Of

## EXHIBITS

	Doc.	Def.	Pros.	hescription For Ident.	In Evidence
	1221	2530		Affidavit of MITSUNAMI,	21382
1	1094	2531		Reply of Japanese Govern- ment on 30 September 1937 re Bombing of Nanking	21390
	1220	2532		Affidavit of KOBAYASHI, Yoshito	21397
	1176	2533		Statement of Foreign UGAKI given to Foreign Correspondents on 6 June 1938	21406
	1187	2534		Statement of the Foreign Minister Mr. ARITA, Hachiro given to the Foreign Correspondents on 19 December 1938	21410
				MORNING RECESS	21416
	1178	2535	5	Statement by Frime Minister, Prince KONOYE, dated 22 December 1938	21423
	1077	253	6	Declaration of MATSUI, Iwane 21431	
	1165	253	7	Affidavit of HIDAKA, Shinrokuro	21444

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Poc.	Def.	Pros.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
1344	2538		Affidavit of HIRAMOT Michitaka	0,	21476
1338	2539		Affidavit of YAMAMOT Yoshio	0,	21486
401(18)	2540		War", Official Publication, Depa ment of State, U. (pp. 47 and 48)	rt-	21495

Of

# WITNESSES

	Page
Defense' Witnesses	21382
MITSUNAMI, Teizo	21382
Direct by Mr. Roberts (Witness excused)	21387
	21397
KOBAYASHI, Yoshito	21397
Direct by Mr. Roberts (Witness excused)	21402
	21435
NAKAYAMA, Yasuto	21435
Pirect by Mr. Mattice	
NOON RECESS	21437
(Witness excused)	21442
	21443
HIDAKA, Shinrokuro	21443
Direct by Mr. Mattice Direct (cont'd) by Mr. ITO Direct (cont'd) by Mr. SAEKI	21464 21464
(Witness excused)	21467
AFTERNOON RECESS	21471

of

witnesses

(cont'd)

Defense' Witnesses	Page
HIRAMOTO, Michitaka	21476
Direct by Mr. Roberts	21476
Cross by Mr. Tavenner	21483
(Witness excused)	21485
YAMAMOTO, Yoshio	21486
Direct by Mr. Roberts	21486
(Witness excused)	21493
ENOMOTO, Juji	21497
Direct by Mr. Roberts	21497

# Monday, 5 May 1947

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL.
FOR THE FAR EAST
Court House of the Tribunal
War Ministry Building
Tokyo, Japan

at 0930.

Appearances:

For the Tribunal, same as before with the exception of: THE HONORABLE MR. JUSTICE NORTHCROFT,

14 now sitting.

For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before.

The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,

(English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

Morse & Greenbers

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except OKA, HIRANUMA, and TOGO who are represented by counsel. The prison surgeon of Sugamo certifies that the three named occused are unable to attend the trial today on account of illness. The certificate will be recorded and filed.

The certificate covers the cose of HIRANUMA and in the statement I read his name is included. I understand now that he is present, but let that be confirmed by the Clerk of the Court.

The name of HIRANUMA was included in the statement placed before me. HIRANUMA is present. I understand OKA is also present. I understand the same thing occurred on Friday, that only TOGO was absent on Friday.

It is unfortunate that these corrections have to be made on such simple matters. Due care must be exercised in the future or else there will be trouble for somebody.

Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunal, we have received a communication from the Secretarist of the Court pointing out the necessity of reducing the number of copies of the transcript due to a critical

shortage of paper. This contemplated action would hamper us very much, if it is carried out, and we have given some though to it and are of the opinion that if both sides of the paper are printed that it would prevent the necessity of cutting down the number of transcripts.

THE PRESIDENT: I see no objection to printing on both sides except as regards the Judges' copies.

I will consult my brothers about that.

I understand the Judges are also prepared to receive comies printed on both sides.

MR. TAVENNER: Thank you very much.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

TR. ROBERTS: Mr. President, we now call the witness MINAMI, Teizo.

I understand the name is MITSUNAMI.

MITSUNAMI, called as a witness TFIZO on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, 2 testified through Japanese interpreters as 3 4 follows: 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. ROBERTS: 7 Please give us your name and address. 8 Name, MITSUNAMI, Teizo. Address, No. 3 9 Shinzaike, Ajio-mura, Mishima-gun, Osaka Prefecture. 10 May the witness be shown defense document 11 1221? 12 ("hereupon, the domiment was handed to 13 the witness.) 14 (Continuing) Please tell us if that is your 15 sworn affidavit. 16 Yes, this is. 17 THE PRESIDENT: How did he sign it? MITSUNAMI 18 or MINAMI? 19 MR. ROBERTS: I understand in the Japanese 20 language it can be either. 21 I offer in evidence defense document 1221. 22 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 23 CLFRK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1221

(Whereupon, the document above

will be given exhibit No. 2530.

24

4 5

referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2530 and received in evidence.)

MR. ROBERTS: I shall read exhibit No. 2530:

"I am an ex-rear-admiral of the Japanese Navy.

I served as the commander of the 2nd Combined Naval
Air Corps from 12 July 1937 (the 12th year of Showa)

to December of the same year. The following is a
statement of facts, with which I was directly concerned
while I served as the commander of the 2nd Combined

Naval Air Corps in the Shanghai area.

"We received information on 18 September 1937 (the 12th year of Showa) that the Chinese Air Force was about to launch an attack on us. We planned to counter-attack the enemy before they were prepared to take actions against us. But due to the poor condition of the air field we had no alternative but to postpone our attempt until the following day, that is on 19 September.

"The Chinese Air Force, however, made a raid upon our positions as we had expected on the 18th.

On the 19th our airplanes engaged in two air battles with the enemy over Nanking. Our planes destroyed many enemy planes in this battle, but we also lost three of our planes. Consequently, we gained command of the

air over Nanking area.

"On 20 September of the same year we carried out an air raid and the targets being the offices of the National Government, the General Staff Headquarters, and Wireless Stations. On the 21st we cancelled our raid due to rainy weather. On the 22nd our air force made three raids on military installations such as the Aviation Bureau, the Anti-Air Raid Committee Office, the Central Party Headquarters, the Shi tsu shan Gun Positions, etc.

"For guidance in these attacks, I summoned"

Commander -- there is an error upon which an errata
sheet is being issued, I understand. It should be

Commander instead of Lieutenant Colonel -- "NAKAHARA,
who had resided in Nanking for many years. Referring
to the map of the city of Nanking he orientated the air
crew on position of the targets so no distakes could
be made and the conditions prevailing there. Again I
ordered the crew to carry out dive bombing, in spite
of the handicaps we would have to face in this particular operation.

"We paid particular attention to the rights and interests of neutral countries. Giving one such instance here, our Army in the attack of Chinkian desired that we launch an air attack against the Chinese

3 4

forces retreating on many boats on the Yangtze River, but we did not because British merchant ships were lying anchored in the neighbourhood.

"Again the Commander of the 3rd Fleet notified beforehand the diplomats of the third powers on 19 September that we were going to make an airraid on Nanking, and again on the 20th of the same month, we notified beforehand the Chinese noncombatants of our air-raids and warned them to take refuge.

"Next, I would like to mention the case of the misbombing of the Panay.

"The Paney was bombed and sunk about 1:00 p.m. on 12 December. It was obviously a misbombing. On the day it was sunk, we were not aware of the fact that we had sunk the Paney. Until we were notified on 13 December by the Headquarters of the United States Fleet and then for the first time we learned of our misbombing of the ship.

"Headquarters of the 3rd Fleet immediately dispatched flying bosts carrying medical officers, medical supplies, and other things to the place of refuge. Also ships were sent to attend to relief of the men on board. Again we sent immediately the Chief of Staff to the Headquarters of the United States Fleet to express our regrets.

3 4 5

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"I, the Commander of the Neval Air Corps of that time took sole responsibility for the incident and submitted my informal resignation by telegraph to the Minister of the Navy and the Chief of the Naval General Staff. Consequently, I was 'admonished' and ordered to return from the combat zone on 15 December 1937 (the 12th year of Showa) and given a position as Commander of the 2nd" -- it should be Carrier Division instead of Air Fleet, for which an errate sheet will also be issued. "(Aircraft carriers Soryu and Ryujo).

"On 5 May 1938 (the 13th of Shows) the flagship Soryu was ordered into combat, therefore, aircraft carrier Ryujo was made the flagship and all headquarter personnel under me was ordered to remain. On 11 August 1938 (the 13th year of Showa) when the aircraft carrier Ryujo was also ordered to proceed into combat. zone; I was the only one ordered to remain behind and was assigned as observer for the Naval General Staff.

"Until I was placed in First Reserve on 16 December 1940 (the 15th year of Shows) I never went into the combet zone.

"I believe these actions, of not sending me to the combet zone, were taken against me by my superiors because of the Panay incident."

### MITSUNAMI

You may cross-examine. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner. MR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunel, there will be no cross-examination of this witness because the prosecution relies upon exhibit 955, record 9,456; exhibit 956, record 9,458; and exhibit 957, record 9,460. MR. ROBERTS: May the witness be excused on the usual terms? TH PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 

MR. ROBERTS: We offer in evidence defense document 1115. This is an official list of the places bombed in and around Nanking, specifically showing the objectives borbed and the verified official report of the damage inflicted upon the

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

bunal, it is difficult from the certificate to ascertain the origin of this document or the information upon which it is based. It appears to us to be another press release and, as such, is subject to the same objections as have been offered in respect of similar documents.

MR. ROBERTS: It appears from the certificate not to be a press release as my good fridad has informed the Court. It is a document which, ostensibly, is a compiled list of places bombed and the results, which is to refute the statement by the prosecution that the bombing was indiscriminate. This will aid the Court in its efforts to find whether or not Nanking had definite military objectives which were being bombed at the tire.

THE PRESIDENT: By a rejority, the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

4 5

respective targets.

MR. ROBERTS: We offer in evidence defense document No. 1128 which is a document emanating from the Foreign Office setting forth the specific date upon which Japanese airplanes returned to their bases without borbing the intended objectives for the purpose of proving that said bombing was not carried out in a careless and indiscriminate manner as claimed by the prosecution.

THE PRESIDENT: , Brigadier Nolan.

bunel, there can be no manner of doubt as to the nature of this document which is entitled "Press Material Issued on the 4th of October by the Bureau of Information." I object to the document and would draw the attention of the Tribunal to the fact that the year is not mentioned in the title of the document, but the investigation is stated to have been rade on the 1st of October 1947.

MR. ROBERTS: May I inform the Court there is an errate sheet correcting the date to October 1, 1937 instead of 1947?

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal uphelds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. ROBERTS: I wanted to say a few words

in reference to this document, if your Honor please.

THE PRESIDENT: The decision is unanimous.

MR. ROBERTS: We offer in evidence defense document No. 1094 which is the reply by the Japanese Government to the American Ambassador, concerning the bombing of Nanking, for the purpose of showing the steps being taken to notify and protect the nationals of third powers.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1094

will receive exhibit No. 2531.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2531 and received in evidence.)

MR. ROBERTS: I shall read exhibit No. 2531:
"REPLY OF JAPANESE GOVERNMENT ON SEPTEMBER
30, 1937 CONCERNING BOMBING OF NANKING.

"September 30, 1937.

"Monsieur l'Ambassadeur:

"I beg hereby to inform Your Excellency that we have read your letter, dated the 22nd of September, concerning the bombing of Nanking by the Japanese force.

"Since Nanking is, as Your Excellency is fully aware, the central base of the Chinese military

2

4

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

operations with unparalleled strong defense, it is un voidable, for the purpose of attaining the military aim of the Japanese forces, that the military organs and establishments located in and around Nanking should be bombed. The bombing operations of the Japanese forces are not carried on beyond the scope above mentioned, it being unnecessary to say that they are not directed indiscriminately at non-combatants. The warning issued in advance to non-combatants serves as a testimony to the above statement. It goes without saying that in carrying out the present operations, there is no change in the policy frequently enunciated of late by the Japanese Government that they are earnestly solicitous of the safety of the rights and interests as well as of the lives and property of the nationals of third Powers which it is their wish to respect to the greatest possible extent. Our request to the effect that the officials and civilians and vessels of Your Excellency's country take refuge is due to no other thought than our earnest desire to prevent any untoward misfortune befalling the nationals of third Powers, in spite of the utmost caution taken by the Japanese forces.

"The Japanese Government hope that the Government of the United States fully understanding

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

that the Japanese forces, although greatly handicapped in their operations by giving advance notice requesting the nationals of third Powers to seek refuge, will be good enough to cooperate with the measures taken by the Japanese Government.

"As regards the damages suffered by the nationals of third Powers as a result of the present hostilities in China, the intention of the Japanese Government remain the same as previously brought to the knowledge of Your Excellency.

"I avail myself of this opportunity to renew to Your Excellency the assurances of my highest consideration."

We offer in evidence defense document 1116, which is a statement emanating from the Foreign Office concerning China's appeal to the League of Nations for the purpose of setting forth the Japanese version of the fact in direct contrast to the facts alleged by China.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: If it please the Tribunal, this document, which is a press release, sets forth the views of the Foreign Office on China's appeal to the League of Nations. The views therein contained are based on incomplete texts of the Chinese appeal,

and the expression of any definite opinion is avoided. We submit that it is an attempt to answer China's appeal through the press and should be rejected.

MR. ROBERTS: Again the certificate states that this is a document -- an official document of the Japanese Foreign Office. It has no reference to any press release whatsoever. As my friend has stated, they have avoided any opinions in this document and have stated certain facts as a reply to China's assertions to the League where now the defendants would like to have this as part of their explanation.

In so far as press releases are concerned,
I do not believe that this word alone should be
sufficient on behalf of the prosecution to have all
documents rejected. The prosecution flooded the
Court with press releases, and the Court accepted
them when they had probative value.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. ROBERTS: We offer in evidence defense document 726 which is an official statement of the Japanese Government made at Geneva on September 30, 1937 for the purpose of setting forth the facts in refutation of the resolution passed by the League

of Nations on September 28, 1937 and setting forth specific reasons for the attacks as well as the manner of their execution.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: If it please the Tribunal,
I would draw the attention of the Tribunal to the
fact that this is a statement of the Japanese Government made at Geneva. I am compelled to the view that
it is a press release at Geneva by the concluding
words of paragraph one of the document. We object
to its reception into evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: It is the contention of the defense that the official statements made to the League may be adopted by these defendants and be used as their explanations.

THE PRESIDENT: I suppose it is not a statement made to the League but a statement released in Geneva.

MR. ROBERTS: It states, according to the certificate, that it was a statement made at Geneva.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, as one of the judges says, the way to prove that it is a statement made to the League is by production of the League record.

When did Japan retire from the League? It was be-

fore this?

MR. ROBERTS: Prior to this.

THE PRESIDENT: Obviously a press release.

By a majority, the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. ROBERTS: We offer in evidence defense document No. 1127, which is a statement from the Foreign Office dated October 9, 1937, setting forth facts to refute declarations of the League of Nations and the State Department of the United States, for the purpose of proving that the action of the Japanese forces was by no means premeditated but simply defensive.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADILE NOLAN: If it please the Tribunal, this press release, emanating from the Foreign Office, criticizes the findings of the League of Nations and endeavors to fix the responsibility for the spread of the affair in China. It is obviously, we submit, an attempt to influence foreign public opinion and should be rejected by the Tribunal.

MR. LOBERTS: We submit that this is an official statement made at the time the events were occurring and should be considered as part of the res gestae.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the objection is upheld and the document rejected.

Have you many more of these documents which must necessarily be rejected in view of the judgment of the majority?

MR. ROBERTS: At present we shall call a witness, but we may have further documents later.

We call the witness KOBAYASHI.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Y	0	SHIT	0 K 0 B	AYAS	H I, called	as a witness
		on beh	alf of the	defense,	being first	duly
	sworn, testified		through Japanese interp		erpreters	
		as fol	lows:			

#### DIRECT FXAMINATION

### BY MR. ROBERTS:

- o Please tell us your name and address.
- A Name, KOBASHI, Yoshito; address, No. 5 Urago, 6-Chome, Yokoska.

MR. ROBERTS: May the witness be shown defense document No. 1220?

("hereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

- O Picase examine this document and tell us whether or not 10 is your sworn affidavit.
- A (Umamining) This affidavit was written by me.

MR. ROBERTS: I offer in evidence defense document No. 1220.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 1220 will receive exhibit No. 2532.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2532

and received in evidence.)

LR. ROBERTS: I shall read exhibit 2532.

"1. From June 1924 (the 13th year of TAISHO)
I began to study in piloting aeroplanes chiefly
the fighter planes. In the summer of 1929 (the 4th
year of SHOWA) I went to England for the purpose
of studying aerial tactics, and during the period
from January to August 1930 (the 5th year of SHOWA),
I completed the Flying Instructor's Course at the
R.A.F. No. 5 Flying Training School, Sealand; and
after that, at the R.A.F. No. 111 Fighter Squadron,
Pornchureh. At the R.A.F. No. 1 Fighter Squadron,
Tengmere, I received further training in aerial
tactics, being attached to a service corps.

"2. In 1937 (the 12th year of SHO"A) when the China Incident occurred. I was Lt-Commander and was the air commander of the air-craft carrier "RYUJO." From the beginning, I engaged in the aerial operations, annihilated the enemy planes in the SHANGHAI area and established the SFANGHAI A-Base (KUNGTA). After handing over the said base to the 2nd Combined Air Squadron, which had been a land air corps, I was transferred to the Kwangtung air operations.

"3. Now that the activities of the enemy

planes in the SHANGHAI area had been completely controlled, the aim of the Kwangtung air operations was to annihilate the enemy fighter units which were being trained and augmented in their strength with Curtishawk and British gloucester fighters as the main force in Kwangtung area. On September 13 in the vicinity of the Wanshan islands, the "MYOKO" was bombed by two enemy Northrop planes, and on September 14 the "YUBARI" in the vicinity of Tachan island was bombed by a total of 11 enemy Northrop planes and 2 curtishawk planes, causing 5 casualties.

"Therefore the 3rd Squadron Command planned for the annihilation of the enemy air forces in the south China region with the 1st Air Fighting Unit (comprising the aircraft-carriers "RYUJO" and "HOSHO") and the 1st Combined Air Squadron.

"4. Account of the development of the Kwangtung attack follows:-

"Sep. 18 - Consultation pertaining to operations was conducted at the TAIHOKU base between the 1st Air Fighting Unit and the 1st Combined Air Squadron.

"Sep. 21 - In the forenoon, the first attack on Ywangtung by the 1st Air Fighting Unit

was carried out.

2

1

3

5

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

24

25

was carried out.

"In the afternoon the second attack on Kwangtung by the 1st Air Fighting Unit was carried out.

"The objectives of the attack were the airfields of Tienho and Paiyun and the powder depot at Tsengshe.

"Joint attack with the 1st Combined Air Squadron was scheduled, but due to the inclement weather, it was postponed.

"Sep. 22 - In the forenoon, the Third
Kwangtung attack by the 1st Air Fighting Unit was
carried out with its objectives as the airfields of
TIENHO and PATYUN.

"In the afternoon the 4th attack on Kwangtung by the 1st Air Fighting Unit took place.

"A fighter unit participated in escorting the medium-sized land-based bomber unit of the 1st Combined Air Squadron. Three ship-board fighters bombed the HUMEN airfield.

"Sep. 23 - In the forenoon, the 5th Kwangtung attack by the 1st Air Fighting Unit was carried out, with its objectives as the powder depots at CHATAO and TSENGSHE.

"In the afternoon, due to the changing of

weather, the attack by the 1st Air Fighting Unit was suspended.

"Sep. 24 - Due to the inclement weather, the 1st Air Fighting Unit suspended its attacks.

"Sep. 25 - Due to the inclement weather, the 1st Air Fighting Unit suspended its attacks.

"Sep. 26 - In the forenoon the 6th Kwangtung attack by the 1st Air Fighting Unit was conducted, with its objectives as the iron bridges near CANTON city.

"In the afternoon, the 7th Kwangtung attack was made by the 1st Air Fighting Unit with its objectives s the same as in the forenoon. Here we discontinued the Kwangtung attacks, and started for the SSUCHIAOSHAN islands, in order to participate in the aerial operations in the SHANGHAI area again.

"5. In the above-mentioned bombing missions, any attacks on general civilians' houses or particularly against the rights and interests of foreign powers were prohibited, so that we, utilizing air photography, took great pains in the confirmation of the objectives, military installations or munitions arsonals only. From the Central Feadquarters we had received a special warning order to pay full respect to foreign rights and interests. Aviation

)

maps and various intelligence data, showing the whereabouts of foreign rights and interests, were handed to
us at the SSUCHIAOSHAN islands before starting for the
Kwantung attack. Detailed explanations were given to
the crew members so that the attacks would be executed
after confirmation on the military equipments.

"During the period of the afore-described attacks, the Air Fighting Corps Command ordered serial attacks to be discontinued when confirmation of objectives was thought to be impossible due to adverse weather. The crew members at that time were all of superior ability and, in addition to this, they had gained experience in their fighting in the SHANGHAI area that military discipline in the air was strictly observed by them."

MR. ROBERTS: You may cross-examine.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If it please your Honor, there will be no cross-examination for the same reasons expressed in connection with the witness MITSUNAMI.

MR. ROBERTS: May the witness be excused on the usual terms?

THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly.

("hereupon, the witness was

excused.)

maps and various intelligence data, showing the whereabouts of foreign rights and interests, were handed to
us at the SSUCHIAOSHAN islands before starting for the
Kwantung attack. Detailed explanations were given to
the crew members so that the attacks would be executed
after confirmation on the military equipments.

"During the period of the afore-described attacks, the Air Fighting Corps Command ordered serial attacks to be discontinued when confirmation of objectives was thought to be impossible due to adverse weather. The crew members at that time were all of superior ability and, in addition to this, they had gained experience in their fighting in the SHANGHAI area that military discipline in the air was strictly observed by them."

MR. ROBERTS: You may cross-examine.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tovenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If it please your Honor, there will be no cross-examination for the same reasons expressed in connection with the witness MITSUNAMI.

MR. ROBERTS: May the witness be excused on the usual terms?

THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly.

("hereupon, the witness was excused.)

3

200

6

8

-

9

10

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

23

25

maps and various intelligence data, showing the whereabouts of foreign rights and interests, were handed to us at the SSUCHIAOSHAN islands before starting for the Kwangtung attack. Detailed explanations were given to the crew members so that the attacks would be executed after confirmation on the military equipments.

attacks, the Air Fighting Corps Command ordered acrial attacks to be discontinued when confirmation of objectives was thought to be impossible due to adverse weather. The crew members at that time were all of superior ability and, in addition to this, they had gained experience in their fighting in the SHANGHAI area that military discipline in the air was strictly observed by them."

MR. ROBERTS: You may cross-examine.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tevenner

IR. TAVENNER: If it please your Honor.

there will be no cross-examination for the same reasons expressed in connection with the witness MITSUNAMI.

on the usual terms?

THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly.

MR. ROBERTS: We offer in evidence defense document 1113, which is a document emanating from the Foreign Office. It sets forth a compiled list of objectives bombed and the verified official report of the damage inflicted upon the respective targets.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: If it please the Tribunal, there is nothing that I can say in respect of this document that I haven't already said in respect of document 1115, from which this document cannot be distinguished and which was rejected by the Tribunal a few minutes ago.

THE PRESIDENT: This is clearly covered by an earlier ruling.

The objection is upheld and the document rejected.

IR. ROBERTS: We offer in evidence document 1114, which is a document emanating from the Foreign Office, dated September 27, 1937, setting forth facts concerning the precautions taken by the Japanese Government prior to the bombing of Nanking and Canton and also listing the military objectives successfully raided in Canton.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Molan.

7 8

BRIGADIER NOLAN: If it please the Tribunal, this document states that as far as detailed official information regarding the result of the bombing is concerned. it has not been received, but that nevertheless the reports which have emanated from Canton are exaggerated.

In my submission it is in no stronger position than earlier documents which have been rejected by the Tribunal.

MR. ROBERTS: It states that a certain
Reuter report was exaggerated and was later corrected by another London Reuter report.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal unanimously upholds the objection and rejects the document.

Mr. Roberts, to fully protect whatever rights you may have in this matter, you could tender a list showing the number of the defense document and the nature of the document. Tender it formally. It would be rejected, but you would get it in the transcript and it would be part of the record. Above all, it would save us precious time.

MR. ROBERTS: after the present document I am about to offer there is a statement of the Foreign Minister, and then I will take some of the following documents out of order and prepare a statement as

your "onor suggests.

I now would like to offer defense document
No. 1284, which is a document emanating from the
director of the Information Bureau concerning the
bombing of Nanking and Canton for the purpose of
setting forth facts in refutation of the resolution
of the Largue of Nations alleging Nanking and Canton
to be open cities and setting forth the instructions
wiven to the Japanese Air Forces concerning objectives to be attacked as well as precautions taken in
carrying out said attacks.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIES NOLAN: If it please the Tribunal, we object to the document on the grounds we have urged against earlier documents of a like character that had been rejected by the Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: It is clearly covered by earlier adverse decisions, Mr. Roberts. Do you contest that?

MR. ROBERTS: "e offer in evidence defense document 1176 --

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. ROBERTS (continuing): which is a statement of Foreign Minister UGAKI, dated June 6,

1938. concerning the attitude of Japan towards the property and interests of third powers, for the purpose of refuting the contention of the prosecution concerning a systematic plan to drive out foreign nations from China.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

ment, may it please the Tribunal. It is a statement of Foreign Minister UGAKI given to foreign correspondents on the 6th of June 1938.

MR. ROBERTS: It is an official statement of the Foreign Minister at that time, and for the purpose of the contention of the defendants, it is important that the Court have these facts.

THE PRESIDENT: A press release by the Foreign Minister.

By a majority the Court disallows the objection and admits the document.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1176 will receive exhibit No. 2533.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit 2533 and received in evidence.)

NR. ROBERTS: I shall read exhibit No. 2533; statement of Foreign Minister UGAKI given to the foreign correspondents on 6 June 1938:

"I ar very glad of this opportunity of recting you representatives of the foreign press for the first time since my assumption of the office of Foreign Minister. I carnestly hope for your cooperation in the future.

"It is the inrutable policy of Japan to establish a permanent peace and provote prosperity in East Asia and thereby to contribute to the cause of world peace and welfare of mankind. Unfortunately the China Affair broke out in July last year. The Japanese Government, however, continued to maintein a calr attitude throughout the early period of the conflict and did everything possible to urge upon General Chieng Kai-shek to reconsider the policy of his regime toward our country. General Chiang not only failed to understand the real intention of Japan, but he refused to alter his anti-Japanese attitude by declaring his stand for the so-called 'prolonged welfered. Japan was thus compelled to embark upon an arred carreign in China. Our forces have since carried on their operations steadily and successfully, defeating the energy on every front.

22

23

24

25

1

6

10

11

9

8

10 11

12 13

14

15

17

19 20

21

22 23

25

In the latter part of last month they captured Hsuchow, which the Chinese had borsted to be impregnable. Anking, the capitol of Anwei Province, has also been taken, and our forces are now advencing farther into the interior. The greatest interest of the Japanese people at present is concentrated upon the prosecution of the hostilities toward a successful conclusion.

"As regards the attitude of the other Fowers in connection with the present conflict, we note that while there are some Powers which take friendly measures toward Japan such as those of prohibiting the exportation of arms and runitions as well as the surply of war funds and military edvisers to China, there are some which are apparently resorting to a policy of encouraging China in her futile opposition to Japan, thereby causing on unnecessary prolongation of the conflict and untold losses of lives and property.

"This is to be profoundly regretted from the stendpoint of humanity, and any impairment in the friendly relations between Japan and some such Powers should be considered to be due to such action of their part.

"However, I can definitely state that the

relations of our country with other powers are as a whole satisfactory, and it is my intention to but forth my utmost efforts towards their improvement."

5

I might state orally that the next four documents perhaps core within the ruling of the Court as to statements or press releases. I can give the number.

8

7

THE PRESIDENT: Just give the number.

17. ROBERTS: We next offer in evidence defense documents 1193, 1189, 1186 and 1184.

11

10

THE PRESIDENT: Any objections?

13

There is no objection, apparently, Mr.

14

Roberts.

15

17

BRIGADIER NOLAN: We do object to the introduction of these documents, which are objectionable on the grounds that we have urged in the case of other documents of a similar character.

18 19

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld

21

20

in each case and the documents rejected.

22

document 1187, which is a statement by the Minister of Foreign Affairs, dated December 19, 1938, con-

MR. ROBERTS: We offer in evidence defense

24

Manchrakuo-Chine economic block and is an inter-

...

25

1. 1

pretation of the menning of said phrase.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOIAN: If it please the Tribunel, we object to document 1187, which deals with the desire of Japan for a new order and the benefits that would flow therefrom. I am aware that it is a statement of the Foreign Minister and to that extent it resembles an earlier document which was received by the Tribunal. Nevertheless, we do subrit that the docurent has no probative value and is yet another attempt to influence foreign public ominion.

MR. ROBERTS: It is our submission that the facts contained in this statement are of probative value and they are facts upon which the defendants rely to show that there was no conspiracy as claimed by the prosecution.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Court disallows the objection and admits the document.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 1187 will receive exhibit No. 2534.

> (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2534 and received in evidence.) MR. ROBERTS: I shall read defense

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

124

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

exhibit 2534:

12:

"Statement of the Froeign Minister, Mr.
ARITA HACHIRO, given to the foreign correspondents
on December 19, 1938.

"As hes been made clear by the statement of the Japanese Government on November 3rd, what Japan desires is the establishment of a new order which will ensure the permanent stability of East Asia; or, in other words, the establishment of a relationship of mutual helpfulness and co-ordination between Japan, Manchoukuo and China in rolitical, economic and cultural fields.

operative relationship between the three countries is an imperious necessity could be explained by the fact that it is, in its political aspect, a measure of self defense against the Communist renace and of safe-guarding the civilization and culture of the Orient, and in its economic aspect a reasure of self-preservation in the presence of the world-wide tendency to erect high customs barriers and to employ economic measures for political ends.

"It is not only of benefit to the Chinese reople themselves but to the whole of East Asia,

status to the position of a rodern State. The establishment of the new order, that is, of a relationship of mutual aid and co-ordination between Japan, Manchoukuo and China, simply signifies the creation of solidarity between these three countries for the corron purpose of preserving the integrity of East Asia, while enabling each nation to maintain its independence and fully develop its individuality.

"It is the firm conviction of Japan that the establishment of such a new order will perfectly be in consonance with international justice and will contribute toward the peace and tranquillity of East Asia, and it is her inflexible resolution to carry out her policy in this regard.

"Leaving for a later occasion the political and cultural phases of the proposed tripatite relationship, I wish today to offer a few remarks on its economic aspect.

"The economic aspect of the new order envisages a certain degree of economic cohesion and
co-ordination among Japan, Manchoukuo and China,
and the only purpose of forming such single economic
unit is to cope with, by strengthening its economic

unit, the similar units which already exist elsewhere in the world and which are both powerful and self-sufficing. Although the term 'bloc-economy' is frequently applied to such an arrengement, the proposed unit in East Asia is by no means to be a system of closed trade. If by 'block-economy' is reant the exclusion of all interests other than those of the parties directly concerned, the employment of the term would be wholly improper in the present case.

"At the morent, not a few observers seem inclined to feel as though Japan, by the inauguration of the so-called 'Japanese-Manchoukuo-China Economic Bloc' were aiming at the exclusion from East Asia of all enterprises, capital investments, trade and other economic activities on the part of foreign countries. It is quite regrettable that some such idea is to be seen reflected in Europe and America. Japan has long stoutly upheld before all the world the principle of equality of commercial opportunity -- though as a matter of fact, that principle has received scant regard elsewhere, and Japanese products of good quality and moderate price have everywhere been subjected to discriminatory treatment. Japan, nevertheless, still believes

that the way to bring about the prosperity of each and every nation is to give effect to the principle of equality of commercial opportunity, and she upholds the freedom of economic activity in all marts of the world as a matter of principle. It is far from Japan's thought to aim at excluding European and American economic activities from Fast Asia and she believes that such a thing is

totally impossible.

"However, it is absolutely necessary from the point of view of existence that Japan, being poor in netural resourses and without a large domestic market, and China, still economically weak, should work together in order to ensure the production which is necessary to raintain their nolicy of self-sufficiency for it must be admitted that the economic activities of the countries which lieoutside the limits of Fast Asia would have to be regulated. In other words, it is imperative that the economic activities of other Powers should be subject to certain restrictions dictated by the requirements of the national defense and economic security of the countries groured under the new order, and that no political privileges should be attached to those activities. The necessity of such re-

23

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

strictions is recognized by 'all modern states', including, I am sure, the British Empire and the United States. But even if these restrictions are put in force there will still remain vast fields of correctal and economic activity open to the people of other Powers.

"The formation or existence of an economic co-partnership of nations, such as is contemplated for Japan, Manchoukuo and China, would by no means entail any diminution of the trade between that group and other countries. On the contrary, the trade of other countries would also increase greatly by such formation. In this connection, I might add a few words regarding Manchoukuo. To say that the new state has been closed to Powers other than Japan is a gross mis-statement. Statistics show plainly the progressive increase that has characterized the foreign trade of Manchoukuo during the past few years. The total value of foreign trade of that country, which was 1,060,000,000 yuan in 1930, the year before her independence, leaped to 1,530,000,000 yuan in 1937. As for the imports from other countries during the same period, they witnessed an increase of 35.3% during the same period, 98.9% for the United States and 332.2% for France.

24

1

6

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Especially conspicuous was the increase in the importation of rachinery, tools, vehicles, hardware and timber, the demand for which is expected to expand further, with the progress of the work. of of economic construction in Manchoukuo. We should also take into consideration the imports from Western countries vin Japan, though these are not indicated in the statistics. Again, we should take note of the trade of Manchoukuo with the British and French colonies, which is fast developing with the years.

"In brief, the proposed new order for East Asia, when established, will not only bring permanent stability to this part of the globe but will also serve, I ar firmly convinced, to put the econoric activities of Occidental Powers in East Asia upon a far more solid foundation that at present."

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

> (Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resured as follows:)

24

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 2 2

3

5

8

7

9

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

24

25

23

MARSHAL OF THE COUNT: The International
Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: We now offer in evidence defense document 1178 which is a statement by Prime Minister KONOYE made on December 22, 1938 concerning the Japanese policy in China and the essential reasons for Japanese action.

THE PRESIDENT: These things may become repetitious.

Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: This document, may it please the Tribunal, 1178, may be described as more about the New Order. We object to its introduction into evidence.

to permit you to state through the Japanese Foreign
Minister the attitude of Japan towards China generally
and on particular occasions, but it is not necessary to
repeat that to any great extent.

MR. ROBERTS: We believe it is important to show the statements of various officials in the government concerning their policy in China for the purpose of proving that there could not have been a common plan or conspiracy on the part of these defendants to carry out such aggression. One may confirm or corroborate

the other, but it certainly will prove beyond a shadow of a doubt that there was no conspiracy as alleged by the prosecution.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, the time may shortly come when we will reject that kind of statement.

You tendered the document and it is objected to. I will take the respective views of my colleagues. Copies have not been distributed among the

judges.

MR. hOBERTS: May I simply add that the lives
of twenty-five men are at stake. It may be material

that the Court consider all the statements of officials ther than the defendants involved in this trial.

THE PRESIDENT: There might well be one hundred such statements for each accused, and you would not expect us to receive them all.

MR. ROBERTS: I agree that there should be a limitation, and I believe that this may be the last or next to the last such statement that I have to offer.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we have no copies upon which to pass judgment. And the acting clerk says that they do not have this document.

MA. HOBERT: I understand there was an error because of the fact that the number on the document is

added to subdivision 5, I believe, and is an that order of proof. It seems that because of the number being illegible that the document may have been withheld by the distribution. I would like to withheld this until a later period.

We affer in evidence defense document 1194 which is a statement by the Vice-Minister of Fareign Affairs, dated May 3, 1939, concerning Japan's intentions in Shanghai for the purpose of showing the desire for cooperation with foreign nationals.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May it please the Tribunal, we object to document 1194. I am aware of the fact that it purports to be a transcript of an oral representation made by the Vice-Minister of Foreign Affairs to the British and American ambassadors at Tokyo. An examination of the document itself discloses that it is almost wholly concerned with the administrative structure and machinery of the International Settlement at Shanghai. And we submit that the problem which presents itself for determination, as to whether there is any relevancy in this document to the matters before the Tribunal, we submit that there is none.

MR. KOBERTS: The defense submits that the

proposal by the Vice-Minister for Fereign Affairs to the British and American ambassadors for the purpose of cooperating in Shanghai dispreves the statement of the prosecution that there was a systematic plan to oust foreign nationals from Shanghai. For that purpose it will be only necessary to road the first two pages.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. hOBERTS: We offer in evidence defense document 1053 which is an announcement of the Foreign Office as a result of an Anglo-Japanese Conference on July 24, 1939, concerning the acknowledgment by the British Government of the actual conditions in China and recognizing that Japan had special claims in China in order to insure the safety and security of her nationals.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May it please the Tribunal, this document, 1053, purports to set out the contents of an announcement by the British ambassador at Tokyo. I have no volume from which I could check the accuracy of this excerpt, but in any event it is submitted that if it is intended to prove what the British ambassador put in his announcement, the announcement itself is the best evidence of that.

M... KOBERTS: The certificate certifies this to be an official announcement of the Foreign Office, and whether or not the announcement by the British Foreign Minister was in writing or not we do not know. THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document. 

MR. ROBERTS: I now have three documents

which, on their face, would come under the ruling of the Court. I will read the numbers of the documents so that we may have a ruling on the record.

We offer defense documents No. 1051, 1035 and 1325.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: The prosecution, may it please the Tribunal, objects to the three documents which have just been mentioned by learned counsel for the defense.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tri bunal upholos the objection in each case and rejects the documents.

MR. ROBERTS: We offer in evidence defense document 982, which is a statement of the Foreign Office taken from the Asahi Press and dated June 21, 1940, for the purpose of showing the recognition by the French Government of conditions in China.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May it please the Tribunal, this document, making due allowances for translation differences, is the same as document 1053 of the 24th of July, 1939, which has just been rejected, and with the exception that the word "French" Government is used instead of the word "British" Government, as was

2 3

1

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

in the earlier document.

THE PRESIDENT: The occasion covers it. The Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. ROBERTS: At this time, I am informed, Court copies of document 1178 are available, and I now ask that it be marked in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: We have not given our decision on this yet.

MR. ROBERTS: As I stated previously, it is a statement of Prince KONOYE concerning their intentions in China and is for the purpose of disclaiming any conspiracy or common plan on behalf of these defendants.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court disallows the objection and admits the document on the usual terms. But this may become repetitious very shortly.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1178 will receive exhibit No. 2535.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2535 and received in evidence.)

MR. ROBERTS: I shall read exhibit No. 2535.
"Statement by the Prime Minister, Prince
Fumiraro KONOYE. December 22, 1938.

3

6

5

8

9

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

"The Japanese Government are resolved, as has been clearly set forth in their two previous statements issued this year, to carry on the military operations for the complete extermination of the anti-Japanese

Kuomintang Government, and at the same time to proceed with the work of establishing a new order in East Asia together with those far-signted Chinese who share in our ideals and aspirations.

"The spirit of renaissance is now sweeping over all parts of China and enthusiasm for reconstruction is mounting ever higher. The Japanese Government desire to make public their basic policy for acjusting the relations between Japan and China, in order that their intentions may be thoroughly understood both at home and abroad.

"Japan, China and Manchoukuo will be united by the common aim of establishing the new order in East Asia and of realizing a relationship of neighbourly amity, common defence against Communism, and economic cooperation. For that purpose it is necessary first of all that China should cast aside all narrow and prejudiced views belonging to the past and do away with the folly of anti-Japanism, and resentment regarding Manchoukuo. In other words, Japan frankly desires China to enter on her own will into complete diplomatic relations with Manchoukuo.

"The existence of the Comintern influence in East Asia cannot be tolerated. Japan therefore considers it an essential condition of the adjustment

of the Sino-Japanese relations that there should be concluded an anti-Comintern agreement between the two countries in consonance with the spirit of the anti-Comintern agreement between Japan, Germany and Italy. And in order to ensure the full accomplishment of her purpose, Japan demands, in view of the actual circumstances prevailing in China, that Japanese troops be stationed, as anti-Communist measure, at specified points during the time the said agreement is in force, and also that the Inner Mongolian region be designated as a special anti-Communist area.

"As regards economic relations between the two countries, Japan does not intend to exercise economic monopoly in China, nor does she intend to demand of China to limit the interests of those third Powers, who grasp the meaning of the new East Asia and are willing to act accordingly. Japan only seeks to render effective the cooperation and collaboration between the two countries. That is to say, Japan demands that China in accordance with the principle of equality between the two countries, should recognize the freedom of residence and trade on the part of Japanese subjects in the interior of China, with a view to promoting the economic interests of both peoples and that, in the light of the historical and economic relations between

the two nations, China should extend to Japan facilities for the development of China's natural resources, especially in the regions of North China and Inner Mongolia.

Japan demands of China. If the true object of Japan in conducting the present vest military campaign be fully understood, it will be plain that what she seeks is neither territory nor indemnity for the costs of military operations. Japan demands only the minimum guarantee needed for the execution by China of her function as a participant in the establishment of the new order.

"Japan not only respects the sovereignty of China, but she is prepared to give positive consideration to the questions of the abolition of extraterritoriality and of the rendition of concessions and settlements-matters which are necessary for the full independence of China."

Spratt & Yelder

which upon the face thereof might seem to come within the ruling of the Court as previously stated.
However, it is a statement of the damage, showing
the damages which were caused by unavoidable accidents in carrying on military operations, and that
satisfactory adjustment was made in many instances,
and it should be accepted for what probative value
it may have.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May it please the Tribunal, we submit that it is in no better position than earlier press releases which have been rejected by the Tribunal, and that if it is desired by the defense to show there were disputes which arose and were settled, this is not the proper method of doing so.

information comes from the official Foreign Office and is a statement, as I have stated, of the damage incurred in certain accidental cases and the fact that said damages were amicably adjusted. It clearly shows that Japan did not disregard foreign interests and whenever damage was incurred it was recognized and compensation was made.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Tribunal upholds the objection and rejects the document.

ment No. 1050, an announcement of the Foreign
Office to the effect that France recognized the
actual situation in China.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: If it please the Tribunal, the prosecution does not appear to have this document.

has been put in part 5 of subdivision 4. You will find it in that order of proof, top of the list, part 5, subdivision 4.

it seems to be the same as the document which has just been rejected in that it purports to set out an announcement by the Government of the Republic of France. But, as my friend points out to me, your Honor, the earlier one which was rejected was an excerpt from a newspaper; this is an announcement from the Foreign Office.

THE PRESIDENT: Is it covered by the decision in the British and French cases?

RR. RCBERTS: It is somewhat similar, if

your Honor please.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal upholds the objection and rejects the document.

Call the witness HIRAMOTO, but I fear that the box with this affidavit is still in the Clerk's office, which is on top, also, of part 5, subdivision 4.

THE PRESIDENT: If you gave due notice it should be in the courtroom, Mr. Roberts.

AR. ROBERTS. I was assured this morning at 8:30 that it would be in court before court opened this morning.

whether the defense proposes to omit section 4, which is the next subsection. They have skipped from three to five, apparently.

section 4. We are simply taking the first two documents which were placed on the top of five in error.

THE PRESIDENT: The evidence you are about to tender relates to four, does it, although wrongly put under five in the order of proof?

AR. ROBERTS: If your Honor please, we are talking about section 5 in subdivision 4. We

have divided subdivision 4 into five sections; it all relates to the same subdivision, however.

THE PRESIDENT: The evidence you are about to offer relates to four and not to five? That is what I want to know.

IR. ROBERTS: That is correct.

In view of the fact that there is further proof of subdivision 4, part 4, I can call this vitness at the conclusion of that part, and it will still be in order.

waste time, but the mere talking about and explaining them waste time. That is what we object to. It is bad enough to waste time on evidence that is rejected. Surely we can avoid these disorderly proceedings. I do not know who is to blame on this matter, whether you or the court, court officials, Mr. Roberts.

The court officials assure me that all documents submitted to the Clerk's office are now in the courtroom.

MR. ROBERTS: I now present Mr. ITO, who will present further proof on this subdivision 4.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. ITO.

MR. ITO: We herewith present defense document No. 1077 for identification and will introduce the excerpt thereof, No. 1077-A, as evidence. This excerpt is a declaration of MATSUI, Iwane, Commander of the Shanghai Expeditionary Army. By this document we will prove the intention of the army in the Shanghai affair.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May it please the Tribunal, I am not sure, Sir, whether document No. 1077 has yet been marked for identification.

THE PRESIDENT: It should be marked.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1077 will be given exhibit No. 2536 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense document No. 2536 for identification only.)

defense has tendered in evidence, Sir, document 1077-A, being General MATSUI's statement. This statement is an excerpt from a biography of General MATSUI and in our submission is inadmissible and not the proper method of proof of an announcement of this kind.

MR. ITO: Yes, it is necessary to tender the facts in order to prove the matters contained in the

12-

matter I am now presenting to this Tribunal but upon inquiry of the First Demobilization Bureau we have found that such documents had been lost and therefore as the only alternative open to us we have chosen this method to present the proof.

THE PRESIDENT: Lost or destroyed, which?

NR. ITO: I do not know whether they were lost or they were burned or they were thrown away; however, I have received confirmation from the First Demobilization Board that it does not exist in their files at present.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Tribunal upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. ITO: We will next produce in evidence excerpt of defense document No. 1077 as "B" of the same number.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May it please the Tribunal, this excerpt 1077-B is open to exactly the same objection that was made in respect to 1077-A.

THE PRESIDENT: The accused MATSUI can give this evidence himself later. The objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. ITO: Next we will produce defense document No. 1075 in evidence. This document is the

in the name of the Commander, MATSUI, among the Chinese garrison troops in Manking on December 9, 1937. This testifies to the measures taken by the

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Molan.

Japanese forces to save Nanking from war disaster.

BRIGADIER MOLAN: May it please the Tribunal, this is an attempt to prove an advice to surrender through the medium of a newspaper dispatch. It is submitted that it should be proved by production of the statement.

MR. ITO: In an effort to find this original the defense inquired of the First Demobilization Bureau and received from the said Bureau that it at present does not have such a document.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal by a majority upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. ITO: We will next offer in evidence defense document 1198, a talk made by the Chief of the Information Section on December 1, 1937, to show the destructive actions committed by Chinese soldiers in the environs of Nanking and cultural protection measures taken by Commander MATSUI by an article of the "Japan Advertiser."

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Molan.

4 5

12-

BRIGADIER MOLAN: May it please the Tribunal, defense document 1108 purports to be dated the first of December 1937, but in the statement itself appear to be incidents which occurred on the 10th of December of that year. It is only another press release and subject to the objection that we have made to other documents of a like nature.

THE PRESIDENT: Documents of this kind are being repeatedly rejected. Time is wasted. I suggest you list them all, tender them in a bunch, have them objected to and rejected for record purposes.

The objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. ITO: Counsel Mattice will call a witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mattice.

MR. MATTICE: May it please the Tribunal, we desire to call next the witness NAKAYAMA.

3

5

YASUTO NAKAYANA, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, 2 testified through Japanese interpreters as follows: 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MATTICE: 6 You may give your name to the Tribunal, please. Q 7 MAKAYAMA, Yasuto. A 8 Where is your place of residence? 9 I reside at No. 537 Sekido, Tama-mura, 10 Minami-tama-gun, Tokyo. 11 MR. MATTICE: May the witness be shown 12 defense document 1345, please. 13 Q will you examine the instrument which for 14 purposes of identification is marked defense exhibit 15 No. 1345 and tell this Tribunal whether that is your 16 affidavit? 17 A This is my affidavit. 18 Are the statements contained in that affidavit 19 true? 20 A Yes, the truth. 21 Q Is it correct in all respects? 22 A There is one correction I should like to make. 23 Q In what paragraph of the affidavit is the 24 correction? 25

The sixteenth paragraph; paragraph 16.

Q And what is the correction you desire made there?

A The first sentence in paragraph 16 states:
"General MATSUI received, on December 14, the information concerning the capture of Nanking." It should read "December 13."

There are no other corrections to make.

MR. MATTICE: The defense now offers

defense document 1345 in evidence, if your Honor please.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Sutton.

the prosecution objects to and moves to strike those portions of the affidavit which refer to documents and purport to give their substance on the ground that the document itself in each instance should be produced or the failure to produce it accounted for before the witness may testify as to its contents. This objection applies specifically to the following portions of the affidavit: First, the whole of Section 11, pages 4, 5 and 6 of the affidavit, except the first four sentences of that section. This section refers to two written memoranda, one entitled "The Way of Capturing the Walled City of Nanking," mentioned—

THE PRESIDENT: Deal with these specific points after lunch.

We will adjourn until half-past one. (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.) 

•

Greenberg & Morse

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Sutton.

MR. SUTTON: I will continue my statement of the objections. Mentioned near the bottom of page 4 of the affidavit, and its contents are summarized on page 5 of the affidavit; the other being instructions entitled "All the Orders and "ords of Advice Concerning the Capture of and Entry into the Walled City of Nanking," --

THE PRESIDENT: You have to take some shorter way of describing your objections. Page so and so, line so and so; page such and such, line such and such from the word whatever it is to the word whatever it is. That is the only way. Otherwise we will be here all day.

MR. SUTTON: -- mentioned in paragraph No. 4 on page 5, the gist of which are given in the last paragraph of page 5, and all of page 6 except the last paragraph.

THE PRESIDENT: Take your objections in general form, I suggest, and let us work them out.

MR. SUTTON: To state briefly, the first objection is to the whole of Section 11, pages 4, 5 and 6 of the affidavit, except the first four sentences.

The second objection is to all of Section 12, purporting to give the answers received from consuls of various countries in Shanghai, and the maps prepared by the witness. Third, the first paragraph of Section 13 relative to the disposition of orders and instructions set out in Section 11, and the maps prepared by the witness witness as set out in Section 12. The same objection, namely, that it is an attempt to introduce a document without first accounting for its absence, applies to the notes of advice for surrender described in this paragraph, Section 11.

THE PRESIDENT: The first paragraph of Section 15 is a statement of fact. What is your attitude on that?

IR. SUTTON: That if the documents themselves are not admitted, then his statement as to the disposition of the documents would not be proper testimony. We particularly objected to the notes of advice for surrender mentioned in that Section 13.

THE PRESIDENT: I suggest you mean 13, not

MR. SUTTON: 13. I beg your pardon.

THE PRESIDENT: This is the one court in the world where you cannot afford to make even what appears to be a trifling error. Too much time is

3

4 5

6

8

10 11

12

14

13

15 16

17 18

20

19

22 23 24 wested in correcting it.

MR. SUTTON: Fourth, the next to the last paragraph on page 10 and the third sentence of the last paragraph on page 10 as to the instructions transmitted by the witness from General MATSUI. Fifth, and lastly, the first two sentences of Section 20 as to the orders given by General MATSUI to protect foreign rights and interests.

THE PRESIDENT: All the objections are based upon the rule dealing with the proof of documents or their contents.

MR. SUTTON: That is right, sir. The prosecution moves to strike these several portions from the affidavit as violative of that rule.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mattice.

MR. MATTICE: If the Tribunal please, this instrument was not proffered at the time I offered this affidavit in evidence for the reason that we did not have it then. During the noon hour the instrument has been delivered, copies of it have been served, and I now desire to offer in evidence in connection with the exhibit about which we are talking defense document 1356-A, which is an official document and is the certificate of the Chief of the Document Section of the First Demobilization Office of the Japanese

Government certifying concerning the existence of the instruments mentioned in the objection.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Sutton.

R. SUTTON: May it please the Tribunal, I haven't had an opportunity to see the document. My friend says it was served today. That, of course, does not bring it within the rule.

THE PRESIDENT: This document is not sufficient to meet the requirements of the rule.

Demobilization is the place where documents concerning the campaign in China would be found. Whether that is true or not, I personally do not know. This certificate recites that they are not in the custody of that office. If so, they are not in the place where they ought to be found.

THE PRESIDENT: A Member of the Court puts it this way: All this occurred in China where armies were still operating at the end of the Pacific War. The destruction of documents in Tokyo does not explain the basence of these documents.

MR. SUTTON: "e object to the introduction of the document.

MR. MATTICF: It would naturally follow that the records of the army, at least such of them as were

reserved, would, when the forces returned and were being processed through the demobilization center, be lodged in that office.

THE PRESIDENT: "e are asked to guess too much,
Mr. Hattice. Somebody who knows should make an affidavit
covering all this, explaining where all these China
documents are or should be and what has happened to
them.

MR. MATTICE: I think, if the Tribunal please, that in that situation we desire to ask that this witness stand down and we will call another witness and recall this witness later.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes. Well, this witness will be stood down until you make that search. For the time being he is released on the usual terms.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. MATTICE: The defense desires now to call the witness HIDAKA.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

SHINROKURO HIDAKA, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows: DIRECT LXA HINATION BY MR. MATTICE: You may state your name and address, please. My name, HIDAKA, Shinrokuro. My address, 1630, 3-chome, Metsuzawe-machi, Setagaye-ku, Tokyo. THE MONITOR: 1030 instead of 1630, according to the witness. Q I will ask you to look at the document which is defense document 1165, which will be handed you, and tell this Tribunal whether that is your affidavit. (Whereupon, the document was handed to the witness.) This is my affidavit. Are the statements contained in that affidavit Q true?

Yes. A

Q And correct?

Yes.

HR. MATTICE: I offer this document in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLIRK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1165 will receive exhibit No. 2537. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2537 and received in evidence.) 

.

MI. MATTICE: Omitting the formal parts, I will proceed to read this exhibit.

"I served the Japanese Embassy in Nanking as councillor from April 30 to August 16, 1937, and later I stayed in Shanghai from 29 August, 1937 to 3 March, 1938, holding the same position. On 17 March, 1938, I was appointed consul-general and was in charge of the Consulate-General at Shanghai from that day until 12 December 1938, when I was ordered to return home.

"I went to Nanking four times during my stay in Shanghai: the first time, 17 and 18 December 1937, to attend the ceremonies of the triumphal entry to the city and of acknowledgment to the service; the second time, 25 and 26 December, 1938; the third time, from 1 to 8 February, 1938; the last time, 27 and 28 March, 1938, to attend the ceremony marking the establishment of the Restoration Government.

"2. I have been acquainted with General MATSUI for a pretty lone time. In particular, when he attended at the Armament Reduction Conference held in Geneva in 1932, as plenipotentiary, I lived with him as an attendant of the Japanese Delegation.

"When General MATSUI arrived at Shanghai as Commander-in-Chief, Shanghai Expeditionary Army, I

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

saw him for the first time on 10 September 1937 at Woosung. I met him often during his stay there before he returned home in February 1938.

"3. (a) General MATSUI had long been a believer in Sino-Japanese cooperation. He had an understanding of Chinese culture and a deep affection for China and her people. These facts were often expressed by him when I talked with him."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mattice, before you go further, refer to page 1, the words "25 and 26 December 1938" in the second paragraph.

MR. MATTICE: Obviously, that year should read "1937." It seems that that correction has been made. I have not seen the errata sheet.

(Reading continued):

"On September 10, when I talked with him at Woosung, stated the following intentions:

- "(1) To treat POWs justly
- "(2) To keep a fair attitude toward the inhabitants in general.

"As regards this matter, he intended to promulgate it in the name of the Army Commander-in-Chief.

"(3) To pay reasonable prices for food and other commodities requisitioned.

inhabitants had fled and no longer there, and stated that the matter should be promulgated to the general public to put them at their ease.

"Furthermore, I remember that when he

with regard to the method of payment in cases where

"He told of his various considerations

talked with me or with the personnel of the Embassy or the Navy, he frequently expressed his concern for the Chinese people.

"(c) He always paid special attention to foreign relations and often asked for the opinion of Consul-General OKAZAKI. Also, he took care to keep in touch with foreign ress men. He met several times especially with Hallett Abend, a special correspondent of the New York Times, and David Fraser of the London Times.

"(d) I know that General MATSUI took the following steps, with regard to the attack on Nanking.

"(1) He made many copies of the maps of
Nanking City on which foreign embassies and legations,
as well as other property involving foreign rights and
interests were distinctly marked. These maps were distributed to troops. The Japanese Embassy assisted in
making the maps, and I had a chance to see one thus
made up.

"(2) The Chungshan and Minshao Mausoleums on the Map were surrounded by a red circle and it was indicated that they were to be excluded, absolutely, from destruction. This was made according to General MATSUI's desires, so one of his staff officers of the Army Command told me.

"(3) Near these places it was prohibited to fire any gun. I heard this fact afterwards from Noda Kongo himself, regimental commander who advanced on the side of this area.

"(4) General MATSUI had told us in Shanghai before the attack on Nanking was begun that he intended to stop the forces outside of the city wall and induce the Chinese commander to surrender, and that he would dispatch only highly disciplined crack troops into the city.

carry out the Nanking Attack, I met him again in Shanghai, January 1 next year, when he was sincerely grieved to find for the first time that some of his subordinates had done wrong. I was deeply impressed then to find that he had not been aware of such facts until that time. I was told directly by both himself and his staff members that he gave strict warning and directives in the name of Commander-in-Chief accusing

2 3

6

8

10

11

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

- 24

23

24

25

those who had acted wrong.

"4. As regards dispositions made by the staff and other responsible members of the Army HG, the chief matters I happen to know are as follows:

- "(a) The fair treatment of POWs was under their consideration from various angles, and they were holding consultations concerning the establishment of suitable camps.
- "(b) At the time when Nanking City was entered, the attitude of the Japanese gendarmerie was practically impartial. They were well spoken of by both foreigners and the Chinese.

"At first they were few in number: only fourteen including the commander. I heard on 17 December that forty other provisional military police were to be obtained in several days.

- "(c) I neither heard nor saw of the Army
  Staff's having directed rough treatment of foreigners
  or misuse of foreign rights and interests or of Chinese property.
- "(d) It was the General's idea to set up notices of no admittance on foreign Embassies and legations and other property involving foreign rights and interests in Shanghai and Nanking. We diplomatic officials assisted in the work.

"(c) In particular the staff officers of the Army in charge seriously did their best in investigating and settling cases involving foreign relations. For example, a staff officer accompanied by a diplomatic official went to Wuhu where the American flag incident had occurred, in order to investigate the incident on the spot. When they found that the troops concerned had already set off, they pursued the troops, who were by that time engaged in battle, overtook them at Hangchow, and spont two weeks completing the investigation.

"5. (1) Up to this time, when there was any civil war or riot in China, or any incident or battle between China and any other country, Japanese diplomatic authorities had strived on the spot to protect not only Japanese but also foreigners and their rights and interests. We had also taken care to protect Chinese and to handle their property fairly.

"During this incident also we worked under this policy from the beginning as a matter of course, even without special instructions from the government to that effect.

"The Commander-in-Chief, General MATSUI, approved our proposals and opinions.

"(2) On the occasion of the Nanking Attack,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

more than ten men who had formerly been attached to the Nanking General Consulate were sent at the time 3 of the Japanese Army's entry to cooperate with the Japanese army in working for the protection of foreign residents and foreign rights, and interests. They were also instructed to strive to treat the Chinese people fairly in order to preserve public order. They all always did their best along these lines. Examples are as follows:

- "(a) At first communications from Nanking were extremely difficult. Therefore, soon after the entry to Nanking, they used a news correspondent's radio to send reports about the welfare of foreigners to Shanghai.
- "(b) They promptly investigated foreign rights and interests and other points requiring protection and, cooperating with the Army, had "no admittance" signboards set up.
- "(c) They employed Consulate police men for the protection and convenience of foreign residents.
- "(d) They directed the Consulate-General Staff to treat the Chinese people fairly and in particular to protect civilians. These carried out their duties with success, since they had all served formerly in Nanking and had been well æquainted with

the conditions in the city in ordinary times. (They sometimes posted lookouts at the entrances of such refugee quarters as Kinling University.

the conditions in the city in ordinary times. (They sometimes posted lookouts at the entrances of such refugee quarters as Kinling University.

Barton & Kapleau

done by Japanese soldiers were submitted to the Consulate-General by foreign residents. Most of those reports were based on hearsay, however, and since the Consulate-General had not time enough to investigate each of them the reports were sent to the Foreign Ministry, Tokyo, (I read through some of the copies in Shanghai) and to the Arry in Nanking. It seemed the Foreign Ministry in Tokyo gave notice of these reports to the War Ministry.

that period and each time I went I heard reports from the Nanking Consulate-General. I saw existing conditions and talked with foreign residents. I submitted a written report of those items to the Foreign Ministry, and made the same report orally to Foreign Minister HIROTA and other Foreign Ministry Staff members when I returned home for instructions at the end of January 1938. Then I heard that whenever reports were submitted from the officials on the spot the authorities in Tokyo called the attention of the Arry to them. It was due to this fact, as I said before, that the Army Central Headquarters sometimes gave directives about this to Army officials on the scene. Further-

more, I knew that in early February Major General HOUTEA, then chief of the General Staff Office Division, went to Nanking. He told me that, though the purpose of his trip was chiefly concerned with problems of foreign relations, there were other ratters concerning the Chinese people.

I sent Secretary OKUMURA to Nanking and Wuhu by
Navy plane. He returned from Wuhu bringing with
him an American correspondent who had been in a
hospital suffering from a wound, and the wounded
vice commander of the warship Ladybird. I also
took steps to permit several foreign correspondents
to go down the river to Shanghai at will.

Nanking was confusion itself but calmwas gradually restored. Especially after the Self-Governing Committee was establish by Chinese citizens 1 January 1938 and began to govern the city, working as mediater between the Japanese Army and the general public, mutual misunderstanding and doubt lessoned. After the end of March when the Restoration Government was established to govern the downstream area of the Yangtze River, the life of the general public brightened considerably.

7 8 9

"Before and after the Nanking fall there were affairs such as the following:

"(1) In the battle around Shanghai the resistance of the Chinese Army was far more severe than the Japanese Army had expected, since anti-Japanese feelings were very strong there.

"The Japanese troops despatched there for the protection of Japanese residents and Japanese rights and interests were far fewer than the Chinese force in number. Hence, the battle was very hard and the Japanese casualties were great. This naturally enhanced the fighting spirit of the Japanese soldiers. (Originally the antipathy of Japanese soldiers toward the Chinese people was not so strong.)

- "(2) Accordingly, contrary to our expectations very few of the Chinese soldiers were taken prisoner at first, (most of them either died in the field of retreated).
- Army the Chinese Army carried out from the beginning the so-called 'scorched-earth policy', setting
  fire to houses and munitions dumps and compelling
  the inhabitants to evacuate. Hence at the beginning
  of the battle the Japanese had few chances to con-

the same of the same

tect inhabitants on peaceful terms, and it was natural that both the Japanese troops and the Chinese inhabitants had become more and more uneasy and suspicious of each other.

"(4) It was due to the propaganda of the Chinese Army and authorities that anti-Japanese feelings were generally strong. Even the few old men, women and children who had remained in the occupied area acted as spies, sabotaged, or attacked Japanese soldiers in the dark. By those acts the activities of the Japanese Army were greatly hampered. Japanese soldiers at first tried to treat civilians with kindness, taking them as quite apart from military men. As a matter of fact, however, confronted with such an attitude on the part of the inhabitants there arose among the Japanese soldiers a feeling of hostility and an attitude of suspicious watchfulness.

sistance around Shanghai and the battle front came to a standstill there. It was thought that if the Chinese were defeated there they would make a stand on the route between Shanghai and Soochow and resume their opposition. Under these circumstances it was necessary to destroy all the Chinese troops in

order to set up security around Shanghai. For this purpose Japan reinforced her Army in early November with a new force which landed at Hangchow Bay. Meanwhile the Chinese Army was defeated and the Japanese Army, giving them no time to recover, started to chase them and entered Nanking at once. Hence, it was impossible for the Japanese Army first to lay seige on Nanking and then to attack and capture, as it had been forctold to us by General MATSUI. And as a consequence, I believe, confusion arose.

"It was due to the said circumstances that along the road leading to Nanking, not only had munitions, houses and fuel been taken away or burned, but also Japanese supplies were late in coming. It was very cold in December. Troops of the Japanese Army individually took up things scattered about on the field to use, or to eat, or to burn as fuel.

"Even in Nanking military barracks and other buildings that remained were without beds and other facilities and it was extremely difficult to quarter the Japanese Army there.

"At the time of the fell Nanking was entirely without government. Directly after the fall conditions I observed in the city were as follows:

2

1

5

4

7

9

10

11

13

14

16 17

18

19

20

22

21

21 25

"(a) When the Chinese Commander of the Nanking Guard retreated before the collapse all official members of military and eivil organs absconded. There was no city government, no police, no responsible man remaining. All registers of citizens, land and buildings necessary for routine administration had been taken away. The police force had disbanded. No policeran was to be seen. Only two or three policemen employed in each foreign Embassy or Legation were found to be in the premises. There were numerous cases in other cities than Nanking, in which some of the civil officials or permanent personages of the place remained after the retreat of the Chinese Army, working as mediators to make relations, smooth between the incoming Japanese Army and the commong public in the place.

"(b) Foreign Ambassadors, Ministers,
Consuls, and other officials of foreign nationality, all went away from the city before its fall
and there was no person authorized to negotiate
formally with the Japanese Army for the protection
of the rights and interests of foreign residents

and families.

"All the foreign correspondents were desirous of getting out of Nanking to send telegrams to their main offices. Within a few days after the fall of Nanking they all went to Shanghai, taking advantage of facilities offered by the Japanese Army in Nanking. None were to be found when I went there on December 17.

"(c) The population of Nanking was said to be one million, but it had been reduced to two hundred thousand at the time of the fall, most of whom, belonging to the lower class, had moved to the so-called 'Safety Zone'. The Chinese houses outside of this zone were practically all vacant, The organizing of refugees was in the hands of some 20 private individuals of foreign nationality who formed a committee.

prove the above mentioned zone. The reasons were

(1) that the location was thought difficult to
safekeep, from a tactical point of view, in case of
a bettle in the city, (2) that high ranking Chinese
officers and their staff lived there, (3) that the
committee did not have enough power to keep defeated Chinese soldiers and other undesirable

3 4

persons out the zone and to maintain its 'neutrality'.

(The 'Safety Zone' in Shanghai was considered to
differ on these points and was approved by the
Japanese Army.)

"The Japanese Army persisted in holding to the doctrine that a place without any hostile troops or military establishment should not be attacked, and, actually, there was neither battle nor casuality in the area when Nanking was captured.

"(d) When the Chinese Army retreated at the time of the fall of the city many military men audaciously took clothing from civilians (some civilians were murdered), and, putting it on instead of military uniform, entered the 'Safety Zone' disguised as civilians. This fact was told to me by Mac Daviels, AP reporter in Nanking and Hallott Abend of the New York Times, then in Shanghai. It was a matter of course that the Japanese Army for this reason held suspicions and doubts.

"8. The safety zone in Shanghai (socalled Jaquinot Zone): When the battle around Shanghai developed and the Chinese Army was expected to retreat, an international committee con-

2

3

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

sisting of Britons, Americans, Frenchmen, etc, was set up with Father Jaquinot as its leader. The committee proposed to make a 'Safety Zone' in a section of Nantao (Chinatown in the southern part of Shanghai) under the approval of both Chinese and Japanese. The purpose was to receive Chinese if the battle reached that area. At first Father Jaquinot, accompanied by Timparley, a Manchester Guardian correspondent, came to me and told me of this. I took steps, co-operating with Shanghai Consul-General OKAMOTO and Consul General OKQZAKI, to put this plan into practice. Army Commanderin-Chief MATSUI and Navy Commander-in-Chief HASEGAWA showed a favorable attitude toward it from the beginning, and the plan was approved by the two commanders and the Chinese as well. At that time General MATSUI contributed ten thousand yen to the committee to assist in financing the plan. (Naval Commander HASEGAWA contributed money, etc.)

"Furthermore, Foreign Minister HIROTA sent a letter dated December 8 to Father Jaquinot as a message of praise and respect of the Japanese people felt toward such a humanistic work, with best wishes for his success. The reasons for which

the plan was approved by the Japanese authorities was as follows:

- "(1) The Area was purely a Chinese town and it was clear that Father Jaquinot and the other committee members were all impartial and disinterested.
- "(2) The committee would take in and protect non-combatant Chinese when there was a battle, and relief and protection would continue for a little while after the battle was over, but the committee would agree not to interfere in the government and supervision of the area, which was to be completely in the hands of the Japanese Army.
- "(3) As the authorities of French concession adjacent to the area willingly co-operated the committee was thought to have enough actual power to raintain 'neutrality'.
- "(4) Judging from the location of the area it was believed possible to maintain 'safety' in the area despite there being a battle near here.

"In the final stages of the engagement in Shanghai fighting spread to the boundary of the area. However, no shells were dropped in the area. The Chinese soldiers who retreated into the area were all disarmed by the committee. The Japanese

Arry did not enter the area. Everything passed off quietly. Thus suveral thousand houses and 250 thousand Chinese were rescued. The fects are written in detail in a pamphlet by the committee." You may cross-examine.

MR. ITO: I should like to be permitted to ask one question, a supplementary question. THE PRESIDENT: For whom do you appear? 3 MR. ITO: I am representing the accused MATSUI. DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued) 6 7 BY MR. ITO: Concretely, what are the facts relating to the incident involving the insult to the American 10 flag at "uhu? THE PRESIDENT: I don't think we need hear 11 12 more about that. MR. SAEKI: I am counsel SAEKI, appearing 13 14 for the accused MUTO. I would like to ask one ques-15 tion of the witness. 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued) 17 BY MR. SAEKI: 18 O Did you meet MUTO, the then Vice: Chief of 19 Staff at Nanking? 20 A I met Vice Chief of Staff MUTO on several 21 occasions in Shanghai. 22 O Do you remember anything with respect to

23 24 Do you remember anything with respect to the attitude which MUTO, Vice Chief of Staff MUTO, took in regard to the protection of foreign rights and interests?

2

A .I have had frequent talks with MUTO on that subject and I know that he was extremely careful in his consideration of that matter, giving me quite a bit of convenience in the prosecution of my own duties in that regard.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunal, apart from certain admissions valuable to the prosecution, the affidavit contains statements so completely at variance with the mass of our oral testimony and documentary evidence on the rape of Nanking that we consider it would be a waste of time to cross-examine on it or to refer the Tribunal to the whole of that evidence.

We would, however, make particular reference to Exhibits 306 and 323, being daily reports by Doctor Lewis C. Smythe, Secretary of the International Committee in Nanking to Japanese embassy officials there; the evidence of Doctor Wilson, record page 2,533; Mr. Fitch at page 4462; Doctor Bates at page 2,644; the testimony of John G. Magee, pages 3,904 to 3,922.

I also make reference to a collection of diplomatic correspondence on the subject, exhibit

328. With regard to the protection of foreign embassies, I refer to record 2,577.

THE PRESIDENT: Did you tender any interrogation of MATSUI or MUTO on this?

MR. TAVENNER: We tendered in evidence a part of the interrogation of MATSUI, exhibit 257, page 3,453 of the transcript, and a part of the interrogation of MUTO, which is exhibit 255, page 3,433 of the transcript.

THE PRESIDENT: I have a question on behalf of a member of the Tribunal.

On January 1, 1938, the witness was deeply impressed to find that MATSUI had not been aware of such facts, that is, misbehavior of the troops in Nanking, until that time. At what time did the witness hear about it and what did he hear?

THE WITNESS: On January 1 I went to

General MATSUI's place to express fecilitations on
the new year, and on that occasion, in accordance
with Japanese custom, proposed a toast to each
other. At that time we were carrying on an informal conversation. There were no questions put by
me to him, but in the course of the conversation
General MATSUI said there were some among his subordinates who did something very wrong and that

it was extremely regrettable and unfortunate. 1 THE PRESIDENT: Did he specify what wrong 2 3 was done? THE WITNESS: No, he did not. MR. ITO: No redirect examination. May 5 the witness be excused on the usual terms? THE PRESIDENT: He is excused on the usual 7 8 terms. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 9 10 MR. ITO: Next the defense will read an excerpt from exhibit 323, prosecution document 1744. 11 12 The purpose of reading this excerpt is to 13 prove that the Japanese army did not attack the 14 safety zone as alleged by the prosecution. 15 THE MONITOR: Mr. Counsel, we have not 16 received defense document 1744, nor do we have a 17 running commentary prepared for us. 18 THE PRESIDENT: That prosecution document 19 being exhibit 323, I believe. 20 MR. ITO: It is an excerpt from exhibit 21 323, prosecution document 1744. 22 This document evidently proves that in 23

THE MONITOR: We have the running commen-

assaulting Nanking the Japanese army did not attack

the safety zone there.

24

tary, but we don't have the document. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. ITO, what are you 2 3 going to do? MR. ITO: Shall I read in Japanese and have it translated into English? 5 THE PRESIDENT: Not unless it is a sentence or two only. We won't listen to any long excerpt. 7 8 MR. ITO: They won't be very long. THE PRESIDENT: We want simultaneous trans-9 lation if the document is of any length. How many 10 11 sentences are there? THE MONITOR: We found the document, sir. 12 MR. ITO: May I commence, sir? 13 THE PRESIDENT: Start reading, Mr. ITO. 14 MR. ITO (reading): "LETTER TO JAPANESE 15 16 COMMANDER OF NANKING. 17 "December 14, 1937. 18 "Honorable Sir: "We come to thank you for the fine way your 19 artillery spared the Safety Zone and to establish 20 contact with you for future plans for care of Chinese 21 22 civilians in the Zone." Skipping from the second to the last para-23 24 graph on the same page: 25 "Vesterday afternoon an unforeseen situa-

were trapped in the northern part of the city.

Some of them came to our office and pleaded in the name of humanity that we save their lives.

Representatives of our Committee tried to find your Headquarters but got no farther than a captain on Han Chung Lu. So we disarmed all these soldiers and put them into buildings in the Zone. We beg your merciful permission to allow these men to return to peaceful civilian life as is now their desire."

Skipping to page 4, the last paragraph:

we can in caring for the civilian population of this city.

"INTERNATIONAL CONSITTEE FOR NANKING SAFETY ZONE.

"l'ost respectfully yours,

"JOHN H. D. RABE

"Chairman."

Then we shall read an excerpt from exhibit 309, prosecution No. 2466. Commencing the reading from page 3 of document 2466:

who have treated us with courtesy and respect.
Others have been very fierce and threatened us,

7 8

striking or slapping some. Mr. Riggs had suffered most at their hands. Occasionally have I seen a Japanese helping some Chinese, or picking up a Chinese baby to play with it."

Skipping to the middle of page 4, approximately in the middle of the page:

"Today I saw crowds of people flocking across Chung Shan Road out of the Tone. They came back later carrying rice which was being distributed by the Japanese from the "xecutive Yuan Examination Yuan."

That is December 31, 1937.

Then to the last paragraph on page 6:

"January 3, 1938

"Succeeded in getting half of the hospital staff registered today. I must report a good deed done by some Japanese. Recently several very nice Japanese have visited the hospital. "e told them of our lack of food supplies for the patients. Today they brought in 100 shing of beans along with some beef. We have had no meat at the hospital for a month and these gifts were mighty welcome. They asked what else we would like to have."

That ends the reading of the document.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fif-teen minutes. (Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:) 

.

Duda & Whalen

MAKSHAL OF THE COULT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. ITO.

MR. ITO: Next we shall read an excerpt from exhibit No. 328, prosecution document No. 1906. This document, which is an official report from the Consul-General at Shanghai to the Secretary of State of the United States of America, offers proof of incendiarism and pillage committed by the Chinese Army in Nanking, the flight of the remnants into the safety zone there, and the fact that Commander MATSUI issued an order that military discipline and public morals be strictly maintained.

I commence reading on page 10 of the exhibit under the paragraph entitled "Brief Account of the Happenings to Nanking Since December 10th," the middle of the page, second paragraph under that title.

"Therefore, when the Japanese armies marched into the city they found it practically intact, four-fifths of its population gone, with a large part of the remaining inhabitants seeking refuge in the so-called 'safety zone,' which the International Committee for Nanking was attempting to establish, and instead of huge numbers of trapped Chinese troops only a comparatively small number. The number of Chinese

soldiers that did remain is not known, but there must have been some thousands of them who discarded their military uniforms to put on civilian clothing and mingle with the civilians or hide wherever they could in the city."

Omitting the next paragraph:

"Mention should be made here, however, that the Chinese themselves are not altogether exonerated of depredations, at least to some extent, before the entry of the Japanese. During the last few days some violations of people and property were undoubtedly committed by them. Chinese soldiers in their mad rush to discard their military uniforms and put on civilian clothes, in a number of incidents, killed civilians to obtain their clothing. Retreating soldiers and also civilians were known to have carried on sporadic looting during that period of disorder. The complete breakdown of the city government with the stopping of the functioning of all the public utilities and services and the utter confusion and disorder that the city was thrown into by the withdrawal of Chinese government and most of the Chinese population left the city open to any act of lawlessness. It should be brought out that there was even a feeling of welcome by the remaining population for the hoped-for restoration of order and centrol under

4

6

7

21 22 23

19

20

the Japanese."

We now skip to page 18, the last paragraph.

"During the first week of December the International Committee set up the 'safety z ne' - a map
of which is enclosed as enclosure No. 7 - to which the
remaining civilian population could come for refuge.
Telegrams were sent to the high Japanese Military Command in Shenghai requesting that the existence of the
zone be brought to the attention of the attacking

Japanese armies and that it be spared from attack as a
place of refuge. The Committee was informed, in reply,
that although the Japanese would not recognize the
Zone it would not be intentionally attacked if it
did not contain soldiers or military establishments."

THE INTEMPRETER: This ends the reading of the excerpts. Japanese counsel is still continuing.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MA. TAVENNER: If your Honor please, I think
I should call to the Tribunal's attention that the
paragraph at the bottom of page 10 beginning with the
words "However, no sooner had the Japanese armies gotten
into Nanking. . ." was not read, and the same is true
as to succeeding paragraphs.

THE PRESIDENT: It is for Mr. ITO to say how much he will read.

MR. ITO: I continue the reading, sir. The Japanese reading has not been completed.

(Whereupon, Mr. ITO continued to read in Japanese.)

MR. ITO: That is all, sir.

MR. TAVENNER: Your Henor, quite apparently a decument has been read that was not translated.

THE INTERPRETER: Mr. Tavenner, the English reading finished far ahead of the Japanese.

THE PRESIDENT: That is what I thought.

Mr. Mattice.

MR. MATTICE: If the Tribunal please, we next intended to call on behalf of the defense the witness SUKAMOTO. This witness has been ill. We have a certificate of his attending physicial which is dated April 16th. However, he is recovering and will be able to be here tomorrow, but he is not here today. So, with the exception of that witness and the matter of the witness who stood down, this particular subsection of this phase is concluded.

I think Mr. Roberts will proceed with the next.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: We call the witness HIRAMOTO,

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MICHITAKA HIRAMOTO, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly swern, testified through Japanese interpreters as fellows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MA. HOBERTS: Please state your name and address. No. 1307 Ohara-machi, Meguro-ku, Tokyo. My name, HIRAMOTO, Michitaka. MR. HOBERTS: May the witness be shown defense document No. 1344. (Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.) Q Please examine this document and tell us whether or net it is your affidavit. This is my affidavit. document No. 1344. terms. will receive exhibit No. 2538.

MR. LOBERTS: I offer in evidence defense THE PRESIDENT: It is admitted on the usual CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1344 (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2538 and received in evidence.)

Mh. ROBERTS: I read exhibit No. 2538:

"1. I was formerly a Captain of the Japanese Navy. On November 14, 1940, I became Commander.

"2. I was sent twice to China: Once, it was from the 1st of May 1940 to the 5th of September of the same year. Once, it was from the 20th of July 1941 to the 1st of September of the same year.

"3. When I was sent there for the first time; I acted as the Air Chief Staff to the Officer in Command of the Combined Air haid Detachment who held concurrently the post of the Commander of the First Combined Air Forces. This Officer in Command was placed under the operation directions of the Commander in Chief of the Fleet despatched to the Chinese waters. When I was sent there for the second time, I acted as the Air Chief Staff to the Commander in Chief of the Eleventh Air Squadron in which was placed the operation directions of the Commander in Chief of the Fleet despatched to the Chinese waters.

"4. From the first of May 1940 to the 5th of September of the same year, I assaulted the areas of the Ssu Chuan Province, concentrating my attack on Chung Ching. This was carried out in order to frustrate the will of the Chinese forces to keep on fighting, to shatter the Chinese central military organizations and

to put an ond to the China Incident swiftly.

"The operations during this period were called No. 101 operations which were carried out jointly by the Army and Navy.

"5. Chung Ching is a rugged small town of four or five square miles being situated at a junction point of rivers. This town which forms a basin, is almost ever covered by clouds, and the vested rights and interests of third powers are scattered thereabouts. It was a place which was the least fitted for bembing.

11 12

2

3

5

6

9

10

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

"Hence, the commander troubled himself a great deal about the selection of the targets of attack.

It was on the occasion of the first Shanghai battle that the Naval Air Forces took part for the first time in the actual fighting since their organization. As we were engaged from the outset in difficult fighting at the small and narrow places where the vested rights and interests of third powers were inextricably involved, great care was taken in the selection of the targets of attack and from the commander down to the rank and file, all were ordered to follow, very strictly, instructions given in this regard.

- "6. Upon assaulting Chung Ching, we had taken all necessary measures to bomb exclusively the military targets to the exclusion of other things.
- "(1) Every plane was instructed to carry the map of Chung Ching's streets investigated and edited by the Naval General Staff. Thus, we expected to ascertain the targets therein indicated.
- "(2) The Headquarters of the Air Raid Corps endeavored to obtain by all means the latest news on Chung Ching.

"Not to speak of the utilization of spies, we approached particularly those Chinese leaders who escaped from Chung Ching and joined the Nanking

Government, for the purpose of gathering the latest news on Chung Ching and specifically those bearing on its central military organizations. We inscribed this information on the maps concerned with a view to determining exactly the targets of our attack.

"(3) For the sake of absolute prudence we availed ourselves of every opportunity to explain to the crews the purport of instructions from the Central Government, referring to 'the standard to be observed in waging air war' and 'miscellaneous matters regarding the bombing regulations.' Simultaneously, we have striven thereby to heighten the fair and just spirit, which has been traditional in our Navy.

"7. The central military organizations in Chung Ching were mainly located in the west of the town. But the Gendarmerie Headquarters and some others were found scattered within the wall. The base for the anti-aircraft battery seemed to be sufficiently well equipped and the whole town presented the spectacle of a fortress. Furthermore, we received information that Chiang Kai-shek's fighting headquarters established at several places inside and outside the town (they were estimated at seven) were shifting according to the requirements of the times. The information made us presume that the shifting fighting headquarters in

2

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

question were located in the following districts: "(1) The western district of the city, "(2) The western suburbs, "(3) The western district within the city wall, "(4) The western opposite river side, The suburbs located at the southern "(5) river side, The western suburbs located at the "(6) southern river side, etc. "8. While taking into consideration the aforementioned circumstances, we carried out our air assaults from the altitude of 2000 - 3000 meters against the military equipment within the city, with particular attention being paid to its western district. "On these occasions, we tried to aim exactly at the targets in reliance upon our good judgment. "9. Next, I participated in the operations against the interior of the Ssu Chuan Province from the 20th of July 1941 to the 1st of September of the same year. At that time the operations were concentrated on attacking Chung Ching and this was the

second onslaught on the city. This campaign was

carried out in order to make up for the poor results

of the action of the previous year. Regarding the objective of attack, the plan of attack, as well as its execution, we have followed the main lines of the principle determined in the previous year.

"On this occasion, we took recourse beforehand, by diplomatic means to request the transferring of the people belonging to the third powers to the southern river side so that their vested rights and interests as well as their non-combatants might not be jeopardized.

"In particular, in regard to the war vessels and ships belonging to the third powers, we have been constantly on the alert, lest stray bullets should cause such a disaster as the sinking of the Panay.

"10. It happened, however, that in the course of our attack on the military equipment east of Chung Ching City, an air detachment which had invaded from the northwestern direction was unable to drop bombs in time, presumably on account of the imperfection of their throwing appliances. A bomb thrown with undue delay fell in the vicinity of the American Gunboat 'Tutuila,' lying at anchor on the river and caused damage to a boat being attached to her stern.

"Fortunately, there was no damage done to

the gunboat and we considered this event as a matter of great regret, for we were so much concerned with the avoidance of any sort of misfortune.

"11. We apologized for not having been able to avert that accident, because of the fact that such irregular bombing was beyond our control. Be that as it may, the crew of that air detachment showed their sincere penitence for misconduct.

"As for me, I was then despatched to Tokyo and Shanghai for the explanation of the matter involved. Both the Central Authorities and the Headquarters of the Fleet operating on the Chinese waters expressed their deep regret over the unhappy event, while I was duly reproved. I returned to my unit, after having received instructions to the effect that more precaution should be taken hereafter in the carrying out of air raids and that the vested rights and interests of the third powers should not be damaged any more."

You may cross-examine.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If it please your Honor.

BY MR. TAVENNER:

Q Commander HIRAMOTO, you have testified

CROSS-EXAMINATION

regarding damage done to the American gunboat Tutuila at some date after July 20, 1941. Are you aware of a bombing damage to the United States Chencellery and this same gunboat prior to June 16, 1941, on which date a protest was made by the United States Government regarding such damages?

A I do not recall in detail. However, whenever foreign interests or interests of a third power are involved or notification to that effect or any protests were made in connection with damages inflicted upon foreign interests, notification to that effect is communicated to our air corps.

Q The Japanese Government gave the same excuse and the same explanation on the occasion of the first bombing that it did on the occasion of the second bombing, did it not?

A As to what the government did about it, operational units, especially those on the front lines, are completely unfamiliar or unaware of.

MR. TAVENNER: I refer the Tribunal to prosecution's exhibit 1088, page 9,996, regarding the bombing of June -- June, 1941.

That is all.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

## HIRAMOTO

MR. ROBERTS: There will be no redirect. We ask that the witness be excused on the usual terms. THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 

.

Yoshio.

1

4

5

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Y

MR. ROBERTS: We call the witness YAMAMOTO,

YOSHIO YAMAMOTO, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. ROBERTS:

Q Please tell us your name and address.

A My name: YAMAMOTO, Yoshio. My address:

No. 443, 2-Chome, Koenji, Suginami-ku, Tokyo.

MR. ROBERTS: May the witness be shown defense document No. 1338.

0 Please examine this document and tell us whether or not it is your affidavit.

A This is my affidavit.

MR. ROBERTS: I offer in evidence defense document No. 1338.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1338 will receive exhibit No. 2539.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2539 and received in evidence.)

MR. ROBERTS: I shall read exhibit No. 2539: "1. From the 25th of December 1939 to the

25

11

13

15

25

5th of February 1942, I served as a staff officer of the China Seas Fleet. I was one of those officers or their successors who were entrusted with the execution of administrative measures concerning the restriction of free navigation on the Yangtze River and the Chu River, interception of communications along the Chinese coastlines, the blockade of specified harbors, the prohibition of entrance into specified harbors, etc.

"2. Following the China Incident on July 7, 1937, a Proclamation was issued by Admiral HASEGAWA on August 25, 1937, blockading the China Sea Coast. This notice was dispatched to all 14 countries by the Foreign Office.

"About September 1937 the Yangtze River 16 mas blocked by the Chinese Forces at Chiang-Yin and some other places on its upper stream by sinking of 17 18 a large steamer and other obstacles. We opened a portion of these blockades only to the extent of 20 meeting the needs of operations, that is, so as to 21 enable our vessels to pass through. In this work, we 22 scarcely succeeded in opening the minimum navigable passages in these blockades at the cost of the enormous 24 casualties and damages inflicted on us.

"Since there was a congestion of Japanese

warships and military requisitioned vessels which had to pass there quickly for military requirements, there was practically no spare passage for the free navigation of the vessels of Third Powers. Consequently, it was then very difficult for us to set about broadening the navigable passages. In addition, military operations on a large scale were in progress in the upper stream regions and under these conditions we were afraid lest warships and other vessels of the Third Powers should suffer unexpected accidents in the course of their navigation. In fact, their navigation was likely to cause obstruction to the accomplishment of our aims.

were infested with Chinese partisans, doing much harm to warships and other vessels sailing there.

Mines laid by the Chinese Forces continued to float to the detriment of the security of navigation, and their sweeping as well as the installation of the beacon for a navigation line were not being undertaken satisfactorily. Under these circumstances, the sinking of a ship would lead eventually to the blockade of the watercourse. For the aforementioned reasons, free passage through the opened areas of the Chinese blocked lines was subjected to restriction. Such a measure

was rendered inevitable on account of the necessity of military operations.

"We were deeply concerned with the preser-4 vation of the vested rights and interests of the Third 5 Powers all the more so, because the Minister of the 6 Navy never failed to give us instructions to that 7 effect. I might mention here an instance which 8 testifies to our sincerity in this regard. American 9 and British ships wanted to navigate for the purpose 10 of transporting food and fuel and of shifting their 11 crews. When we got acquainted with these facts, we 12 did not restrict the transportation by English and 13 American warships, or the shifting of their crews and 14 the embarkation of the personnel of their consulates. 15 We occasionally put our Japanese vessels at their dis-16 posal for transportation. I remember that we went 17 so far as to notify them of our preparedness to under-18 take transportation by our own aeroplanes in case an emergency should arise.

"Regarding the situation of the Chu River,

almost the same can be said. The restriction of free
navigation on that river was unavoidable in consideration of the requirements of military operation. When
the necessity for maintaining this state of affairs
lessened, the river was given free passage in April,

1940.

"3. The interception of communications along the Chinese seacoasts was undertaken in order to protect the lives and properties of Japanese residents in China against the assaults of the Chinese forces. This measure for our self-defense was thus necessitated in order to frustrate the Chinese fighting power and it was applied exclusively to the Chinese warships and other vessels. Hence, we did our utmost to respect peaceful trade carried out by Third Powers and we made no attempt to interfere therewith. To sum up, all our actions in connection with the communication interception problem were based upon international usage to be observed in

"Be that as it may, some vessels of Third Powers which joined the Chinese Forces in fighting or rendering military assistance to them, were interned, because the navigation of such vessels could not be considered as the carrying out of peaceful trade.

case of a pacific blockade and the inspection and

internment accompanying a pacific blockade were also

accomplished in accordance with traditional usage.

"Furthermore, vessels of Third Powers which could not be distinguished clearly from Chinese vessels in point of nationality were interned.

"As regards the vessels whose Chinese nationality was changed after the declaration of the blockade, we upheld the principle that such a transfer would not be valid unless it was in accordance with the law of the country concerned. Thus, the vessels which navigated under the flags of Third Powers were inspected with a view to examining whether such a valid step was duly taken and any ship considered as devoid of this capacity was taken into custody. The ships 'Segles Lorita' and 'Dorera' belonged to the first category, while the 'Sparta' belonged to the second category.

"4. The blockade of harbors was applied to those harbors which became the fighting areas or which were likely to become so; this measure was likewise taken to meet the military requirements. In view of this absolutely necessity the measure was interpreted not to be in conflict with Article II of the Hague Convention concerning the laying of automatic contact mines at the sea bottom. The blockade prevented the enemy vessels from entering and leaving the harbors in question. This state of affairs could not but affect to some extent vessels belonging to Third Powers. However, we clearly indicated the location of dangerous objects in order that any unhappy

contingency might not take place. Prior to the application of the blockade, a certain period of grace was given, so that vessels of Third Powers anchored in the harbors concerned might safely set out to sea.

"We did not apply a wartime blockade, consequently, we did not intend to capture those ships which ventured to enter and leave the harbors in defiance of the terms of our declaration. But, it was natural that Japan should have not assumed any responsibility for such loss as may have resulted therefrom.

"5. The entrance prohibition at specified harbors proved likewise a measure which was taken to meet the military requirements. However, application of this measure was confined to fighting areas and before its application a certain period of grace was fixed and Powers notified in order that the influence which would be brought to bear upon the vessel of Third Powers might be reduced to the minimum.

"For instance, we refrained from keeping under detention even those ships which acted against our measures, with the exception of some graver cases. In principle, we limited ourselves to indicating a modification of existing navigation routes.

"6. Although various problems arose in

connection with the above-mentioned matters, for the most part they were solved or reached a state of amicable settlement, so that our operations encountered no serious impediments."

You may cross examine.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: There is no cross-examination.

THE PRESIDENT: Witness is excused on the

usual terms.

MR. ROBERTS: May the witness be excused on the usual terms?

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. ROBERTS: We offer in evidence defense document 1118, which is a statement of the Foreign Office dated August 26, 1937, concerning the establishment of a blockade on the China Sea Coast and its application to the Third Powers.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May it please the Tribunal, this is again a statement through the press from the Foreign Office dealing with the closing of the China Sea Coast and the reasons for such action. It is, we submit, open to the same objection as other documents of a like character which have been rejected by the Tribunal.

MR. ROBERTS: If your Honor please, this is a statement of the Foreign Office concerning notice given to Third Powers of the establishment of a blockade, which is exactly what the previous witness has testified to. Its purpose is to show, as the witness stated, regard for Third Powers and to corroborate his statement that such a notice was given.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Tribunal upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. ROBERTS: We offer in evidence defense document 401(18), which is an excerpt from "Peace

and War," an official publication of the Department of State, U. S. Government, setting forth the presidential statement of September 14, 1937, concerning the restrictions against U. S. vessels transporting arms to China or Japan.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 401(18) will receive exhibit No. 2540.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2540 and received in evidence.)

IR. ROBERTS: I read exhibit 2540.

"On September 14, 1937 the President issued a statement to the effect that the question of applying the Neutrality Act remained in statu quo; that merchant vessels owned by the Government of the United States would not be permitted to transport to China or Japan any arms, ammunition, or implements of war; and that any other merchant vessel flying the American flag which attempted to transport such articles to China or Japan would do so at its own risk."

We offer in evidence defense document 1126, which is a document emanating from the

 Foreign Office and being a statement dated October 4, 1937, setting forth certain incidents wherein Chinese junks fired upon Japanese patrol vessels.

ERIGADIER NOLAN: May it please the Tribunal, this document, 1126, purports to prove instances of armed resistance by Chinese junks. It is contained in another statement from a foreign office spokesman and should, we submit, be rejected on the same grounds as other statements of a like kind and character.

MR. ROBERTS: There is contained in this official statement a statement of five incidents which would refute the charge of the prosecution that the Japanese forces were attacking peaceful merchant vessels on the Yangtze River. It is intended to read only the account of such incidents beginning at the bottom of the page with the words, "September 4."

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Tribunal upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. ROBERTS: We call the witness, ENOMOTO, Juji.

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUJI ENOMOTO, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERTS: Please state your name and address. A My name is ENOHOTO, Juji. My address is No. 5 Shoto lachi, Shibuya-ku, Tokyo. IR. ROBERTS: May the witness be shown defense document No. 1330. Q Please examine this document and tell us whether or not it is your sworn affidavit. A This is my affidavit.

MR. ROBERTS: I offer it in evidence. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If your Honor please, the prosecution desires to object to the reference on page 2, at the top, to the pamphlet, "Criteria in Air Fighting," being in the first line at the top of page 2, as it is a document not presented or otherwise its absence accounted for.

For the same reason the objection is extended to the two succeeding paragraphs, which are based upon that pamphlet.

An objection based upon the same reason is made to the paragraph in the middle of the page beginning, "Subsequently on September 24," in that it relates to a pamphlet entitled, "Miscellaneous Observations on Aerial Bombing."

Now the two succeeding documents that appear on the order list after this affidavit may be the documents referred to here, but the document 1219, if intended to be the order referred to here, shows by its contents and by its certificate that it was not an order in the true sense. The same is true of document 1218, which may be intended to be the second document referred to.

This document shows on its face that it was not -- that it was sent out by way of information, and the character of being an order seems very doubtful.

The prosecution also desires to object to the last paragraph beginning with the words, "In addition, it was recognized by some powers," and so forth. Whether that paragraph states a matter of law or a matter of fact we contend it is equally objectionable. If it be a matter of law it is not necessary to argue, I would take it.

THE PRESIDENT: Members of the Tribunal have noted that feature, Mr. Tavenner.

We will hear you in the morning, if necessary, Mr. Roberts. We will adjourn until half-past nine tomorrow morning. (Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Tuesday, 6 May 1947, at 0930.)