measures for the China Incident?

I don't remember the exact date. I do remember that sometime around then the outline of measures for the China Incident which you have just mentioned were decided on.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Now, may the witness see the original of IPS document 820-C.

Is that the record preserved in the files of the Foreign Office of the decisions of that cabinet meeting on that subject?

May I have some time to read this document, 18 please, in detail?

Cannot you tell me whether that is a record from the files of the Foreign Office without reading it in detail?

This is not written on official Foreign Office paper but is written on ordinary white paper such as we use for typewriting drafts. Furthermore, there is no signature or seal of any person on this document.

24

12

14

17

19

21

22

Q Do you notice that it has the very title which you gave us just now of the document you were thinking

The title is as you say. However, in order to ascertain whether the document really is what you have said it is, I should have to read it in detail.

Q Well, then, you must do so.

THE PRESIDENT: That is a new test of authenticity, reading the document. However, it is his, se let him apply it.

Is this a very lengthy document, Mr. Carr. MR. COMYNS CARR: It is quite lengthy, your Honor, but it is an important one.

THE PRESIDENT: I have never yet heard a witness say, let me read the letter through before I tell you whether it is authentic or not.

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, the witness has stated that it has no seal, it is not on the official paper of the Foreign Office, it is just on ordinary typewriting paper.

THE PRESIDENT: This man was Ambassador to the United States. He ought to have enough intelligence to answer for himself. He does not need any prompting.

MR. YAMAOKA: Well, if your Honor please, with

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

3

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

11

14

17

18

19

20

16

21 22

23 24

25

great respect I submit that the witness has so answered already when he was being questioned on this document.

THE PRESIDENT: He has stated certain things, but he has suspended his judgment until he reads that document. He said so.

Q Have you finished?

A I have finished reading.

Q Now, then, cannot you tell me whether that is the correct copy filed in the Foreign Office records of the decisions of that cabinet meeting?

A This is not in the original form as decided at the cabinet. But I do recognize that it is a copy of those decisions.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I ask that it be admitted in evidence, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Yamaoka.

MR. YAMAOXA: If your Honors please, I object to this document's being a proper piece of evidence in the case at this stage of the proceedings. I respectfully submit that the prosecution has already closed its case, and is not to test evidence in the nature of impeachment of this witness' testimony.

THE PRESIDENT: You can always get in evidence relevant to the issue or relevant to facts in issue in this way in cross-examination of the witnesses for

the defense.

The objection is overruled and the document admitted.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 820-C will receive exhibit No. 3263.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3263 and received in evidence.)

MR. YAMAOKA: If your Honors please, with great respect, I hadn't finished my statement and I should be much obliged if I could have permission to elaborate on the subject.

THE PRESIDENT: We will never finish this case; at the rate we are going we will be here to the end of next year arguing about nothing.

MR. YAMAOKA: A very fundamental issue arrises, if your Honor please, as to how much evidence is to be permitted to be admitted on the prosecution's case in the defense case through defense witnesses.

THE PRESIDENT: Even applying the strict technical rules of the national court, this document is rightly tendered and I say rightly admitted, and we are not bound by technical rules.

MR. YAMAOKA: I respectfully submit, if your Honor pleases, that if the prosecution is permitted to bring in its evidence in this manner after the close of its case, and particularly when they had this evidence available on their case, and particularly in the light of the fact that this is not in the nature of impeaching testimony nor even proper rebuttal testimony, in our submission —

THE PRESIDENT: The principle is so elemental that I am not going to state it. If in the course of cross-examination a witness is handed a relevant and material document and testifies to its authenticity, as this man has done as far as contents go, it can be tendered in evidence and received.

MR. YAMAOKA: If your Honor please, I respectfully submit that, once they have closed their case and if they are permitted to present their evidence in this manner why the defense has no way to meet it, and particularly when many of the accused have already testified or their cases have already closed. I respectfully submit --

THE PRESIDENT: At least four of my colleagues tell me that they fully concur in what I have said to you. If you like I will tell you what they have said. And I have no dissent. I think the whole Tribunal is against you, Mr. Yamaoka. I have no dissent; if I had I would let you know.

MR. YAMAOKA: With great respect and deference, if your Honor please --

THE PRESIDENT: It is useless to argue. It is only a waste of time, and I am very much concerned about the time that is being wasted.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I will read the document, your Honor.

"OUTLINE OF MEASURES FOR THE CHINA INCIDENT
"DECISION OF THE CABINET COUNCIL on December
24, 1937.

"Outline of Measures for the Incident (A).
"Since the outbreak of the incident, the

19 4

Imperial Government earnestly hoped that the Nanking Government would immediately abandon its anti-Japanese pro-Communistic policy and would act in concert with Japan and contribute to the stabilization of East Asia. If that government will reconsider, we shall strive with her to save the situation. However, we must be prepared for the time when the government will adjocate further drawn out resistance, and show not the slightest sign of reconsideration. On the other hand, with the development of our military activities, Japan's occupational area has become extensive, making it necessary to immediately carry out its management. Taking these situations into consideration, we shall not necessarily expect the conclusion of the negotiations with the Nanking Government, but while striving separately to save the situation we will, as the military operations progress, in order to cope with the drawn-out resistance by the Nanking Government, take measures according to the following policy in the North and Central China areas.

"This purport of the above policy shall be declared to the whole world at a suitable occasion.

"I. Policy for the Management of North China.

"In North China we shall aim at the .

25

24

21

22

3

5

6

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

23

24

and Manchurian regime politically and the creation of an inseparable relation between Japan, Manchuria and China economically. We shall promote the realization of these objects and gradually expand and strengthen this regime which shall be supervised to become the leading power of reconstructed new China.

"However, in case the negotiation with the Central Government is concluded, this new regime shall be adjusted according to the peace conditions.

"A. Policy for Political Supervision.

- "(1) It is of vital importance to create a new North China Regime, capable of winning public confidence not only in North China but also in Central and South China. For this purpose:
- "(a) the leaders of this regime shall be corrected of characters having the confidence of all China;
- "(b) this regime shall have an organization appropriate for the new era;
- "(c) it shall maintain an outline of policy worthy of being advocated to all China;
- "(d) as regards our supervision of the said regime, we shall do it in accordance with the policy of not directing or interfering in the particulars of

3

5

6

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

17

19

18

20

22

24 25 administration by the disposition of Japanese officials, but restrict it to internal supervision by Japanese advisors in regard to the main points.

North China Regime shall depend on the development of the military operations; but mainly they shall be the three provinces, Hopei, Shantung, Shanhsi, and a part of Chahar Province. The Chitung self-government shall be dissolved and absorbed by the new regime. The self-government of Chanan and Chinpei shall be absorbed by the new regime at a suitable time. Moreover, close relations shall be maintained with the self-government of Mongolia.

"(3) For the time being, we shall not concern ourselves with the concessions in order to avoid
disputes with third countries. However, outside of
the concessions, we shall, even before the establishment of the new regime, supervise things so as to
complete the administrative organs like postal,
electrical, tax and road administrations. With regard
to the customs, considerations shall be made separately.

"B. Policy for Economic Development.

"(1) The object of the economic development in North China shall be to strengthen the synthetic relation of Japanese and Manchuria economy, and to

establish the foundation for realizing co-prosperity and coalition among Japan, Manchukuo, and China. For this purpose, we shall develop and adjust every line of economy, by closely combining Chinese capital on the spot and our capital and technique, and thereby strive for the maintenance of peace and stabilization of public life and contribute to the development and increase of production of necessary materials for the national defense in a broader sense in Japan and Manchukuo.

"In carrying out the developments, we shall pay attention to the harmonization of international revenues and disbursements of Japan, Manchuria, and North China and the adjustment of supply and demand of materials, and take steps as the occasion may demand. We shall also do our best to put the Chinese in the limelight in order that they will not get the impression that economic pressure is being put upon them; and we shall put emphasis on proper management in line with the national policy so as not to betray the expectation of our entire nation.

Wolf & Lefler

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"(2) A national policy company shall be established for the development and control of economy in North China and it shall be organized so as to actually realize the unity of the national spirit and the industrial mobilization of our country.

"The above company shall be responsible for the development, management and adjustment of the important industries, such as the major transporation enterprises, (including harbours and roads) the major communication enterprises, the major electric power generating and transmission enterprises, the major mining enterprises, the salt industries and industries utilizing salt.

"As to the management of the above company, we shall always be careful to exercise the necessary control according to the circumstances of our country, and to comply with the important industrial plans of both Japan and Manchuria. Enterprises other than the above major industries shall not be put under any special control except for special reasons.

"(3) In the economic development of North China, we shall strive for the utilization of Chinese capital and promote co-operation with Chinese enterprises.

"(4) Co-operative investment of funds by any

third country in the economic development of North China shall be permitted.

"The existing economic rights and interests of the Powers in North China shall be respected as much as possible.

- "(5) Close connection shall be kept in the trade relation between Japan, Manchukuo, and North China, and suitable adjustment shall be made in regard to trade between North China and any third country.
- "(6) The government on the spot shall be called on to gradually establish necessary facilities in regard to agricultural improvement, flood control, irrigation, forestation, and co-operative enterprises.
- "(7) Existing enterprises in North China in connection with major industries shall be disposed of or adjusted according to this policy.
- "(8) Measures shall be taken to immediately operate enterprises which are able to start at once on condition that disposal or adjustment will be made in the future according to this principle.
- "(9) The Provisional Government of the Republic of China, or the Public Peace Maintenance Associations, or their federation, or the district governments shall, for the time being, be the party we shall deal with in the negotiations on the economic

development of North China.

"II. Policy for the Management of the Shanghai Area.

"(1) We shall consider the establishment, at a good opportunity, of a new government in areas occupied by our troops, which will have connections with the new regime in North China. However, for the time being, the Public Peace Maintenance Associations, and if necessary, their federation, shall be established and they shall be charged with the maintenance of peace.

"(2) The policies for the Concession and for the areas around the Concession shall be established separately.

"Policy for the Management of the Areas Around the Concession

"(A) Administration

"As to the areas around the Concession, we shall co-operate toward the development of those areas, and consider the establishment of organizations for the security of peace in the Concession. This shall be managed according to the following outline:

"(1) The areas around the Concession, i.e., the areas under the jurisdiction of the Greater Shanghai City, excluding the Concession and the roads on its

4 5

2

3

6

8

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

23

boundary, shall be called the Special City.

"(2) The administration of the Special City shall be conducted by a Chinese mayor. However, a Japanese councillor shall be appointed for the Special City in order to assist the mayor and to supervise the general administration. The authority of the councillor shall be established separately.

"(3) A Special Police Department shall be established in order to conduct the police administration of the Special City. The Chief of the Police Department and all lower ranking police chiefs shall be Chinese, but a reasonable number of Japanese Councillors shall be appointed in order to co-operate with them. The authority of the councillors shall be established separately. Furthermore, the employment of foreign councillors shall also be considered, if necessary.

"The number of Chinese police and their armaments shall be established separately. The police authority for Japanese residents in the Special City shall come under the jurisdiction of the consulate police.

"(4) The financial administration of the Special City shall be maintained by various revenues which will include the various kinds of taxes levied

in the old city of Shanghai, and will also be obtained by taking over various organizations under direct control of the former Luomir tang Government, such as the tax administration, the telephone and telegraph administration, the postal administration, etc., in the area of the Special City or by setting up new establishments.

"(5) In the future (in expectation of the establishment of a new regime in Central China), the entire Special City shall be an open port city; and rights of residence, trade, ownership, or permanent leases by foreigners shall be recognized (for the time being the pending question relating to land possession by Japanese is expected to be solved).

"(B) Policy for the Establishment of Japan's Economic Right; and Interests.

"With the aim of establishing the foundation for Japan's a conomic development in the areas of Central China, with Shanghai as the base, the following measures shall be taken as one of the concrete policies:-

"(1) The areas around the Concession (area under the jurisdiction of Greater Shanghai City excluding the Concession and the roads on the boundary) shall be called the Special City; and a national policy

8

10

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

company shall be established in order to maintain control of various kinds of business of public character such as telephone, electric power, electric light, water supply, gas, electric car, bus, and other enterprises in the said Special City, and to manage or adjust enterprises in connection with the following clauses. As to the scale and the order of business undertakings of the said national policy company, they shall be determined separately by taking into consideration the actual condition of our country and the situation on the spot.

"The capital of the said national policy company shall be provided from funds on the spot, in so far as they are allowable for that purpose. Furthermore, the providing of capital to Japanese entrepreneurs of small and medium scale in the Special City and in the Concession, as well as for the acquirement of real estate in the Concession by Japanese, shall be taken into consideration separately as soon as possible.

"(2) All the official establishments, lands, buildings, etc., in the Special City owned by the former Chinese authorities shall be taken over by the Japanese and shall be appropriately utilized. But those which are required by the authorities of the Special City for their administration shall be used

by them.

"(3) The area of the Special City shall be utilized as much as possible as the base of connection for communications, transporation, and airways between the vicinity of Shanghai, and various districts of Japan, North China and Manchuria; and the following articles shall be carried out for the time being:

- "(a) Some suitable steamship companies shall make use of Chiukiang Pier and the Chaoshang Chu Pier.
- "(b) Various facilities necessary for the practical acquirement of cable and wireless (broadcast inclusive) communication rights in the Shanghai area in the future shall be controlled and managed.
- "(c) Lunghwa Airfield shall be controlled and managed as a connecting air base for the Shanghai-Fukuoka Route. Furthermore, the right of administration for both Hongjao and Yuantung air bases shall be acquired and they shall be of service for the establishment of practical rights for airways connection between Japan and China in the future.
- "(4) A large market shall be established in the Special City area and it shall supply the Concession with necessities of life such as fish, meat, vegetables, etc. (For the time being, the utilization of the fish market in Shanghai City shall be taken

by them.

"(3) The area of the Special City shall be utilized as much as possible as the base of connection for communications, transporation, and airways between the vicinity of Shanghai, and various districts of Japan, North China and Manchuria; and the following articles shall be carried out for the time being:

- "(a) Some suitable steamship companies shall make use of Chiukiang Pier and the Chaoshang Chu Pier.
- "(b) Various facilities necessary for the practical acquirement of cable and wireless (broadcast inclusive) communication rights in the Shanghai area in the future shall be controlled and managed.
- "(c) Lunghwa Airfield shall be controlled and managed as a connecting air base for the Shanghai-Fukuoka Route. Furthermore, the right of administration for both Hongjao and Yuantung air bases shall be acquired and they shall be of service for the establishment of practical rights for airways connection between Japan and China in the future.
- "(4) A large market shall be established in the Special City area and it shall supply the Concession with necessities of life such as fish, meat, vegetables, etc. (For the time being, the utilization of the fish market in Shanghai City shall be taken

10

2

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21 22

23

into consideration; and small crafts shall be permitted to leave and enter the port freely.)

"(5) For the time being, as for business which can be immediately started, measures shall be taken to start them promptly, under the condition that they shall be properly disposed of or adjusted at the time of the establishment of the national policy company.

"The Public Peace Maintenance Committee or the district governments shall, for the time being, be dealt with in the negotiations for the establishment of these economic rights.

"Matters Agreed at the Cabinet Conference
relating to the Policy for Economic Development.

- "1. As for major transportation enterprises and major communication enterprises, unified management by a single company in all of Manchuria and China shall not be permitted.
- "2. Efforts shall be made to strengthen the finance of the North China Regime in order to promote public enterprises and other development enterprises in North China.
- "3. In order to maintain and improve the international revenues and disbursements between North

6

3

9

10

12

14

15

17

18

20

21

23

24

3

6

8

10

11

13.

15

17

19

21

22 23

24

China and any third country, an effective and appropriate policy shall be taken.

"4. The gold production industry in North China shall be immediately undertaken from the view-point of international revenues and disbursements of our country, and these circumstances shall be taken into consideration when making future adjustments.

"Policy B for the Management of Areas Around Shanghai.

"Matters Relating to the Policy for the
Establishment of Japanese Economic Rights Agreed at
the Cabinet Conference

"The National Policy Company shall, if necessary, be permitted to execute business in connection with the land under the control of the Japanese Army and of the Japanese civilian authorities in the Special City."

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please -THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: By my silence, I don't want to be understood as concurring in the decision of the Tribunal in permitting the prosecution at this time to continue its case.

THE PRESIDENT: You do not assent to the Tribunal's decisions. You are bound by them, whether

you assent or not, so you are relieved of any handicap that might otherwise result from your silence, Mr. Logan. And, that applies to every other counsel.

MR. LOGAN: I just wanted to point out, if the Tribunal please, that in addition to the fact that I think the practice is contrary to any practice we enjoy in the United States, and in addition to that --

THE PRESIDENT: We cannot allow you to reargue the matter.

MR. LOGAN: But, I just wanted to also point out, if the Tribunal please, that on the eve of preparing the case for the accused KIDO, with further evidence coming in like that, it is going to be quite a handicap.

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):

Now, Mr. HORINOUCHI, on the 8th of January, 1938, did Mr. HIROTA inform the German Ambassador that if the Chinese wished to ask questions, in order to understand the four points more fully, the Japanese Government would answer them? And, did he ask the German Ambassador to obtain them from the German Ambassador in China?

I don't recollect that. However, I do recollect that Foreign Minister HIROTA gave quite

16

17

15

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

detailed explanations in regard to these four terms. THE MONITOR: The I.B.M. is off, I think. . 2 THE PRESIDENT: Well, I heard what he said. 3 Mine is all right. MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, the machine is 5 not out of order, but I didn't hear any translation 6 to the witness' last answer. THE PRESIDENT: He said something in connec-8 tion with the four terms. I heard that. (Whereupon, the last answer of the 10 witness was read by the official court 11 reporter.) 12 You see, what I am suggesting to you is that 13 he gave no detailed explanations at all, but said that 14 15 he would welcome questions from the Chinese. 16 My recollection is as I have just testified. 17 THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen 18 minutes. 19 (Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was 20 taken until 1100, after which the proceed-21 ings were resumed as follows:)

That that he ment that the try as an enveryment ;

Cothern streets

ungon to restrict outputy assistentials as an area-

cay on that day with revent to a resident

23

24

22

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, I gave a wrong date to the last question I put to the witness. I said 8th of January; it should have been 6th of January.

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):

Q Mr. HORINOUCHI, did not HIROTA on the 6th of January inform the German ambassador that he would welcome questions from the Chinese government to elucidate the four main Japanese points?

I do not remember.

and did he not on the same day tell him that he expected either an answer or at least a query from the Chinese government in the near future?

A I do not remember.

On the 10th of January did he not see the German ambassador and state that the Japanese Government was expecting an answer with the utmost speed?

I don't remember whether he said that on that date or not. However, I do recall that he told Ambassa-22 dor Dirksen that he hoped that the Chinese government 23 would be urged to reply as quickly as possible -- to convey to the Chinese government.

Did he say on that day with regard to a request

for clarification from the Chinese Government that 1 the military insisted upon immediate and clear answer? 2 I do not remember. 3 IR. COMYNS CARR: That is exhibit 486-F, record 4 page 5993. 5 The truth was the exact opposite, wasn't it? 0 I don't remember. A 7 "Vasn't the truth that the Ceneral Staff were 8 insisting that the terms should be clarified and the 9 cabinet were insisting that they should not? 10 A I don't recall that fact. 11 Now, in your account of these various negotia-12 tions and internal discussions in Japan you have en-13 tirely omitted the Imperial Conference that was held 14 on the 11th of January, 1938. Why? 15 That is because I did not remember that. 16 O Do you remember it now? 17 Are you asking me as to whether the Imperial A 18 Conference was or was not held? 19 20 Yes. 21 A Yes. I do. 22 and do you really say that you have forgotten 23 all about it?

I do not say I have forgotten all about it.

"Thy didn't you mention it in your affidavit?

1 A At	the time I was drawing up my affidavit I
2 had forgot	ten about the Imperial Conference.
3 Q "Ya:	s the Imperial Conference held at the request
4 of the army	17 The Land House world not recognize to modify
5 A I	don't recall who first called for the calling
6 of this con	nference.
7 Q And	d did Foreign Minister HIROTA say he didn't
8 see any nee	ed for it?
9 A I	don't recall.
10 0 Die	the army say that one reason why they want-
11 ed the con	ference was that Generals TERAUCHI and MATSUI
in China w	ere expressing very strong opinions and urging
that the go	overnment should have nothing more to do with
14 Chiang Kai	shek? The Machinest which has Just Tolk funded.
15 A. At	the time I didn't hear anything of this nature
Q Die	they say that they wanted to suppress
those views	s of those two generals and to make an immedi-
ate peace?	stace it is betitud on Tepselel Medacheld
19 THI	MONITOR: Are you referring to the army
when you se	ay "they"?
21 0 D4	the General Staff say that they wanted to
	nose opinions and make an immediate peace?
	et, tòo, I did not hear.
	you know what happened at the Imperial Con-

ference? The comment of the state of the document by

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

17

19

21

22

24

25

A According to my recollection, the outline of measures for the China Incident were recognized at that Imperial Conference, and also a decision was arrived at by which Japan would not recognize -- would no longer recognize the Chinese government.

Now. I have to differ with you with regard to that, and I ask you to look at IPS document 3090-A.

(Whereupon, a document was

handed to the witness.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: On this point also I refer the Tribunal to exhibits 270 and 2235, which are the same, that I gave the reference to yesterday, record page 3610.

O Is not that document which has just been handed you the official record concerning the Imperial Conference?

A This is the first time I have seen this document, but since it is written on Imperial Household Ministry Stationery, I suppose it may be a record of the Imperial Conference.

Q Look at Annexes 1 and 2 and tell me whether

Annex 1 is not the official record of those who attented and Annex 2 the official record of the decision.

A I suppose it is so.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I ask that the document be

presented into evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3090-A
will receive exhibit No. 3264.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit 3264 and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: I will read exhibit No. 3264.

"RECORDS CONCERNING THE IMPERIAL CONFERENCES

"On January 11, 1938, an Imperial Conference
was held with the purpose of deciding our national
policy toward China.

"Last autumn, when the China problem gradually became serious, there appeared in all quarters arguments insisting on the necessity of establishing a firm national policy through holding an Imperial Conference. But, under the ever varying situations, and that at the time, when the Chinese intention was not clear, it was a self-evident reason, that it was difficult to decide our policy as simply as they say. Consequently the Government did not realize it until today. However, on the one hand, there has been previously the German movement for mediation, while on the other, the CHIANG Kai-shek Regime would not collapse easily and yet it seemed not to reject mediation by Germany.

Considering these two cases, and supposing possible situations that is, in case peace is made suddenlike, and in case CHIANG's Regime is annihilated or denied, etc., the government recognized the necessity of deciding the principles of the counter-measures for them. Thus it has come to pass that the government petitioned the Emperor today for an Imperial Conference and for the definite plan, held Liaison and Cabinet Conferences extending to the 9th (Sunday) and the 10th. Prior to this, His Imperial Highness Prince Chief of the Naval General Staff made an offer that it was desirable that His Majesty also ask a few questions or the like, because, according to his experience hitherto at the Imperial Conferences, there was no one who dared to speak and no word by His Majesty, so that the Conference was extremely difficult to conduct. However, as Prince SAIONJI was long since so prudent about His Majesty's speech, Lord Feeper of the Privy Seal told Baron HARADA the above purport yesterday, the 10th, and asked him to hear the opinion of the elder statesman once, and added that he, the Lord Keeper, was of the opinion that Fis Majesty's speech might be admissible unless it would include a final decision. Therefore, Baron HARADA left for Okitsu by train at 3 P. F. of the same day, asked the elder statesman of his opinion and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

18

19

20

22

23 24

answered by telephone on the night of the same day,
that the elder statesman was also of the same opinion
as Iord Keeper of the Privy Seal, that is, he meant
that he didn't want to fix responsibility upon His
Majesty and that he regarded other questions admissible.

"Premier KONOYE entered into the Imperial Palace at 4:20 P. M. of the 10th day, was given an audience by His Majesty and petitioned His Majesty on the matter of holding an Imperial Conference on the following day, the 11th. On that occasion he met with the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal and told the latter to the effect that, in proceeding with the Imperial Conference, there would be no need of His Majesty's speech, since he was going to bring a plan, whose most part was already decided, only it would be formally decided before the Throne.

"On the other hand, Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal also considered the proceedings of the Conference and on the same day, the 10th, he was given an audience by the Emperor after withdrawal of the Premier. He told the Emperor that he thought it proper for the Premier to manage the procedure of the Conference from the standpoint of responsibility and position, since the coming Imperial Conference was for the purpose of deciding our national policy. And it was decided that His Majesty would grant permission to this effect at the Conference the following day, therefore the 11th.

"Next day, the 11th, at 2 P. M. the Emperor was present in the East Room No. 1 in the Imperial Palace where the council in the Imperial presence was held in the seats shown as per Annex (1). The President of the Privy Council, HIRANUMA, participated by a special order. Because of the Privy Council's connection with diplomacy, treaties, etc., the Premier privately requested and received Imperial sanction to have the President take part in the council. It seems that the Emperor made a special inquiry as to the reason. In the council, the Premier stated that with the Emperor's permission, he would manage the council, and he had the Foreign Minister explain the

·

eriginal draft as per Annex (2). (Originally the Premier was expected to do it himself, but it was changed later.)

"His Imperial Highness, the Chief of the General Staff stated that exclusive of the concept of victor versus vanquished nations, he approved the original draft from the standpoint of permanent peace in the Orient, and hoped that it would be executed. Then His Imperial Highness the Chief of the Naval General Staff expressed his approval. Next, HIRANUMA, the President of the Privy Council, expressed his approval and stated furthermore that if some agreement were reached with the Chiang regime, consideration must be given to offering full protection to those hitherto in the pro-Japanese administration in North China and elsewhere, so as not to act contrary to their moral precepts. He requested the Home Minister to give special thought to domestic public order. Thus, the original draft was adopted and the Premier informed /the Emperor/ that the council was adjourned. The Emperor retired to the inner palace. It was then 2:55 P. M.

"The Emperor made not a single remark.

"APPENDIX: The various circumstances were explained on the day before, the 10th, to the President

2

1

.

6

9

10

11

13

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

23 24

of he Privy Council, HIRANUMA, by the Chief Secretary and the Vice-Minister of Foreign Affairs. The President of the Privy Council seemed to be somewhat dissatisfied that such measures were taken for the first time that day, but it was explained that even among Cabinet members and Councillors things are decided suddenly, and his consent was gained. However, on the day of the council, the President of the Privy Council said that he had a few questions to ask. Therefore, Cabinet members met with him at 1:30 P. M. in the Imperial Palace, prior to the council and replied to his questions; there were no questions asked at the meeting of the council.

"The Government issued a statement at noon on the 16th. However, in order to draw up that statement, a Liaison Conference and a Cabinet Meeting were convened from 9:30 A. M. to 8:30 P. M. of the 14th, and from the morning to the afternoon of the 15th.

The matter had not been settled, principally because of the General Staff Headquarters' insistence upon efforts for reconciliation. At last, the Government Plan was accepted, but the individual members /of the General Staff Headquarters/, it appears, added that they still believed the reconciliation doctrine (the view of responding to the proposal of the Chinese

Central Government which wished to know concrete details) was satisfactory.

"A historically important statement to explain at home and abroad the Empire's firm policy toward China was announced by the Cabinet at noon on the 16th."

Then, I need not read the statement of the Government because that is already in evidence.

And then (Reading):

"ANNEX (1). The Council in the Imperial Presence, January 11, 1938."

There follows a plan of the table showing where everybody sat and amongst those present were "D -- The President of the Privy Council" and "E --The Minister of Foreign Affairs." ***

"ANNEX (2). The Fundamental Policy for Disposition of the China Incident.

"(The subject of discussion in the Council in the Imperial Presence).

"The inflexible policy of our Empire is to form an aris for peace of the Orient in cooperation with Manchukuo and China, and with this as the core, contribute to world peace.

"In order to settle the China Incident in accordance with this national policy, Japan and China

18

19

21

22

23

24

sh

ns

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	*(1) If the decomp Catesta Castral Gavary-
1	will sweep clean all the frictions of the past, re-
2	organize the mutual relationship upon a broad-minded
3	foundation, respect each other's sovereignty and
4	territorial integrity, and make it their ultimate aim
5	to bring about perfect harmony between them. There-
6	fore we make the following stipulations between the
7	two nations, as well as establish the necessary
8	guarantee to prevent recurrence of such an incident:
9	"(1) Japan, Manchukuo and China shall aboli
10	all such policies, education, trade and all other mea
11	liable to ruin their mutual friendship, and they shall
12	also exterminate acts which may bring about such an

"(2) Japan, Manchukuo and China shall be united to realize their cultural coalition and anti-Communism policy.

evil result as mentioned above.

"(3) In accordance with the principle of balancing merits and faults of each other, Japan,
Ma chukuo and China shall pledge cooperation and mutual favoring with regard to industries, economics, etc.

"Based upon the above policy, the Empire should be determined to carry out properly the following measures by applying the closely unified political and military tactics.

ment shall reconsider at this juncture and sincerely ask for reconciliation, we will negotiate with them in accordance with the conditions of the Negotiations for Peace Between Japan and China as indicated on the attached sheet (A). If the Empire recognizes in the future that China has carried out the conditions of peace, she shall not only rescind the provisions of guarantee indicated on the attached sheet (B), which are included in the above conditions, but also shall cooperate sincerely with China for her reconstruction and development.

ment loes not ask for reconciliation, the Empire will not wholly depend upon the settlement of the Incident by taking them as her opponent, but will assist the formation of the new Chinese Government, with which to negotiate on adjusting the mutual relationship, and to cooperate to establish the regenerated New China. As for the present Chinese Central Government, the Empire shall be determined to annihilate them, or take measures to absorb them under the new central government.

"(3) In order to thoroughly carry out the above policy to dispose of this incident, with

anticipation of some changes to take place in the international situation, we should promote the rapid cultivation and complete arrangements of total national strength, especially the national defense power, and maintain and improve the friendly relations with the third powers. Buttersond could be a "(3) Bethe bay or a real street in February County AND TRALET COMMONS

G
r
е
е
n.
е
r
g
&
В
a
r
t
0
n

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"(4) We shall respect the rights and interests of the third powers and try to obtain the prodominant position in the economic developments in China only through free competition.

"(5) We shall guide the public opinion with a view to make the people realize the fundamental policy for settling the China Incident. The same should apply to foreign peoples.

"Attached Sheet (A)

"Details of the Conditions of the Negotiations for Peace Between Japan and China.

- "(1) China shall grant formal recognition to Manchukuo.
- "(2) China shall renounce anti-Japanese and anti-Manchukuo policy.
- "(3) Establish non-armed zones in North China and Inner Mongolia.
- "(4) North China shall establish an organization under the sovereignty of China suitable to realize the co-prosperity of Japan, Manchuria and China, give this organization comprehensive authorities and make special efforts to bring about the economic cooperation of Japan, Manchuria and China.
- "(5) Establish an anti-Communistic selfgovernment in Inner Mongolia. Its international

mosition shall be similar to that of the present Outer Mongolian Government.

"(6) China shall establish an anti-Communistic policy and cooperate with Japan and Manchukuo in carrying out this policy.

Tenner Ten She turner of parentity of the Salary of

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

22

24

25

"(7) Establish non-armed zones in the occupied areas in Central China, and as for the Greater Shanghai District, Japan and China shall cooperate to maintain its public order and to develop its economic activities.

- "(8) Japan, Manchukuo and China shall conclude a necssary agreement regarding the exploitation of natural resources, customs, trade, aviation, transportation, communication, and so forth.
- "(9) China shall make due reparations to the Empire.

"Addition:

- "(1) Station Japanese troops in certain districts of North China, Inner Mongolia and Central China for the purpose of security, for the period of time it is deemed necessary.
- "(2) The talk on the armistice pact shall commence after an agreement has been reached regarding the above provisions between Japan and China.

each of the foregoing provisions sincerely and truly cooperates with us to realize our ideals of the cooperation and mutual held between Japan and China, the Empire shall not only rescind the provisions of guarantee, but also offer to cooperate sincerely with China

20

21

22

23

25

for her recovery, national development and the realization of the desires of her people.

"Attached Sheet (B)

"I The provisions of guarantee mentioned in the Attached Sheet (A) are as follows:

- "(1) Non-armed zones mentioned in Item (3).
- "(2) Special rights and interests to be established for the purpose of security at the negotiation mentioned in Item (4), and necessary facilities to be established in this connection.
 - "(3) Non-armed zones mentioned in Clause (7).
- "(4) Rights and interests concerning the control and expansion of important communications, addition (1) and the accompanying military establishments.
- "II The pacts and agreements to be abolished when peace is made.
- "(1) The UMEZU-Ho-Ying-Chin Pact, the Tangku Truce Pact, the DOIHARA-Chin To-chum Pact, The Shanghai Truce Pact.
- "(2) At the same time with the abolition of the provisions of guarantee, the renunciation of the special rights and interests in China (such as extraterritoriality, settlements and the right to station troops) which Japan has held up to now shall be

0

3

6

9

10

11

12

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

considered."

Q Now there is nothing there, Mr. HORINOUCHI, is there, about refusing to give China further information beyond what the Chinese Government had received already?

A I am sorry. I am unable to understand what you mean.

Q Well, I won't pursue that because we can see for ourselves. I will ask you another question. When the Army General staff was negotiating with the Foreign Ministry about holding this Imperial Conference, did they say this: that the conditions of truce were so aggressive that they might impair future diplomatic relations between Japan and China?

A Who?

Q Did the representative of the General Staff, probably the Vice-Chief, General TADA, say that to the Foreign Minister?

A No, I have never heard that.

Q Did they say that they might impair future diplomatic relations between Japan and China?

A I don't recall ever having heard of any such thing.

O Did they say that, therefore, it was necessary to hold the conference in the presence of the Emperor?

A I did not hear. I should like to make a correction in regard to my statement relative to the Imperial Conference. When I stated that the decision not to deal further with the Chinese National Government was arrived at at this Imperial Conference, I was mistaken in my memory. I should have said that such measures were considered in such an event.

Q Now will you answer my question: Did the General Staff, when they were negotiating with you preparatory to holding the Imperial Conference, say that they thought, because the truce terms previously proposed were so aggressive, that it was necessary to establish the basic policy for the reconstruction of Pino-Japanese relations by holding this Imperial Conference?

A I don't recall. I should like to add that when an Army opinion was conveyed to the Foreign Office, whether that opinion be that of the General Staff, it was the custom for a representative of the Army to convey that opinion to the Foreign Office.

And I suggest to you that it was conveyed at a meeting between the War, Navy and Foreign Ministries which the General Staff attended on January 10, 1938.

3 4

1
2
-
2
4
5
6
7
1
8
9
300
10
1
11
12
8640
13
14
15
16
000
17
18
19

I have no recollection of having attended such a conference.

Q Did the General staff say that it was necessary to prepare a counter-policy against the domestic tendency which was likely to become too aggressive?

A I heard nothing to that effect.

MR. YAMAOKA: Your Honor, I object to this line of questioning. The witness said he did not attend any such conference and does not recollect it.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Now may he see prosecution document 820-E, please?

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

Q Mr. HORINOUCHI, I don't think it will take you long to identify this one because your signature is on the top of it, isn't it?

A Yes.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Then I ask that this document be admitted in evidence, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: What is it, Mr. Carr? MR. COMYNE CARR: It is a Foreign Office record bearing the witness' signature and headed, "Concerning the Basic Policy for rettling the Chinese Incident (Subject Before a Council in the Presence of the Emperor) (January 10, 1938 ...)"

0

21

20

22

23

MR. YAMAOKA: If your Honor please, the witness has not yet identified the document other than to say that his signature was on it.

THE PRESIDENT: It has been described; he heard the description; it is not contradicted; he admits his signature. The document is admitted on the usual terms.

IR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, it has not been disclosed yet by my learned friend for what purpose this evidence is being tendered, whether it is in the nature of impeachment of this witness' testimony or not.

THE PRESIDENT: On questions of probative value it is the practice of the Court to hear argument. We are not obliged to hear any argument on questions of this kind. We did hear argument in any event. If you proceed to argue decisions with me after they are given, Mr. Yamsoka, this will develop into a very disorderly proceeding.

!R. YAMAOKA: Well, if the Tribunal please,
I only desire to inquire what the purpose was in tendering this document. And, if it was not for the
purpose of impeachment, then I wish to state my
objection to it.

THE PRESIDENT: I allowed you to state your

objection, Mr. Yamaoka. You have done so. That is all that was required. If you proceed to argue the decision after it is given, that leads to disorderly procedure which we must avoid.

MR. YAMAOKA: Well, if your Honor please,

MR. YAMAOKA: Well, if your Honor please, that was not my purpose. I merely wanted to protect the record on the submission of this document; that was all.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, it will only be necessary, as far as I am concerned, to read two paragraphs of this document.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 820-E will receive exhibit No. 3265.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3265 and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, the remainder of the document is in substance repetitive of the one I just read.

"Concerning the Basic Policy for Settling the Chinese Incident (Subject Before a Council in the Presence of the Emperor) (January 10, 1938, East Asia)

"1 " ---

THE PREFIDENT: Mr. Carr, if it adds nothing to the previous document, why read it?

4 5

2

3

7

8

6

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

20

22

23

/

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, that is the first two paragraphs do and contradict a large number of the answers the witness has given. It is the remainder that adds nothing.

I have read the heading:

"(1) The Army proposed that its drafted plan of the policy for the solution of the China Incident, Appendix No. I, (December 1, 1937) should be decided at a Council in the presence of the Emperor after discussion among the three Ministries. But the relevant authorities of the Foreign and Navy Ministries left the Army's proposal as it was, for the reason that it was not necessary to make a new policy because they had already prepared a main policy as a basic outline concerning the disposal of the China Incident, and moreover, the main policy for the incident (A) had been established in case of both peace and war, and all investigations concerning the conditions of a peaceful solution with Chaing Kaishek had been finished when the answer was given to the German Ambassador in Tokyo.

"2. Meanwhile, the following opinions became more and more influential among the General Staff after the answer was given to the German Ambassador concerning peace nogitations. That is, that the conditions of truce were so aggressive that they might impair future diplo-

matic relations between Japan and China and, therefore, 2 t was not necessary, the General Staff thought, to es-3 tablish the basic policy for the reconstruction of Sino-4 Japanese relations by holding a meeting in the presence of the Emperor, and to prepare a counter-policy against 6 the domestic tendency which was likely to become too The General Staff attended the conference 7 aggressive. 8 of the authorities of the three Ministries, namely, War, Navy and Foreign Ministries, and explained the said 10 purport. Therefore, both the Foreign Office and the 11 havy Department thought it a good thing that the Army 12 took such a broad view as indicated above, and in spite 13 of the aforesaid circumstances, they agreed that it might be a good thing to discuss the subject at a meeting in the presence of the Emperor just as it was proposed by 16 the General Staff, in order to keep the said spirit alive. 17 consequently, the subject of discussion at the meeting in 18 the presence of the Emperor (Appendix II) was drafted between the relevant authorities of the three Ministries and they got the approval from their superior officials respectively." 22

23

24

4

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued): Was Mr. MATSUDAIRA the head of the East Asia Bureau at that time? The Chief of the East Asia Bureau was Mr. ISHII, Itaro. Q Was Mr. MAT-UDAIRA the Chief of the First Section? A I have no recollection that a person by the name of MATSUDAIRA then held a position in the Foreign Office. MR. COMYNS CARR: Let the witness look at prosecution document 820-G, please. (Whereupon, & document was handed to the witness.) Q Mr. Witness, you see that document. Do you recognize that as a Foreign Office record? I have no basis for so identifying this document. Then I won't press that one. Now, immediately after the Imperial Conference on January 13,

as you have told us, the reply from the Chinese Government came through the Japanese Ambassador, did it not, on the 14th of January -- through the German Ambassador?

That is my recollection.

MR. COMYNS CARR: That will be found in exhibit 486-B, record page 5983.

Description of the Language Section, I shall be reading from document 32, fourth paragraph, line 6.

General Staff Headquarters as well as through the German Military Attache, is in general well a ware of our concrete proposals, but despite this she claims ignorance and is still insisting that the proposals are not understandable. There is no hope in that sort of a reply. Therefore, it has been determined at the Council before his Imperial Majesty that there is nothing else we could do but to launch into our alternate plan. This is to transfer the present hostilities into a long term warfare. It is vital that we strengthen our determination to this effect."

A Did you ask me a question?

n I asked you whether HIROTA used those words which I just read to you.

A I have no recollection that HIROTA ever said that to me.

Q Did that represent the attitude that he adopted when the request from the Chinese Government

for further details was received? I did hear that that was the atmosphere in 2 the Cabinet before the Cabinet meeting. 3 Did vou hear that HIROTA was one of those 4 who agreed with that atmosphere? 5 I didn't hear that HIROTA was especially 6 one of those. Were you present on the 14th of January when 8 HIROTA had his meeting with Dirksen and the Chinese 9 reply was presented? A No. 11 THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half-12 past one. 13 (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was 14 taken.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

eichers & Yelde

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International

Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: With the permission of the

Tribunal the accused SHIMADA will be absent from the

courtroom for the whole of the afternoon session con-

Mr. Comyns Carr.

ferring with his counsel.

KENSUKE HORINOUCHI, called as a
witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the
stand and testified through Japanese interpreters
as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):

Q Mr. HORINOUCHI, I was asking you when the Tribunal adjourned whether you were present on the occasion when Ambassador Dirksen handed over the Chinese reply to Mr. HIROTA?

A I was not present.

Q Did the Foreign Minister HIROTA inform you that he had told the German Ambassador that he was very angry at the Chinese declaration?

What do you mean by the Chinese declaration? 1 The Chinese request for further particulars 2 of the terms of peace? 3 I heard that the Foreign Minister said that that reply was unsatisfactory. 5 And did he refuse to give the Chinese any further particulars? 7 A I understand that the Foreign Minister replied that since he had already given detailed explanations there was no necessity for him to add 10 to them. 11 Q And did the German Ambassador tell him that 12 that was not true? 13 That I did not hear. 14 MR. COMYNS CARR: I refer the Tribunal to 15 exhibit 486-C, record, page 5987. 16 17 On the 15th of January 1938, as you say, the 18 matter was discussed in the cabinet. When you refer 19 to a cabinet council do you mean a meeting of the 20 cabinet itself, not a meeting of the cabinet counsel-21 lors or advisers? 22 A As you say. 23 Q Actually, on that occasion did the General 24 Staff repeat their demand that further particulars of

the terms should be sent to the Chinese?

7 8

A That I did not hear.

Q But did they finally give way, owing to a threat that if they didn't either they or the cabinet would have to resign?

A I never heard that the General Staff was threatened. However, I did hear that the General Staff expressed the opinion that they wished the negotiations to continue. However, according to my understanding the General Staff did not ask that the negotiations be continued on the basis of ameliorating the conditions but that the negotiations be continued on the basis of the conditions and that the conditions themselves remain.

Q Was not the cabinet meeting interrupted for a time on that day while the General Staff retired to consider what they should do about this threat?

A I do not remember the circumstances -- I do not remember such developments.

Q And finally, did not the General Staff capitulate and agree to the cabinet's view that the declaration refusing to have any further dealings with Chiang Kai-shek should be issued?

A No, I do not know whether or not the General Staff took such an attitude. I do know that the

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

13

14

16

17 18

19

20

22

23

25

calinet at its meeting did arrive at such a decision.

Q That decision of the cabinet went entirely beyond anything which had been determined at the Imperial Conference, did it not?

A That is not my understanding.

MR. COMYNS CARR: In this connection I refer the Tribunal to exhibits 2260, page 16,223, KIDO's diary; 972-A, page 9505; 268, page 3563; 486-B, which I have mentioned before; 486-G, page 5999; also 486-I, page 6016; and 972-G, page 9521.

Q On 21 January did the Premier, KONOYE, send to Foreign Minister HIROTA a document containing the general principles of national policy after that declaration had been issued?

A I do not remember.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May the witness look at IPS document 820-D, please?

Q Isn't that a copy from the Foreign Office files of the document sent by the Prime Minister to HIROTA?

A I do not recall.

Q Will you just glance through it and tell us whether you don't remember this document very well?

A Just by looking at its contents it is difficult to confirm whether this was or was not a cabinet decision.

Q Was it not of vital importance to you as Vice-Minister for Foreign Affairs to know what was the new national policy that had been laid down?

A It was important; however, I cannot confirm whether this document itself is a copy of the cabinet decision or not without some more exact foundation.

Q Can you suggest any reason why the Foreign
Office should file a copy of a communication from the
Prime Minister to the Foreign Minister if it was not
authentic?

A Generally speaking, official documents are filed by the document section after first having been looked over by the Foreign Minister, the Vice-Minister or the Bureau chief concerned and such documents always bear the signature or the seal of the official who looked over the document. Such documents are called official. This document does not even bear the filing number of the document section -- of the archives section.

I will fact put to you and question as way.

and then it was say you went a reall took it is built take

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

12

13

15 16

17

18

21

23

22

24

Q You have told us that a number of Foreign Of ice documents have been destroyed by fire. Was it not the practice to make extra copies and file them separately?

A This does not even have a certificate from the chief of the Archive Section.

Q Answer the question.

A I do not say that copies were never made,
but in order to ascertain at a later date whether
any copies so made are or are not exact there must
be some definite and exact proof, whoever the person
may be, I think it is impossible to confirm it without
such proof.

You may take it there will be a certificate when it is tendered in evidence if you continue to deny it.

MR. YAMAOKA: If your Honor please, the witness has not denied that this is not a copy. He says he cannot tell from the document that is tendered to him.

THE PRESIDENT: It is so.

Q I will just put to you one question on it, and then if you say you don't recollect it I will pass from it. Was it a part of the national policy decided about January 21, 1938? Look at paragraph 3 of the document.

2

3

6

7 8

9

10

12

13 14

15 16

17

19

20

22

24

I am going to read to the witness the paragraph numbered 3:

"Military aims in the China Incident shall be perfectly attained, and the status of general mobilization completed according to the necessity of national defense as well as making plans to strengthen our military preparedness. Furthermore, all measures shall be taken in response to the prolonged resistance of China."

Wasn't that part of the policy decided upon at that time?

A I don't recall.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I will pass from it. Take the document back from him, please.

Q Now, in February 1938 did Mr. HIROTA issue instructions to the various representatives of the Foreign Ministry in China as to methods of propaganda to disintegrate the Chinese army?

A I don't recall.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May he look at IPS document 1841-8B7?

(Whereupon, a document was shown to the witness.)

Is not that a document issued by the Chief of

the Information Section of the Foreign Office from Minister HIROTA to various Foreign Office representa-1 tives in China? A I don't recall the contents of this document. 3 Do you recognize the document as being the 4 Foreign Office file copy of the instructions sent out? 5 A It may be a telegram sent out by the Chief 7 of the Information Bureau. 8 Q And do you observe that it is from Minister HIROTA? 10 A It has always been a custom of the Foreign 11 Office that all outgoing telegrams are signed in the 12 Foreign Minister's name, even when actually sent by 13 the Chief of the Information Bureau. 14 Q Have you any real doubts in your mind that 15 it is a telegram sent by the Chief of the Information 16 Bureau? 17 It is possible to suppose that it might have 18 been sent by the Chief of the Information Bureau. Have you any doubt that it was? 20 This does not bear either the signature of the 21 A Chief of the Information Bureau nor that of the chief of the competent section. This is indeed an unusual 23

25

24

Now will you answer the question?

practice for telegrams.

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, I believe the last answer is sufficient. THE PRESIDEAT. He is asked a simple question. 4 He should answer it yes or no. MR. YAMAOKA: Along this line, if the 6 Tribunal please, I respectfully submit that it would 7 be simple enough for my learned friend to get the 8 certificate from the Chief of the Archives Section 9 of the Foreign Office, as we have been doing. THE PRESIDENT: You know why a certificate 10 would be no good to him at this stage. This is the defense case, and cross-examination in that case. Answer the question, Witness, if you can. 14 THE WITNESS: May I have the question repeated, please? 16 (Whereupon, the question was read 17 by the official court reporter as follows: 18 "Q Have you any real doubts in your 19 mind that it is a telegram sent by the Chief 20 of the Information Bureau?") 21 A Since this telegram does not bear either the signature of the Chief of the Information Bureau nor that of the competent bureau, which in this case is noted as the Third Section of the Information Bureau, it is difficult to confirm the authenticity of this

telegram.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

MR. COMYNS CARR: Take it back from him, please.

Q Now, I am going to put to you a conversation which I suggest you had personally with Baran HARADA on the 14th of February, 1938. You knew Baran HARADA, did you not?

A Yes, I knew him.

Q Did you meet him on that day and say, "Have you heard about the Liaison Conference of February 14"-- Did you meet him on that day or a few days later and say, "Have you heard about the Liaison Conference of February 14"?

A I don't recall, but if you will tell me of the contents of the conversation I may be able to do so.

Q Did you tell him that at the conference -I am summarizing -- that at the conference there had
been a dispute between the army and the navy as to
whether the army would advance in China as far as
Anking in order that the navy might use it as a bombing base?

A I don't quite recall.

Q Did you tell him that the reason of the difficulty was that the army was making preparations

aga	ins	+	Rus	5.5	La?

A I have no recollection whatsoever of ever having made such a statement.

Q Did you tell him that the Emperor had asked the Minister of War -- last sentence of document 35, last paragraph, document 35, Language Division:

"Is it possible to put into effect a simultaneous plan for long-term hostilities, military preparations against Russia, and the expansion of the navy?"

A To whom did the Emperor make this question?

Q According to what I suggest you said to Baran HARADA, he asked it of the Minister of War, SUGIYAMA.

A I have no recollection on that point whatsoever.

Q Did you tell Baran HARADA that the War Minister replied, "I shall discuss the matter with the cabinet and take the proper action"?

A I have no such recollection.

Q Can you tell me, have you any recollection of the matter being subsequently discussed in the cabinet?

A I don't recall.

Q Would you look at IPS document 820-B. Do

6

1

2

3

4

5

8

7

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

you recognize that document as being a Foreign
Office record, kept in the East Asia Bureau, of
"Matters Regarding the Imperial General Headquarters
Council in the Imperial Presence," dated February 18,
1938?

A I confirm that the document is written on Foreign Office stationery. However, as to its contents I have never seen it, and so I cannot say with confidence that it is a document in the custody of the Foreign Office -- since the contents deal with actions of the military.

Q Was it not your duty to see communications which came -- regarding military and navel affairs which came to the Foreign Office?

A The Vice-Minister did not have the duty of reading all incoming documents.

Q Do you notice that the contents of this document are not precisely but substantially to the same effect as what I suggest you told Baran HARADA?

A There are similar points. However, as I have already told you, I, myself, have no recollection of having had a conversation with Baran HARADA along those lines; nor have I ever seen this document.

Q Do you notice that somebody has written in pencil at the top of this document the words,

"Original copy at negotiation (materials)"?

A I did read that notation.

Q Whose writing is that?

A It is impossible for me to ascertain.

Q Was not this a document of such importance that you must have seen it?

A This document is classified as "material for research." There were many kinds of documents so classified. Correction: "Reference data."

And since the Vice-Minister was very much occupied with other matters, it may have been that I didn't see this particular document.

Q Now, do you observe that in the fourth paragraph of the document appear these words?

"To this statement, the navy insisted, from a naval viewpoint, on the necessity of expanding naval power for preparing against Great Britain and America."

A Yes, I read it now.

Q If a document came into the Foreign Ministry which disclosed that that was the intention of the Navy Ministry, wouldn't it be essential for both you and Mr. HIROTA to see it?

A I don't'say that it was not important, but I just want to tell you that I have no recollection of

5

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

16 17

18

10

20

21

23

over having seen this document.

Have you any doubts in your mind now that that is an official record of the Foreign Office or a copy of it?

A As I have told you before, since this document merely purports to be a copy, I have no proof that it actually is an official document.

Although you told mo that it was on Foreign Office paper? I do admit that.

Q Do I understand you won't admit it is a Foreign Office document?

. In this form it is impossible for me to state that this is an official document.

you know whether the conforence referred to in the

your afficavit, you refer to the +- 15 is the sens

24

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Do you draw a distinction between official accuments and other documents that are filed in the Foreign Office?

A There is a distinction. For instance, newspaper cuttings can hardly be regarded as official documents. They are not so regarded.

Q This document is not a newspaper cutting, is it?

A I did not say it was.

Q It purports, does it not, to be a record of a matter of vital importance?

A I don't deny that it is important. I just say that I don't have the basis for stating of my own personal knowledge that it is an official Foreign Office document.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Will you take it back from him, please:

Q I had better ask you quite specifically, do you know whether the conference referred to in the document took place?

A I have no recollection whatsoever of that particular conference.

Q Now, only one other matter I want to ask you about -- I beg your pardon. In paragraph 21 of your affidavit, you refer to the -- it is the same

matter -- you refer to the great steps which you say HIROTA took to safeguard the interests of the third powers in China.

THE PRESIDENT: Careful consideration, isn't 1t?

to say, "actually exerted all his efforts in its favor."

Do you know that Ambassador Grow was continually making protests to Mr. HIROTA about breaches of the Open Door Policy, attacks on American lives and property, looting in American property, and so on?

A Yes, I do.

Q And that in spite of these protests, those misdeeds continued without cessation or interruption?

A The Foreign Office -- the Foreign Minister, on one hand, took pains to explain the real facts of the situation and called for the Ambassador's understanding in regard to these matters; and on the other hand, called the attention of the army, navy and other authorities concerned to these protests and did his best to protect the interests of third powers. Also, he sent repeated instructions to our diplomatic representatives in China to take all possible steps to safeguard the interests of third powers.

Q Do you know that similar protests were continuously received with the same want of result

10

5

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from the British Ambassador?

A I recall that there were frequent protests from the British Ambassador. However, I cannot give a general statement to the effect that there was the same lack of results.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I refer the Tribunal to the following exhibits: 941, page 9413; 944, page 9418; 954-C, page 9451; 955, page 9456; 968, page 9493; 969, page 9497; and 973, page 9534.

Now, I will just take one example: the Lauybird. You remember the incident, do you not?

A I do.

Q On the 30th of December, 1937, did HIROTA send an official note to England stating that the firing upon the British warship by the Japanese Army was entirely due to a misunderstanding?

A That is my recollection.

Q And did you make a similar explanation with regard to the attack upon the Panay?

A That is my recollection.

Q You knew it was untrue, didn't you?

A That is absolutely not so.

Q On the 14th of Lecember, just a fortnight before the note was sent, did you see Baron HARADA at the Foreign Ministry?

A I don't remember whether or not I saw him on that day, on that particular day.

Q I will remind you of the conversation -Language Division, document 25 -- with regard to the
Ladybird.

Did you say to Baron HARADA, "The naval air force bombed and sank an American merchantship. At just about that time, an English warship was shelled at Nanking from the hills, and men were wounded. This is really distressing. The English warship was, of course, a small warship for use on rivers. However, it was twenty-six miles upstream from Nanking. The regiment of which HASHIMOTO, Kingoro, was commander fired on it at the order of HASHIMOTO."

A I may not have used the -- I don't remember whether I used the exact language that you have quoted to me. I know that I did use words of a similar nature. However, I do not recall ever once having used the word "deliberately."

Q If it was fired on at the order of HASHIMOTO and you knew it, how can it have been true to say that it was entirely due to misunderstanding?

A Even if the firing was carried out under the order of Lieutenant Colonel HASHIMOTO, it is possible that he may have mistaken the ship for a merchantman;

4 5

and I never at any time suggested that HASHIMOTO deliberately gave order to fire on a British warship knowing that it was a British warship.

MR. COMYNS CARR: That completes my crossexamination.

Lefiler & Wolf

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Yamaoka.

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, as this witness has been cross-examined at great length by my learned friend on some documents about which there is some dispute as to authenticity, I respectfully request that the documents so tendered to this witness and not identified by him and subsequently admitted into evidence, that is, those which the prosecution has withdrawn, be submitted for identification.

MR. COMYNS CARR: In my submission, the defense cannot have it both ways. They cannot both have the document excluded from evidence, because their witness won't identify them, and at the samettime have them marked for identification. This point was discussed a long time ago in this Tribunal and the Tribunal ruled the other way.

THE PRESIDENT: Although we do not do this in a national court, at least not in my court in Australia, I think we have been doing something of the kind here.

I am not sure about it. I am subject to correction.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, in this precise form I do not think it has arisen before.

THE PRESIDENT: They are not received into evidence by being marked for identification. If it is any comfort to the defense I think we would prefer that

11 12

10

8

13 14

15

17

18

19

20

21

23 24

HORINOUCHI 29,881

they be marked for identification, but they are not to be received in evidence.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I have no strong objection, your Honor. The only result of marking them for identification is that the defense, although having succeeded in getting them excluded at this stage from evidence, have the opportunity of reading them before they are tendered again.

What the Tribunal ruled previously was this:
In certain cases where questions were put based upon.
documents, which, because the witness couldn't have
identified them, are not actually shown to him,
application was made that they should be marked for
identification and that application was refused.

THE PRESIDENT: I recollect the defense putting questions to prosecution's witnesses on documents and being required to tender the documents for identification that would not be done in a national court, in any that I have been connected with, but it was done here.

MR. COMYNS CARR: If your Honor please, we have no objection if the Tribunal think it proper.

MR. YAMAOKA: I respectfully ask the Tribunal's direction that it be so done and I might add that it is the practice to which I have been accustomed.

THE PRESIDENT: Will you be prejudiced in any

4 5

29.882

HORINOUCHI

time now.

1

. 3

way, Mr. Comyns Carr? MR. COMYNS CARR: No, your Honor. We have no objection if the Tribunal wishes it.

4

THE PRESIDENT: Mark them for identification.

5 6

MR. COMYNS CARR: I am not quite sure now that I know which were -- Could we do it at the time

3

of recess, your Honor? It would take up considerable hat by fire during the war

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, do it then.

10

Mr. Yamaoka.

11 12

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, I should also desire to request that exhibits 3262, 3263, 3264

13

and 3265, which have been identified by this witness and tendered as prosecution exhibits, be submitted to

15 16

the Language Section to check the translation. MR. COMYNS CARR: We have no objection to that,

17 18

your Honor. We next offer in evidence delense deciment

19

THE PRESIDENT: They are referred accordingly.

20

MR. YAMAOKA: There will be no redirect

21

examination of this witness, your Honor. May I request that he be released on the usual terms?

22 23

THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

24 25

EPCWH: Wang Honor, the prosecution ob-

MR. YAMAOKA: May it please the Tribunal, we 1 offer in evidence defense document 2582, being an 2 affidavit of HAYASHI, Kaoru, of the Foreign Office, 3 certifying to the correctness of defense document 4 1423, the next document on the order of proof, and 5 showing how the same came into the possession of the 6 Foreign Office. This affidavit shows that the docu-8 ment was lost by fire during the war. 9 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 10 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2582 11 will receive exhibit No. 3266. 12

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3266 and received in evidence.)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. YAMAOKA: I do not propose to read this exhibit.

We next offer in evidence defense document 1423. This is an official document of the Japanese Foreign Office and shows the considerations entertained by the Japanese Foreign Office on the problem of the conclusion of a Japanese-German political convention and is dated July 24, 1936.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the prosecution objects to this document. I should, perhaps, inform

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the Tribunal that it was previously tendered to the Tribunal and rejected on page 22,477.

I point out that the writer is not dis-

The article refers to the necessity to make common cause with some such power as Germany, in view of Soviet reference to Japan and her backing of Communist activities in China.

It is submitted, your Honor, that the material contains the opinions of an individual.

Your Honor, I suggest that it might become admissible if Mr. ARITA, who is on the order of proof of witnesses, is going to give evidence identifying it.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. YAMAOKA.

PR. YAMAOKA: May it please the Tribunal, I beg to point out that this document is referred to in the previous document, 3266. I did not read that exhibit, but I believe the letter from Mr. ARITA to Mr. HAYASHI, Chief of the Archives Section of the Foreign Office, clearly shows the source of this document.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor --

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: The prosecution wishes to withdraw its objection at this time.

THE PRESIDENT: It is admitted on the usual

terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1423 will receive exhibit No. 3267. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3267 and received in evidence.) THE PRESIDENT: Read it after the recess, Mr. Yamaoka. We will recess for fifteen minutes. (Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceed-ings were resumed as follows:)

Knapp & Kapleau

2

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Yamaoka.

MR. YAMAOKA: May it please the Tribunal, I will read exhibit 3267. I understand that the documents which were tendered by the prosecution will be marked for identification first.

will receive exhibit No. 3268 for identification only; prosecution document 820-G will receive exhibit No. 3269 for identification only; prosecution document 820-D will receive exhibit No. 3270 for identification only; prosecution document 820-D will receive exhibit No. 3270 for identification only; prosecution document 1841-8B7 will receive exhibit No. 3271 for identification only; prosecution document 820-B will receive exhibit 3272 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the documents above referred to were marked, respectively, prosecution exhibits 3268 to 3272 for identification.)

MR. YAMAOKA: I shall now read exhibit 3267.

"The Problem of the Conclusion of a Japanese-German Political Convention (Dated July 24, 1936)

"The Soviet Union, with her colossal armaments in the Far East, is menacing Japan. Meanwhile, she has solidified her standpoint by concluding treaties of mutual aid with France, Czechoslovakia and Outer Mon-

14

13

10

11

12

15

17

19

20

21

23

golia respectively and by backing the Communist activities in China, where through increasing the menace to Japan. Thion are composatively similar Japan's rela-

1

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

"Therefore, in order to ensure the existence of Japan and smoothly carry out our policy towards the continent, it is urgently necessary to make common cause with some other nation to check the Soviet Union. And it is considered proper as well as easy to choose Germany as the object of our approaches for the present, for both Japan and Germany stand on similar standpoints as regards their respective international situations -above all, as regards their respective relations with the Soviet Union. Moreover, their reciprocal relations have taken, recently, an extremely favourable turn. Their national feelings are now so enhanced that some concrete step or other to decide their mutual connection must be taken.

"However, there are two points which demand our precaution in realizing the coalition between Japan and Germany.

"(1) It is needless to say that the coalition should be so designed as to obtain the greatest possible effect in checking the Soviet Union. Whereas 24 it is simultaneously requested that the coalition should not stimulate the Soviet Union unduly, and that the efforts in realizing it should not lead up to a war against her. Though Germany's relations with the Soviet Union are comparatively simple, Japan's relations with her are, far from being simple, much complicated and delicate. Japan borders directly on the Soviet Union, and holds many concessions in the latter's territories. Besides, there are multitudinous questions pending between the two nations. Accordingly, it would cause not a little disadvantage, direct or indirect, on the part of Japan, if she unduly stimulated the Soviet Union.

"(2) The second point to be fully cautious of is that the Japanese-German coalition should not unduly set the Western Powers, especially Britain, at unrest. The Anglo-Japanese relations are by no means friendly at present, owing to the existing discrepancy of views concerning the Chinese problems and the economical questions. However, it is quite necessary, in order to improve the international situation of Japan, to cultivate the friendship of Britain, at least not to clash head on with her, who holds involved interests and great influence all over the world. And such is, indeed, the keynote of the Japanese foreign policy for the present.

"In view of the Russian menace with her colossal

armaments in the Far Fast, the unsatisfactory progress of the Anglo-Japanese conversations and the present stage of the Berlin negotiations for a Japanese-German coalition, Japan must be very cautious lest the import of the political agreement which she is going to conclude with Germany should stimulate Britain unduly. Peanwhile, we are requested to take positive steps toward the readjustment of the Anglo-Japanese relations, through making an agreement with Britain that Japan and Britain should have a frank consultation with each other with the object of cooperating as far as possible in settling important problems common to both countries.

"Unofficial conversations were made, recently, at Berlin, in absolute secrecy, among the Japanese Ambassador to Germany, a military attache to the Japanese Embassy at Berlin, and German Ambassador without portfolio Joachim von Ribbentrop, in an attempt to realize the political coalition between Japan and Germany. In accordance with the result of these conversations, Germany has submitted to the Japanese Government a tentative plan of an anti-Comintern pact and a secret political agreement which is to be annexed to it. Our criticism of the submitted plan, from the Japanese point of view, especially from the above referred standpoint is as follows:

"1. The Anti-Comintern Pact.

"If we conclude this kind of pact exclusively between Japan and Germany, in such a form as was suggested by the German Government the substance of the pact, when disclosed, would naturally stimulate the Soviet Union. Moreover, there is a danger that it might be used as the material of some malignant propaganda, resulting in serious disadvantage on the part of Japan.

"Accordingly, it is essential strictly to limit the scope of the agreement within such substantial necessity that Japan and Germany exchange information of the Communist activities and opinions about the counter-measures of each other.

"II. Anneyed Political Agreement.

"a. Article 1.

"The first article declares that both Japan and Germany will not take any such measure as would place the Soviet Union in an advantageous situation. It is, therefore, simply of negative import, and does not, in itself, put much restraint on either of the two participant nations. However, its accompanying influence needs to be taken into due consideration.

"Accordingly, it would be proper to change the original conditional 'in case either of the two nations

2

4

6

7

9

10

12

13

15

16

18

10

20

22

23

be subjected to a menace or an attack,' which covers too wide a scope, as 'in case either ... be subjected to, or menaced with, an unprovoked attack.'

"Besides, it is not desirable that this provision plainly name the Soviet Union. It would be safer, in order to observe secrecy as well, to alter it as 'a third state', with an understanding that 'a third state' means the Soviet Union.

"Further, it is hoped that the provision:
'In the above-stated case, the Governments of the
both participant states should hold a frank consultation with each other' be inserted as the second
item of the first article.

"b. Article II.

"The second article of the original draft is hoped to be struck out. It is necessary to give sufficient explanation concerning the problems now pending between Japan and the Soviet Union in order to convince the German Government how it is impossible to deal with the matter uniformly as the German draft suggests. And it is necessary again to make sure of the German intentions to handle the Rapallo Treaty and the Berlin Neutrality Treaty so as to accord with the spirit of the present pact, by way of precaution lest disproportion of obligation should be caused in the future between Japan and Germany.

"While thus proceeding with the negotiations with Germany along the lines so far expounded, Japan should start negotiations with Britain as well. It is desired to conclude the negotiations as soon as possible, beginning with whichever is better under way.

"The plan on which the negotiations with

1 2

Britain should be based is expounded below. Once
the agreement is reached, a firm resolution on the
part of Japan will be demanded, not only to abstain
from such acts as are against the spirit of the
agreement, but to give assurance to Britain at the
least that her concessions in China will be respected
and that the pledge will be observed.

"In short, the undesirable effect that these pacts may have on Britain in case we be compelled to disclose the substance of the pacts, could be prevented, provided that the draft be properly amended and some positive steps be taken to readjust the relations with Britain, in view of the recent tense situation of the Russo-Japanese relations and the peculiarity of the relations between Japan and Britain. If so, the Russo-Japanese relations also will sustain little damage, and the international status of Japan will be ensured.

"The Government of the Japanese Empire and the Government of the British Empire, desiring to strengthen the friendly relations subsisting between the two countries and thereby contribute to the establishment of the world peace, have made an agreement as below:

"(1) The Government of the Japanese Empire

and the Government of the British Empire should carry on frank deliberation with each other with the object of cooperating with regard to important problems common to both countries.

"(2) This document shall remain in force for the period of five years from the day of signing."

I shall skip the last two paragraphs on that page.

We now offer in evidence a corrected copy of defense document 1425. This is an official document of the Japanese Foreign Office and is an extract from "The Annual Report of the Official Business for the Year 1936," published by the Bureau of the European-Asiatic Affairs on December 1, 1936. This document deals with the efforts on the part of the Japanese Foreign Office toward improving Anglo-Japanese relations.

I might state that I do not intend to read this document.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the prosecution objects to this document which, in our opinion, has no real probative value. The first three paragraphs contain merely the opinion of an unknown writer. The last paragraph is merely repetitive of the

'

exhibit which has just been read, and the date of it shows that it was at a time when the accused HIROTA was not Foreign Minister.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Yamaoka.

MR. YAMAOKA: May it please the Tribunal, we have offered this document in evidence for the purpose of supporting the evidence on behalf of the accused HIROTA to the effect that he did endeavor, and that the Foreign Office endeavored to cement the relations between Britain and Japan.

As for authorship, I submit that this is an official document of the Japanese Foreign Office.

There is a certificate attached, and I believe that is sufficient for the purpose of competency.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is sustained and the document rejected.

MR. YAMAOKA: We now offer in evidence defense document 1424. This is an official publication of the Japanese Foreign Office and shows an extract from "The Annual Report of Official Business for the Year 1936," published on December 1, 1936 by the Bureau of the European-Asiatic Affairs. This document shows the progress of the preliminary conversations for a Japanese-Dutch anti-Comintern pact. This document was previously offered in evidence but

rejected. As it is an official report of the Foreign Office during the tenure of Mr. HIROTA as Premier, the document is re-offered into evidence as a piece of evidence indispensable to the defense of Mr. HIROTA. The purpose of the offer is to show that adherents to the Anti-Comintern Pact were not sought solely from among totalitarian states.

I do not propose to read this document.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Brown.

jects to this document. As my learned friend has just stated, this document has been previously tendered to the Tribunal. It was, in fact, on page 22,503. It was then objected to by the prosecution on the grand that it had no relevancy nor probative value, and that the source of the information was not given. On the following page the Court upheld the objection and rejected the document.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Yamaoka.

MR. YAMAOKA: If it please the Tribunal, the Anti-Comintern Pact was brought into issue by the prosecution itself, and the purport of it, as I understand it, is that the Axis Powers together with Japan conspired by this means to dominate East Asia and the world, and more particularly that it was specifically

1 2

aimed as an aggressive pact vis-a-vis the Soviet Union.

This evidence is being tendered for the purpose of showing that the Anti-Comintern Pact, as such, was not confined to the Axis Powers alone, and that she sought similar pacts with other countries in order to meet the threat which she thought confronted here.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is sustained and the document again rejected.

Will you kindly state sucr none and address?

MR. YAMAOKA: We next call the witness HIDAKA Shinrokuro.

trat brasibas a cho, de Chore, Letherys-ka, Sekye.

2.

	SHINROKURO HIDAKA, recalled as a
1	witness on behalf of the defense, having been previ-
2	ously sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters
3	as follows:
4	The PRESIDENT: Has he been sworn already?
5	If so, he is still on his former oath.
6	MR. YAMAOKA: I understand he has been.
7	He has testified previously before this Tribunal.
8	THE PRESIDENT: You are still on your former
10	oath.
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. YAMAOKA:
13	Q Will you kindly state your name and address?
14	A My name is HIDAKA, Shinrokuro. Address,
15	1030 Matsubara-cho, 3-Chome, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo.
16	MR. YAMAOKA: May the witness be shown de-
17	fense document 2148?
18	(Whereupon, a document was handed
10	to the witness.)
20	Q Is that your affidavit?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Is it in all respects true and correct?
23	A Yes.
24	MR. YAMAOKA: I offer defense document 2148
25	in evidence.

1	SHINROKURO HIDAKA, recalled as a
1	witness on behalf of the defense, having been previ-
2	ously sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters
3	as follows:
4	The PRESIDENT: Has he been sworn already?
5	If so, he is still on his former oath.
6	MR. YAMAOKA: I understand he has been.
7	He has testified previously before this Tribunal.
8	THE PRESIDENT: You are still on your former
9	oath.
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. YAMAOKA:
13	Q Will you kindly state your name and address?
14	A My name is HIDAKA, Shinrokuro. Address,
15	1030 Matsubara-cho, 3-Chome, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo.
16	MR. YAMAOKA: May the witness be shown de-
17	fense document 2148?
18	(Whereupon, a document was handed
10	to the witness.)
20	Q Is that your affidavit?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Is it in all respects true and correct?
23	A Yes.
24	MR. YAMAOKA: I offer defense document 2148

25

in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution objects to certain paragraphs of this affidavit:

Paragraph 2 on the ground that it is merely opinion and gossip and irrelevant matter relating to a period during most of which HIROTA was not holding any office. It describes the witness' impressions of the state of affairs and prospects in China from Arril 1937 until the time of the outbreak of the Marcol Polo Bridge Incident and says that nobody expected any such Incident and all the important Chinese officials were on holiday when it happened.

The last part of it gives the witness' opinion about Chinese military and internal affairs at that date.

Then, we object to the first half of paragraph 4 on page 4, down to the middle of the paragraph, the words "and the Chinese authorities outside the Foreign Department were all reluctant to meet me." All of that, in our submission, is mere opinion of the witness, and gossip.

Then, we object to the first sentence in paragraph 6, which is merely the witness' opinion; the first four lines and one word, down to "Japan."

. 1

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Yamaoka.

MR. YAMAOKA: May it please the Tribunal, it is true that Mr. HIROTA was not in office from February to June 1937, but I submit that this is only a brief period of a few months. Thereafter he continued in office until 1938, in May, during the First KONOYE Cabinet. During his absence from office things did transpire in China, and unless that story is told, we respectfully submit, a true picture -- that is, a satisfactory picture -- cannot be evolved.

The testimony of this witness is primarily concerned with conditions in China; not in Tokyo but in China, as of that period. I respectfully submit that the events which there occurred did affect the actions of the Japanese Government, and particularly the accused HIROTA.

Now, in respect to paragraph 4, I do not believe that this is mere gossip. The witness clearly states that he was told by persons living there the situation there.

1 2 e n 3 e r 5 g 6 & B a 3 rt 9 0 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

.17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the objections are sustained and the document rejected to that extent. The unrejected parts are admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2148 will receive exhibit No. 3273.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3273 and received in evidence.)

MR. YAMAOKA: I shall now read exhibit 3273 as admitted. Omitting the formal parts,

"(1) I entered the diplomatic service in 1919, and, after serving in Europe and in Tokyo, was in the office of Consul-General at Nanking for about ten months, from 1933 to the beginning of 1934. Then I returned to the Foreign Office where I held the post of the Chief of the Personnel Section for about three years. I was then in the office of the Councillor of the Embassy at Nanking from April 30, 1937, to August 16 of the same year, and afterwards at Shanghai from August 29, 1937, to March 3, 1939. I returned to Tokyo after I had served as Consul-General at Shanghai from March 17 to December 12, 1938.

"In April 1940 I was again appointed Councillor of the Embassy at Nanking and held that post

4 5

till November 1942. Then I was Ambassador to Italy, arriving at Rome in April 1943 and returning home in March 1946. I was relieved from post in May 1946.

"(3) I was first informed of the outbreak of the Marco Pelo Bridge incident by an official telegram of July 8 despatched from Peiping. I received also a telephone call from Chief of the Japanese Section Tung in this connection in the evening of the same day. He said he hoped that the Japanese Army would exercise prudence and caution so that the matter might not assume more serious proportions. I told him in reply that Japan had no intention to aggravate the situation on purpose, and requested the self-restraint on the part of the Chinese.

Foreign Department an official note, the import of which could be reduced to the following two points, namely (1) that the Japanese troops which caused the incident should be withdrawn to the place where they were originally stationed, and wait for a judicial settlement; and (2) that the Chinese Foreign Department would reserve all the judicial demands in connection with the present matter. The Japanese side replied to the effect (1) that it was by the treaty right that the Japanese troops were stationed in North

4

3

6

7

9

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

21

23

22

24

China and went through maneuvers there; (2) that it was by the provocative conduct of the Chinese side that the Japanese side was compelled to take the steps for self-defense; (3) that the Chinese side was responsible for the outbreak of the incident; and (4) that, accordingly, the Japanese Government could not accept the claim of the Chinese Foreign Department to reserve all the judicial demands in this connection.

"On the 11th I received telegraphic instructions from the Japanese Foreign Office, ordering me to convey the intention of the Japanese Government to settle the matter locally and to request the Nanking Government not to obstruct the Japanese efforts to save the situation promptly. I lost no time in conveying the import of the instructions to the Chinese Vice-Minister for Foreign Affairs, and then officially to the Chinese Foreign Vinister, who, however, demanded in reply that the Japanese troops then stationed in the places of disturbance should be withdrawn to the places where they were originally stationed, and that no reinforcements should be sent from Manchuria, Korea and the home land of Japan. I then asked him if I was right in believing that, in case an agreement to stop hostile activities was

reached in connection with the present incident between the Japanese and Chinese authorities on the spot, the Nanking Government had no intention to deny or to destroy it. The Foreign Minister did not show any intention to deny it, though, in the evening, the Foreign Department sent to me an official note to the effect that any local understanding or agreement which had already been concluded or was to be concluded thereafter, would take effect upon confirmation by the Central Government. On the occasion of the interview, I also asked the Chinese Foreign Minister if the information reporting the mobilization or the northward movement of Chinese troops was true, he did not deny it.

"On the 16th I received again an official note from the Chinese Foreign Department demanding (1) that all the Japanese reinforcements despatched on the occasion of the present incident should instantly be withdrawn; (2) that the Japanese troops responsible for the outbreak of the incident should be withdrawn to the places where they had originally been stationed; and (3) that the Chinese Government would reserve all the claim in connection with the incident.

"On the following 17th I received telegraphic instructions from the Japanese Foreign Office, which

reached in connection with the present incident between the Japanese and Chinese authorities on the spot, the Nanking Government had no intention to deny or to destroy it. The Foreign Minister did not show any intention to deny it, though, in the evening, the Foreign Department sent to me an official note to the effect that any local understanding or agreement which had already been concluded or was to be concluded thereafter, would take effect upon confirmation by the Central Government. On the occasion of the interview, I also asked the Chinese Foreign Minister if the information reporting the mobilization or the northward movement of Chinese troops was true, he did not deny it.

"On the 16th I received again an official note from the Chinese Foreign Department demanding (1) that all the Japanese reinforcements despatched on the occasion of the present incident should instantly be withdrawn; (2) that the Japanese troops responsible for the outbreak of the incident should be withdrawn to the places where they had originally been stationed; and (3) that the Chinese Government would reserve all the claim in connection with the incident.

"On the following 17th I received telegraphic instructions from the Japanese Foreign Office, which

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

urgently ordered me to demand (1) that the Nanking Government should not obstruct the execution of the terms of the settlement about which an agreement had been reached between the Japanese and the Chinese Military authorities on the spot on July 11; and (2) that the said Government should stop all the provocative speeches and actions in order to carry out the policy of preventing the further development of the conflict; specially requesting me to strive for the realization of the purport of the instructions. Thereupon, I called on the Chinese Foreign Minister in the very evening to hand to him an official note conveying the above two points, and earnestly persuaded him that the most urgent step which should be taken in order to maintain peace between Japan and China was to prevent the further aggravation of the situation through the faithful execution of the agreement of July 11. Further, I pointed out the fact that the Japanese force in North China was inferior beyond comparison to the Chinese force there, and reinforcements were being eagerly waited for, even for the safety of the stationary force, not to speak of the Japanese residents there. I emphasized the urgent necessity of carrying through the agreement of July 11 to alleviate the strained situation

22

11

12

13

in North China, called the attention of the Foreign Minister to the danger that the further despatch of reinforcements to North China by the Nanking Government might be the surest cause of the aggravation of the situation, and requested that he should take some prompt measures to stem .the advance of the Chinese Central Force which was then actually moving northward. To this the Chinese Foreign Minister promised to make a reply on the next Monday, the 19th. The official note I left in the hands of the Chinese Foreign Minister was translated in English and sent to the British and American Ambassadors at Nanking for reference.

"On the 19th, Chief of the Japanese Section Tung called on me and handed to me an official note 15 which was to the following effect: 'Japan is despatch-16 ing a large body of corps to Hopei Province, and does 17 not stop despatching even now. Under such circumstances 18 China cannot but make proper preparations for self-19 defense. The Chinese Government hereby proposes that 20 both Japan and Chine should simultaneously stop the move-21 ment of their respective forces, and withdraw the troops which have already been despatched to the places where 23 they were originally stationed. Any settlement of local 24 hature reached on the spot ought to be confirmed by the 25 Central Government.' I asked Tung if this was the reply

on the part of the Chinese Covernment to our official 2 note of July 17, but the only answer I could obtain 3 was as vague as that which he carried to me by order of the Foreign Minister. On the following 20th I called on the Chinese Foreigh Minister, and ascertained that 6 the Chinese official note of July 19 was intended to 7 be the reply to our note of the 17th. It was, however, 8 fer from the practical necessity to alleviate the tense 9 situation in North China as easly as possible. And I 10 really consider that, if we wasted time in this way in 11 such a roundabout negotiation, the situation would get 12 all the more aggravated. From such viewpoint, I 13 earnestly persuaded the Chinese Foreign Minister, but 14 he only repeated his usual, abstract argument. 15 "It was by mere chance that I had a talk with 16 Kao Tsung-wu, the Chief of the Asiatic Bureau, Foreign Department, who had a direct connection with Chiang Kai-shek, on the 25th, on some business apart from the 19 negotiation in question, and was given a hint that Chiang Kai-shek himself intended to seek a prompt and practical settlement of the matter. Encouraged by this, I managed to have a conversation with Chang Chun, the Governor of 23 Szechwan Province, who was one of those eminent states-24 men most trusted by Generalissimo Chiang. I met him 25 twice or three times during the period from July 25

3

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

or 26 to July 27, and he negotiated with me always in close connection with Chiang Kai-shek. He declared, in the course of the negotiation, that the Chinese Government had no objection to the agreement of July 11. The negotiation, hereupon, took a practical turn, and eventually it was agreed that, as soon as the execution of the agreement of July 11 was guaranteed, the Japanese force would make a voluntary statement to withdraw, and that actually the Chinese Central Force would first move southwards, directly followed by the withdrawal of the Japanese troops. I was gled to think that the Marco Polo Bridge incident was at last settled. Nevertheless, the Langfang incident of July 25 and the Kwangan incident of July 26 had already occurred by that time, and furthermore, from the 28th onward, the collision between the Japanese and Chinese forces went on getting all the more serious. Thus, the agreement reached between Chang Chun and myself proved fruitless.

"I may add by the way that I informed, as occasion called, of the progress of the negotiation with the Chinese Government to the representatives of Britian, the United States, Germany, France and Italy, and I kept close contact especially with British Ambassador Sir Natehball Huggessen.

24

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

"(5) After the outbreak of the Marco Polo Bridge Incident, Shanghai was comparatively calm. It was at the end of July or the beginning of August that the situation there began to grow threatening. We could plainly perceive, at "anking, that many troops from various districts were being concentrated, and that troops and munitions were being transported from Nanking to Changhai. On August 8, British Ambassador Huggessen presented to me his opinion concerning the maintenance of peace in and around Shanghai. Being well aware, through the successive telegrams from the Japanese Foreign Office, that the Japanese Government, holding fast to the policy of local settlement, earnestly desired to avert collisions between Japan and China in Shanghai, I promptly reported the British Ambassador's opinion to the Japanese Foreign Office. Indeed in Shanghai, the Japanese authorities, including those of the Navy, had not the slightest intention to make the matter serious. I was actually told by Captain Risaburo FUJITA, the Vice-Chief of the Ftaff of the Landing Forces, who had arrived at his post in Shanghai shortly before the outbreak of collision between the Japanese and Chinese forces there, that he had received a special secret order from the Naval General Staff

16

15

18

17

20

19

21

22

24

on leaving Tokyo to the effect that collision must be averted at all hazards in thanghai. On the 11th, the British Ambassador, on behalf of the Representatives of Britain, the United States, Germany, Italy and France, offered to our Ambassador KAWAGOYE, who had returned to Shanghai on August 7 from his travel in North China, in writing, that the representatives of Japan and China as well as of the above five rowers should cooperate to maintain peace and order in Shanghai and its vicinity. I immediately reported the offer to the Foreign Office. And on the following 12th, I received a telegram to the effect that the Japanese Government would accept the joint offer of the representatives of the five powers on condition that the Chinese Government should observe the truce agreement of 1932. I conveyed this, through British Ambassador Huggessen, to the representatives of the said five nowers and Chiang kai-shek. In this way, the joint committee consisting of the representatives of Japan, China and the said five powers, was held on the 12th in Fhanghai, and Consul-General OKAMOTO attended the committee as the Japanese delegate. the same day, I received a telegram from Consul-General OKAMOTO asking me to request the Nanking

Government to withdraw the Chinese peace preservation

24

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

corps stationed near the foreign settlements in Shanghai and to remove the military equipment and constructions around the settlement. I called on Vice-Minister for Foreign Affairs Chen in the afternoon of the same day and made the request. On the following 13th, I again received a telegram from the Japanese Foreign Office which was to the same effect. I called on Foreign Minister Wang, and repeated the request. The Foreign Minister, however, argued the validity of the truce agreement, repeating abstract and formal discussion, and the request obtained no effectual result.

Consul Takal at Changsha at the end of July, which endorses this impression of mine. According to the telegram, a staff officer of Ho Chien, the Governor of Hunan Province, told Takal that the Nanking Government had given a secret order to Ho Chien to open fire at Japanese warship off the coast of Changsha in case collision took place between the Japanese and Chinese forces in Shanghai, and asked Takal to use his influence to withdraw the Japanese warship lying at anchor at Changsha before some accident might happen. Mr. Takal in person made a verbal report of the above facts in detail when he stopped at Nanking,

1 2

4 5

retiring from Changsha, on August 10 or thereabouts.

ese Foreign Office on August 16 and returned to Tokyo. When I had a chance to talk with the Foreign Minister HIROTA on the 21st. I told him that I was to make a verbal repor; to His Majesty the Emperor a few days later and asked for his advice in this connection. The Foreign Minister advised me that the circumstances in China should be reported to His Majesty so as to suggest that there was still some hope of peaceful settlement. Further I met War Minister SUGIYAMA at that time. He said he feared that Japan's proposal of peace might be rejected by the Chinese Government, and asked for my opinion about that. I replied that I considered there was still a ray of hope for peace.

"(8) The telegrams and cocuments referred to in the above statement have been lost in fire and cannot be found in the files of the Foreign Office."

If the Tribunal please, I've been requested to ask one additional question of this witness. BY MR. YAMAOKA (Continued): Q Do you know what office Chang Chun, whom you mention in your affidavit, now holds in the Chinese Government? MR. COMYNE CARR: In my submission it is irrelevant what office the gentleman now holds in the Chinese Government. I object. THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld. MR. YAMAOKA: You may cross-examine. -11 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr. MR. COMYNS CARR: If it please the Tribunal, 13 the prosecution does not propose to cross-examine this witness. 15 MR. YAMAOKA: May the witness be released 17 on the usual terms? THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly. (Whereupon, the witness was ex-19 cused.) 21

MR. YAMAOKA: We next offer in evidence defense document 2538, being the affidavit of HAYAPHI, Kaoru of the Foreign Office showing that the telegrams and official notes referred to in defense document 2148, the affidavit of witness HIDAKA, were lost by

2

5 6

8

9

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

23 24

2

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

21

23 24

25

fir during the war and are not in the files of the Foreign Office. I shall not read this exhibit.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2538

will receive exhibit No. 3273A.

(Thereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3273A and received in evidence.)

MR. YAMAOKA: We next call the witness OKAMOTO, Suemasa.

FUENA; A OKAMOTO, recalled as a witness on behalf of the defense, having previously been sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

THE PRESIDENT: You are still on your former oath.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. YAMAOKA:

Q Will you kindly state your name and address.

A Name, OKAMOTO, Fuemasa; address, 1123 1-chome Kitagawa, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo.

MR. YAMAOKA: May the witness be shown defense document 2276?

(Whereupon, a document was handed

to the witness.)

Q Is that your affidavit?

A Yes.

Q Is it in all respects true and correct?

A Yes.

MR. YAMAOKA: I offer in evidence defense document 2276.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

PR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, prosecution objects to the whole of the second paragraph of paragraph 2, which is contained in inverted commas, on the ground that it is merely repetition of the actual telegram therein referred to which is defense document No. 2027, I understand, about to be tendered and to which we shall not object.

THE PRESIDENT: For our convenience, why not admit the affidavit on condition that Mr. Yamaoka doesn't tender the telegram itself later?

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, I should like to offer the telegram to the witness or read the telegram itself in view of the summarization by this witness.

THE PRESIDENT: That will preserve logical sequence. It is admitted on the usual terms.

MR. YAMAOKA: I offer in evidence defense

document 2027. This is a telegram from Foreign Minister HIROTA to Consul-General OKAMOTO at Shanghai, dated August 4, 1937.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2027

will receive exhibit No. 3274.

MR. YAMAOKA: We next offer in evidence defense document --

THE PRESIDENT: The affidavit is exhibit No. 3274 and the last document is exhibit No. 3275.

(Thereupon, documents 2276 and 2027 were marked defense exhibits 3274 and 3275, respectively, and received in evidence.)

MR. YAMAOKA: We next offer, if the Tribunal please, defense document 2028 in evidence. This is a telegram 'rom Foreign Minister HIROTA to Ambassador KAWAGOE in China, dated August 7, 1937. The document contains further evidence of the extended eff rts of Mr. HIROTA to terminate the fighting in China immediately.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2028

will receive exhibit No. 3276.

(Thereupon, the document above

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

16

18

19

20

22

23

24

referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3276 and received in evidence.)

MR. YAMAOKA: I shall now read exhibit 3274, omitting the formal parts:

"(1) I entered the Diplomatic Service in October, 1917. After serving at posts in Britain, the United States and China, as well as in the Foreign Office at Tokyo, I was appointed Minister to Sweden in November, 1942, remaining there till January, 1946. I retired from the service soon after return to Japan in March, 1946.

"I was consul-general at Shanghai from May 8, 1937 to March 21, 1938.

"(2) The Marco Polo Bridge incident broke out on July 7, at the time I was consul-general at Shanghai. I was doing my best, acting upon instructions given to me by Mr. HIROTA, the Minister for Foreign Affairs, to maintain in close cooperation with the Chinese authorities, peace in Shanghai and its vicinity, when I received, on August 6, several important telegraphic instructions from the Foreign Minister to the following effect."

With the permission of the Tribunal, I shall now read at this point exhibit 3275:

. 1

"FROM:

FOREIGN MINISTER HIROTA

"TO:

CONSUL-GENERAL OKAMOTO (SHANGHAI)

"DI PATCHED: AUGUST 4, 1937.

3

1

2

"No. CODE (MACHINE)

6

"(STRICTLY SECRET. EXCLUSIVE CODE FOR CHIEF OF MISSION)

-

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

-

25

"FUNAZU is expected to arrive in Shanghai on the 7th on the NAGAFAKI MARU, and it is necessary that he hold a secret meeting with Kao Tsung-Wu immediately. However, since it might attract attention if FUNAZU were to proceed to Nanking immediately, you are requested to have arrangements made so that he can meet Kao in Shanghai on the 7th without creating the impression that the Consulate or other authorities are conducting lisison. For this purpose, one plan would be to dispatch TSUTSUMI of the Cotton Mill Owners' Association at once to Nanking to have him contact Kao personally and ask him to go to Shanghai by the evening of the 7th as if by a telegraphic request received from FUNAZU (FUNAZU is informed of this point).

"This matter being of the utmost secrecy, you are requested to exercise the greatest caution so that there will be no leakage and also to see to it that kao is made to understand that the meeting with

FUNAZU will be kept in absolute secrecy."

There is a certificate which I shall not read.

At this point also I shall read exhibit 3276.

THE FRESIDENT: This is not a lengthy affidavit, so we will now adjourn until half-past nine
tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Friday, 3 October, 1947, at 0930.)

11

10

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

13

16

17

1.9

20

21

23.

24

INDEX

OF

WITNESSES

(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	TO RESERVE WEST STATES
DEFENSE' WITNESSES	
	Page
TAKAYAMA, Nobutake	23651
Direct by Mr. Blakeney	23651
(Witness excused)	23659
TAKEDA, Hisashi	19320
Direct by Mr. BANNO (cont'd)	19320 19357
Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr	19360
TAKEDA, Hisashi (resumed)	19376
Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr . (cont'd)	19377.
(Witness excused)	19381
TAKEDA, Isamu	21244
Direct by Mr. Roberts	21244
Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr	21257
TAKEDA, Isamu (resumed)	21282
Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr (cont'd) " by Mr. Comyns Carr (cont'd)	21282 21303
Redirect by Mr. Roberts (cont'd)	21320 21326
(Witness excused)	21335
TAMURA, Kożaku	17872
Direct by Mr. Blewett " by Mr. Blewett (cont'd)	17872 17884

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST

REPRODUCTION CENTER - Receipt
COURT PROCEEDINGS for 2 OCT 1947 ISSUED 3 OCT 1947 (Dated)

DISTRIBUTION "A" REC'D BY_

	CHECK	DELIVERY	DELIVERY"	COPIES		CHECK	THIS DEL.	DELIVERY#1	HULOTED
AUSTRALIA		4	1		COL. HASTINGS		0	2	2
CANADA		1	1		ARBIT'N BRD		1	0	1
CHINA		3	1		LANG. DIV	7	2	2	4
FRANCE		1	1		ALLIED PRESS		13/	0	12
GREAT BRITAIN		0	1		W.C. WASH	V	7	0	7
INDIA		3	1	HAT	W.C. GUAM		1	0	1
NETHERLANDS		2	1		JAP CRT REP		2	0	2
NEW ZEALAND	19837	3	1	9 646	JAP PRESS		3	0	3
PHILLIPINES	-	2	1		LEGAL SEC		1	0	1
RUSEIA		1	2.	463	HIST DIV		1	0	1
UNITED STATES	De julio	1	1		CHINESE DIV		1	0	1
MILITARY AIDE		1	0		OCTO :		5	0	5
LEGAL ADVISOR		0	1	1909	MARSHAL CRT		1	0	1
(TOTAL)		22	13	35	PHOTO DIV		1	0	1
IPS DIV	!	59	11 ;	70	GOV SEC		1	0	1
DEF DIV		54	6:	60	MR. CUPPAIDGE		2	0	2
OFF GEN SEC		3	1'	4	G-2 DIBRARY	S. 10 A	1	0	1
CLK of CRT		0	2	2			1.		
CRT REPORTER		0	4.	4		19.09	100		